Evidence Committee Draft (redlined) KW Draft based on SC feedback

## 1 Rule 106. Remainder of or related writings or recorded statements.

- 2 If a party introduces all or part of a writing or recorded statement, or testimony of the contents
- 3 <u>thereof</u>, an adverse party may require the introduction, at that time, of any other part—or any
- 4 other writing or recorded statement that in fairness ought to be considered at the same time
- 5 <u>necessary to qualify, explain, or place into context the portion already introduced, even if the</u>
- 6 remainder is otherwise inadmissible under these rules, unless the court for good cause otherwise
- 7 <u>orders</u>.
- 8 **2019 Advisory Committee Note.** Cite to State v. Sanchez and maybe other cases (law student's
- 9 <u>case history</u>). Necessary in part because the original note says it is the federal rule verbatim.
- 10 **2011 Advisory Committee Note.** The language of this rule has been amended as part of the
- 11 restyling of the Evidence Rules to make them more easily understood and to make style and
- 12 terminology consistent throughout the rules. These changes are intended to be stylistic only.
- 13 There is no intent to change any result in any ruling on evidence admissibility. This rule is the
- 14 federal rule, verbatim.
- 15 **Original Advisory Committee Note.** This rule is the federal rule, verbatim. Utah Rules of
- 16 Evidence (1971) was not as specific, but Rule 106 is otherwise in accord with Utah practice.