

1 **Rule 26. General provisions governing disclosure and discovery.**

2 **(a) Disclosure.** This rule applies unless changed or supplemented by a rule governing  
3 disclosure and discovery in a practice area.

4 **(1) Initial disclosures.** Except in cases exempt under paragraph (a)(3), a party  
5 ~~shall~~must, without waiting for a discovery request, serve on the other parties:

6 (A) the name and, if known, the address and telephone number of:

7 (i) each individual likely to have discoverable information supporting its  
8 claims or defenses, unless solely for impeachment, identifying the subjects of  
9 the information; and

10 (ii) each fact witness the party may call in its case-in-chief and, except for an  
11 adverse party, a summary of the expected testimony;

12 (B) a copy of all documents, data compilations, electronically stored information,  
13 and tangible things in the possession or control of the party that the party may  
14 offer in its case-in-chief, except charts, summaries, and demonstrative exhibits  
15 that have not yet been prepared and must be disclosed in accordance with  
16 paragraph (a)(5);

17 (C) a computation of any damages claimed and a copy of all discoverable  
18 documents or evidentiary material on which such computation is based,  
19 including materials about the nature and extent of injuries suffered;

20 (D) a copy of any agreement under which any person may be liable to satisfy  
21 part or all of a judgment or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to  
22 satisfy the judgment; and

23 (E) a copy of all documents to which a party refers in its pleadings.

24 **(2) Timing of initial disclosures.** The disclosures required by paragraph (a)(1)  
25 ~~shall~~must be served on the other parties:

26 (A) by ~~the~~ a plaintiff within 14 days after the filing of the first answer to ~~the~~ that  
27 plaintiff's complaint; and

28 (B) by ~~the~~ a defendant within 42 days after the filing of ~~the~~ that defendant's first  
29 answer to the complaint ~~or within 28 days after that defendant's appearance,~~  
30 ~~whichever is later.~~

31 **(3) Exemptions.**

32 (A) Unless otherwise ordered by the court or agreed to by the parties, the  
33 requirements of paragraph (a)(1) do not apply to actions:

34 (i) for judicial review of adjudicative proceedings or rule making proceedings  
35 of an administrative agency;

36 (ii) governed by Rule [65B](#) or Rule [65C](#);

37 (iii) to enforce an arbitration award;

38 (iv) for water rights general adjudication under [Title 73, Chapter 4,](#)  
39 Determination of Water Rights.

40 (B) In an exempt action, the matters subject to disclosure under paragraph (a)(1)  
41 are subject to discovery under paragraph (b).

42 **(4) Expert testimony.**

43 **(A) Disclosure of retained expert testimony.** A party ~~shall~~ must, without waiting  
44 for a discovery request, serve on the other parties the following information  
45 regarding any person who may be used at trial to present evidence under Rule  
46 [702](#) of the Utah Rules of Evidence and who is retained or specially employed to  
47 provide expert testimony in the case or whose duties as an employee of the party  
48 regularly involve giving expert testimony: (i) the expert's name and  
49 qualifications, including a list of all publications authored within the preceding  
50 10 years, and a list of any other cases in which the expert has testified as an  
51 expert at trial or by deposition within the preceding four years, (ii) a brief

52 | summary of the opinions to which the witness is expected to testify, (iii) ~~all the~~  
53 | facts, data, and other information specific to the case that will be relied upon by  
54 | the witness in forming those opinions, and (iv) the compensation to be paid for  
55 | the witness's study and testimony.

56 | **(B) Limits on expert discovery.** Further discovery may be obtained from an  
57 | expert witness either by deposition or by written report. A deposition ~~shall~~must  
58 | not exceed four hours and the party taking the deposition ~~shall~~must pay the  
59 | expert's reasonable hourly fees for attendance at the deposition. A report  
60 | ~~shall~~must be signed by the expert and ~~shall~~must contain a complete statement of  
61 | all opinions the expert will offer at trial and the basis and reasons for them. Such  
62 | an expert may not testify in a party's case-in-chief concerning any matter not  
63 | fairly disclosed in the report. The party offering the expert ~~shall~~must pay the  
64 | costs for the report.

65 | **(C) Timing for expert discovery.**

66 | (i) The party who bears the burden of proof on the issue for which expert  
67 | testimony is offered ~~shall~~must serve on the other parties the information  
68 | required by paragraph (a)(4)(A) within ~~seven-14~~ days after the close of fact  
69 | discovery. Within ~~seven-14~~ days thereafter, the party opposing the expert  
70 | may serve notice electing either a deposition of the expert pursuant to  
71 | paragraph (a)(4)(B) and Rule [30](#), or a written report pursuant to paragraph  
72 | (a)(4)(B). The deposition ~~shall~~must occur, or the report ~~shall~~must be served on  
73 | the other parties, within ~~28-42~~ days after the election is served on the other  
74 | parties. If no election is served on the other parties, then no further discovery  
75 | of the expert ~~shall~~must be permitted.

76 | (ii) The party who does not bear the burden of proof on the issue for which  
77 | expert testimony is offered ~~shall~~must serve on the other parties the  
78 | information required by paragraph (a)(4)(A) within 14 ~~seven-~~ days after the  
79 | later of (A) the date on which the ~~election-disclosure~~ under paragraph

80 (a)(4)(C)(i) is due, or (B) ~~receipt~~ service of the written report or the taking of  
81 the expert's deposition pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(C)(i). Within ~~seven~~ 14  
82 days thereafter, the party opposing the expert may serve notice electing either  
83 a deposition of the expert pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(B) and Rule 30, or a  
84 written report pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(B). The deposition ~~shall~~ must  
85 occur, or the report ~~shall~~ must be served on the other parties, within ~~28~~ 42  
86 days after the election is served on the other parties. If no election is served  
87 on the other parties, then no further discovery of the expert ~~shall~~ must be  
88 permitted.

89 (iii) If the party who bears the burden of proof on an issue wants to designate  
90 rebuttal expert witnesses, it ~~shall~~ must serve on the other parties the  
91 information required by paragraph (a)(4)(A) within 14 ~~seven~~ days after the  
92 later of (A) the date on which the election under paragraph (a)(4)(C)(ii) is due,  
93 or (B) ~~receipt~~ service of the written report or the taking of the expert's  
94 deposition pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(C)(ii). Within ~~seven~~ 14 days  
95 thereafter, the party opposing the expert may serve notice electing either a  
96 deposition of the expert pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(B) and Rule 30, or a  
97 written report pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(B). The deposition ~~shall~~ must  
98 occur, or the report ~~shall~~ must be served on the other parties, within ~~28~~ 42  
99 days after the election is served on the other parties. If no election is served  
100 on the other parties, then no further discovery of the expert ~~shall~~ must be  
101 permitted. The court may preclude an expert disclosed only as a rebuttal  
102 expert from testifying in the case in chief.

103 **(D) Multiparty actions.** In multiparty actions, all parties opposing the expert  
104 must agree on either a report or a deposition. If all parties opposing the expert do  
105 not agree, then further discovery of the expert may be obtained only by  
106 deposition pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(B) and Rule 30.

107 (E) **Summary of non-retained expert testimony.** If a party intends to present  
108 evidence at trial under Rule [702](#) of the Utah Rules of Evidence from any person  
109 other than an expert witness who is retained or specially employed to provide  
110 testimony in the case or a person whose duties as an employee of the party  
111 regularly involve giving expert testimony, that party must serve on the other  
112 parties a written summary of the facts and opinions to which the witness is  
113 expected to testify in accordance with the deadlines set forth in paragraph  
114 (a)(4)(C). Such a witness cannot be required to provide a report pursuant to  
115 paragraph (a)(4)(B). A deposition of such a witness may not exceed four hours  
116 and, unless manifest injustice would result, the party taking the deposition must  
117 pay the expert's reasonable hourly fees for attendance at the deposition.

118 **(5) Pretrial disclosures.**

119 (A) A party ~~shall~~must, without waiting for a discovery request, serve on the  
120 other parties:

121 (i) the name and, if not previously provided, the address and telephone  
122 number of each witness, unless solely for impeachment, separately  
123 identifying witnesses the party will call and witnesses the party may call;

124 (ii) the name of witnesses whose testimony is expected to be presented by  
125 transcript of a deposition;

126 ~~(iii) and designations of the proposed deposition testimony a copy of the~~  
127 ~~transcript with the proposed testimony designated; and~~

128 ~~(iiiiv)~~ (iv) a copy of each exhibit, including charts, summaries, and demonstrative  
129 exhibits, unless solely for impeachment, separately identifying those which  
130 the party will offer and those which the party may offer.

131 (B) Disclosure required by paragraph (a)(5)(A) ~~shall~~must be served on the other  
132 parties at least 28 days before trial. Disclosures required by paragraph (a)(5)(A)(i)  
133 and (a)(5)(A)(ii) shallmust also be filed on the date that they are served. At least

134 14 days before trial, a party ~~shall~~must serve ~~and file any~~ counter designations of  
135 deposition testimony, and any objections and grounds for the objections to the  
136 use of any deposition, witness, ~~and or to the admissibility of exhibits if the~~  
137 grounds for the objection are apparent before trial. Other than objections under  
138 Rules [402](#) and [403](#) of the Utah Rules of Evidence, other objections not listed are  
139 waived unless excused by the court for good cause.

140 **(6) Form of disclosure and discovery production.** Rule 34 governs the form in  
141 which all documents, data compilations, electronically stored information, tangible  
142 things, and evidentiary material should be produced under this Rule.

143 **(b) Discovery scope.**

144 **(1) In general.** Parties may discover any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to  
145 the claim or defense of any party if the discovery satisfies the standards of  
146 proportionality set forth below. Privileged matters that are not discoverable or  
147 admissible in any proceeding of any kind or character include all information in any  
148 form provided during and created specifically as part of a request for an  
149 investigation, the investigation, findings, or conclusions of peer review, care review,  
150 or quality assurance processes of any organization of health care providers as  
151 defined in the [Utah Health Care Malpractice Act](#) for the purpose of evaluating care  
152 provided to reduce morbidity and mortality or to improve the quality of medical  
153 care, or for the purpose of peer review of the ethics, competence, or professional  
154 conduct of any health care provider.

155 **(2) Proportionality.** Discovery and discovery requests are proportional if:

156 (A) the discovery is reasonable, considering the needs of the case, the amount in  
157 controversy, the complexity of the case, the parties' resources, the importance of  
158 the issues, and the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues;

159 (B) the likely benefits of the proposed discovery outweigh the burden or expense;

160 (C) the discovery is consistent with the overall case management and will further  
161 the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of the case;

162 (D) the discovery is not unreasonably cumulative or duplicative;

163 (E) the information cannot be obtained from another source that is more  
164 convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive; and

165 (F) the party seeking discovery has not had sufficient opportunity to obtain the  
166 information by discovery or otherwise, taking into account the parties' relative  
167 access to the information.

168 **(3) Burden.** The party seeking discovery always has the burden of showing  
169 proportionality and relevance. To ensure proportionality, the court may enter orders  
170 under Rule [37](#).

171 **(4) Electronically stored information.** A party claiming that electronically stored  
172 information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost ~~shall~~must  
173 describe the source of the electronically stored information, the nature and extent of  
174 the burden, the nature of the information not provided, and any other information  
175 that will enable other parties to evaluate the claim.

176 **(5) Trial preparation materials.** A party may obtain otherwise discoverable  
177 documents and tangible things prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial by or  
178 for another party or by or for that other party's representative (including the party's  
179 attorney, consultant, surety, indemnitor, insurer, or agent) only upon a showing that  
180 the party seeking discovery has substantial need of the materials and that the party  
181 is unable without undue hardship to obtain substantially equivalent materials by  
182 other means. In ordering discovery of such materials, the court ~~shall~~must protect  
183 against disclosure of the mental impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal theories  
184 of an attorney or other representative of a party.

185 **(6) Statement previously made about the action.** A party may obtain without the  
186 showing required in paragraph (b)(5) a statement concerning the action or its subject

187 matter previously made by that party. Upon request, a person not a party may  
188 obtain without the required showing a statement about the action or its subject  
189 matter previously made by that person. If the request is refused, the person may  
190 move for a court order under Rule [37](#). A statement previously made is (A) a written  
191 statement signed or approved by the person making it, or (B) a stenographic,  
192 mechanical, electronic, or other recording, or a transcription thereof, which is a  
193 substantially verbatim recital of an oral statement by the person making it and  
194 contemporaneously recorded.

195 **(7) Trial preparation; experts.**

196 **(A) Trial-preparation protection for draft reports or disclosures.** Paragraph  
197 (b)(5) protects drafts of any report or disclosure required under paragraph (a)(4),  
198 regardless of the form in which the draft is recorded.

199 **(B) Trial-preparation protection for communications between a party's**  
200 **attorney and expert witnesses.** Paragraph (b)(5) protects communications  
201 between the party's attorney and any witness required to provide disclosures  
202 under paragraph (a)(4), regardless of the form of the communications, except to  
203 the extent that the communications:

204 (i) relate to compensation for the expert's study or testimony;

205 (ii) identify facts or data that the party's attorney provided and that the expert  
206 considered in forming the opinions to be expressed; or

207 (iii) identify assumptions that the party's attorney provided and that the  
208 expert relied on in forming the opinions to be expressed.

209 **(C) Expert employed only for trial preparation.** Ordinarily, a party may not, by  
210 interrogatories or otherwise, discover facts known or opinions held by an expert  
211 who has been retained or specially employed by another party in anticipation of  
212 litigation or to prepare for trial and who is not expected to be called as a witness  
213 at trial. A party may do so only:



214 (i) as provided in Rule [35\(b\)](#); or

215 (ii) on showing exceptional circumstances under which it is impracticable for  
216 the party to obtain facts or opinions on the same subject by other means.

217 **(8) Claims of privilege or protection of trial preparation materials.**

218 **(A) Information withheld.** If a party withholds discoverable information by  
219 claiming that it is privileged or prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial,  
220 the party ~~shall~~must make the claim expressly and ~~shall~~must describe the nature  
221 of the documents, communications, or things not produced in a manner that,  
222 without revealing the information itself, will enable other parties to evaluate the  
223 claim.

224 **(B) Information produced.** If a party produces information that the party claims  
225 is privileged or prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial, the producing  
226 party may notify any receiving party of the claim and the basis for it. After being  
227 notified, a receiving party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the  
228 specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the  
229 information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present  
230 the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the  
231 receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take  
232 reasonable steps to retrieve it. The producing party must preserve the  
233 information until the claim is resolved.

234 **(c) Methods, sequence, and timing of discovery; tiers; limits on standard discovery;  
235 extraordinary discovery.**

236 **(1) Methods of discovery.** Parties may obtain discovery by one or more of the  
237 following methods: depositions upon oral examination or written questions; written  
238 interrogatories; production of documents or things or permission to enter upon land  
239 or other property, for inspection and other purposes; physical and mental

240 examinations; requests for admission; and subpoenas other than for a court hearing  
241 or trial.

242 **(2) Sequence and timing of discovery.** Methods of discovery may be used in any  
243 sequence, and the fact that a party is conducting discovery ~~shall~~must not delay any  
244 other party's discovery. Except for cases exempt under paragraph (a)(3), a party may  
245 not seek discovery from any source before that party's initial disclosure obligations  
246 are satisfied.

247 **(3) Definition of tiers for standard discovery.** Actions claiming \$50,000 or less in  
248 damages are permitted standard discovery as described for Tier 1. Actions claiming  
249 more than \$50,000 and less than \$300,000 in damages are permitted standard  
250 discovery as described for Tier 2. Actions claiming \$300,000 or more in damages are  
251 permitted standard discovery as described for Tier 3. Absent an accompanying  
252 damage claim for more than \$300,000, actions claiming non-monetary relief are  
253 permitted standard discovery as described for Tier 2. Domestic relations actions are  
254 permitted standard discovery as described for Tier 4.

255 **(4) Definition of damages.** For purposes of determining standard discovery, the  
256 amount of damages includes the total of all monetary damages sought (without  
257 duplication for alternative theories) by all parties in all claims for relief in the  
258 original pleadings.

259 **(5) Limits on standard fact discovery.** Standard fact discovery per side (plaintiffs  
260 collectively, defendants collectively, and third-party defendants collectively) in each  
261 tier is as follows. The days to complete standard fact discovery are calculated from  
262 the date the first defendant's first disclosure is due and do not include expert  
263 discovery under paragraphs (a)(4)(C) and (D).

Tier	Amount of Damages	Total Fact Deposition Hours	Rule 33 Interrogatories including all discrete subparts	Rule 34 Requests for Production	Rule 36 Requests for Admission	Days to Complete Standard Fact Discovery

1	\$50,000 or less	3	0	5	5	120
2	More than \$50,000 and less than \$300,000 or non-monetary relief	15	10	10	10	180
3	\$300,00 or more	30	20	20	20	210
4	<u>Domestic relations actions</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>90</u>

264

265 **(6) Extraordinary discovery.** To obtain discovery beyond the limits established in  
 266 paragraph (c)(5), a party ~~shall~~must file:

267 (A) before the close of standard discovery and after reaching the limits of  
 268 standard discovery imposed by these rules, file a stipulated statement that  
 269 extraordinary discovery is necessary and proportional under paragraph (b)(2)  
 270 and, for each party represented by an attorney, a statement that the attorney that  
 271 each party has reviewed and approved a discovery budget consulted with the  
 272 client about the request for extraordinary discovery; or

273 (B) before the close of standard discovery and after reaching the limits of  
 274 standard discovery imposed by these rules, file a request for extraordinary  
 275 discovery under Rule [37\(a\)](#); or

276 (C) obtain an expanded discovery schedule under Rule 100A.

277 **(d) Requirements for disclosure or response; disclosure or response by an**  
 278 **organization; failure to disclose; initial and supplemental disclosures and responses.**

279 (1) A party ~~shall~~must make disclosures and responses to discovery based on the  
 280 information then known or reasonably available to the party.

281 (2) If the party providing disclosure or responding to discovery is a corporation,  
282 partnership, association, or governmental agency, the party ~~shall~~must act through  
283 one or more officers, directors, managing agents, or other persons, who ~~shall~~must  
284 make disclosures and responses to discovery based on the information then known  
285 or reasonably available to the party.

286 (3) A party is not excused from making disclosures or responses because the party  
287 has not completed investigating the case, ~~or because~~ the party challenges the  
288 sufficiency of another party's disclosures or responses, ~~or because~~ another party has  
289 not made disclosures or responses.

290 (4) If a party fails to disclose or to supplement timely a disclosure or response to  
291 discovery, that party may not use the undisclosed witness, document, or material at  
292 any hearing or trial unless the failure is harmless or the party shows good cause for  
293 the failure.

294 (5) If a party learns that a disclosure or response is incomplete or incorrect in some  
295 important way, the party must timely serve on the other parties the additional or  
296 correct information if it has not been made known to the other parties. The  
297 supplemental disclosure or response must state why the additional or correct  
298 information was not previously provided.

299 **(e) Signing discovery requests, responses, and objections.** Every disclosure, request  
300 for discovery, response to a request for discovery, and objection to a request for  
301 discovery ~~shall~~must be in writing and signed by at least one attorney of record or by the  
302 party if the party is not represented. The signature of the attorney or party is a  
303 certification under Rule [11](#). If a request or response is not signed, the receiving party  
304 does not need to take any action with respect to it. If a certification is made in violation  
305 of the rule, the court, upon motion or upon its own initiative, may take any action  
306 authorized by Rule [11](#) or Rule [37\(b\)](#).

307 **(f) Filing.** Except as required by these rules or ordered by the court, a party ~~shall~~must  
308 not file with the court a disclosure, a request for discovery, or a response to a request for  
309 discovery, but ~~shall~~must file only the certificate of service stating that the disclosure,  
310 request for discovery, or response has been served on the other parties and the date of  
311 service.

## 312 **Advisory Committee Notes**

### 313 *Note Adopted 2011*

#### 314 **Disclosure requirements and timing. Rule 26(a)(1).**

315 Not all information will be known at the outset of a case. If discovery is serving its  
316 proper purpose, additional witnesses, documents, and other information will be  
317 identified. The scope and the level of detail required in the initial Rule 26(a)(1)  
318 disclosures should be viewed in light of this reality. A party is not required to interview  
319 every witness it ultimately may call at trial in order to provide a summary of the  
320 witness's expected testimony. As the information becomes known, it should be  
321 disclosed. No summaries are required for adverse parties, including management level  
322 employees of business entities, because opposing lawyers are unable to interview them  
323 and their testimony is available to their own counsel. For uncooperative or hostile  
324 witnesses any summary of expected testimony would necessarily be limited to the  
325 subject areas the witness is reasonably expected to testify about. For example, defense  
326 counsel may be unable to interview a treating physician, so the initial summary may  
327 only disclose that the witness will be questioned concerning the plaintiff's diagnosis,  
328 treatment and prognosis. After medical records have been obtained, the summary may  
329 be expanded or refined.

330 Subject to the foregoing qualifications, the summary of the witness's expected testimony  
331 should be just that- a summary. The rule does not require prefiled testimony or detailed  
332 descriptions of everything a witness might say at trial. On the other hand, it requires  
333 more than the broad, conclusory statements that often were made under the prior  
334 version of Rule 26(a)(1)(e.g., "The witness will testify about the events in question" or

335 “The witness will testify on causation.”). The intent of this requirement is to give the  
336 other side basic information concerning the subjects about which the witness is  
337 expected to testify at trial, so that the other side may determine the witness’s relative  
338 importance in the case, whether the witness should be interviewed or deposed, and  
339 whether additional documents or information concerning the witness should be sought.  
340 *See RJW Media Inc. v. Heath*, 2017 UT App 34, ¶¶ 23-25, 392 P.3d 956. This information is  
341 important because of the other discovery limits contained in Rule 26.

342 Likewise, the documents that should be provided as part of the Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures  
343 are those that a party reasonably believes it may use at trial, understanding that not all  
344 documents will be available at the outset of a case. In this regard, it is important to  
345 remember that the duty to provide documents and witness information is a continuing  
346 one, and disclosures must be promptly supplemented as new evidence and witnesses  
347 become known as the case progresses.

348 Early disclosure of damages information is important. Among other things, it is a  
349 critical factor in determining proportionality. The committee recognizes that damages  
350 often require additional discovery, and typically are the subject of expert testimony. The  
351 Rule is not intended to require expert disclosures at the outset of a case. At the same  
352 time, the subject of damages should not simply be deferred until expert discovery.  
353 Parties should make a good faith attempt to compute damages to the extent it is  
354 possible to do so and must in any event provide all discoverable information on the  
355 subject, including materials related to the nature and extent of the damages.

356 The penalty for failing to make timely disclosures is that the evidence may not be used  
357 in the party’s case-in-chief. To make the disclosure requirement meaningful, and to  
358 discourage sandbagging, parties must know that if they fail to disclose important  
359 information that is helpful to their case, they will not be able to use that information at  
360 trial. The courts will be expected to enforce them unless the failure is harmless or the  
361 party shows good cause for the failure.

362 The purpose of early disclosure is to have all parties present the evidence they expect to  
363 use to prove their claims or defenses, thereby giving the opposing party the ability to  
364 better evaluate the case and determine what additional discovery is necessary and  
365 proportional.

366 **Expert disclosures and timing. Rule 26(a)(3).** Disclosure of the identity and subjects of  
367 expert opinions and testimony is automatic under Rule 26(a)(3) and parties are not  
368 required to serve interrogatories or use other discovery devices to obtain this  
369 information.

370 Experts frequently will prepare demonstrative exhibits or other aids to illustrate the  
371 expert's testimony at trial, and the costs for preparing these materials can be substantial.  
372 For that reason, these types of demonstrative aids may be prepared and disclosed later,  
373 as part of the Rule 26(a)(4) pretrial disclosures when trial is imminent.

374 If a party elects a written report, the expert must provide a signed report containing a  
375 complete statement of all opinions the expert will express and the basis and reasons for  
376 them. The intent is not to require a verbatim transcript of exactly what the expert will  
377 say at trial; instead the expert must fairly disclose the substance of and basis for each  
378 opinion the expert will offer. The expert may not testify in a party's case in chief  
379 concerning any matter that is not fairly disclosed in the report. To achieve the goal of  
380 making reports a reliable substitute for depositions, courts are expected to enforce this  
381 requirement. If a party elects a deposition, rather than a report, it is up to the party to  
382 ask the necessary questions to "lock in" the expert's testimony. But the expert is  
383 expected to be fully prepared on all aspects of his/her trial testimony at the time of the  
384 deposition and may not leave the door open for additional testimony by qualifying  
385 answers to deposition questions.

386 There are a number of difficulties inherent in disclosing expert testimony that may be  
387 offered from fact witnesses. First, there is often not a clear line between fact and expert  
388 testimony. Many fact witnesses have scientific, technical or other specialized  
389 knowledge, and their testimony about the events in question often will cross into the

390 area of expert testimony. The rules are not intended to erect artificial barriers to the  
391 admissibility of such testimony. Second, many of these fact witnesses will not be within  
392 the control of the party who plans to call them at trial. These witnesses may not be  
393 cooperative, and may not be willing to discuss opinions they have with counsel. Where  
394 this is the case, disclosures will necessarily be more limited. On the other hand,  
395 consistent with the overall purpose of the 2011 amendments, a party should receive  
396 advance notice if their opponent will solicit expert opinions from a particular witness so  
397 they can plan their case accordingly. In an effort to strike an appropriate balance, the  
398 rules require that such witnesses be identified and the information about their  
399 anticipated testimony should include that which is required under Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(ii),  
400 which should include any opinion testimony that a party expects to elicit from them at  
401 trial. If a party has disclosed possible opinion testimony in its Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(ii)  
402 disclosures, that party is not required to prepare a separate Rule 26 (a)(4)(E) disclosure  
403 for the witness. And if that disclosure is made in advance of the witness's deposition,  
404 those opinions should be explored in the deposition and not in a separate expert  
405 deposition. Otherwise, the timing for disclosure of non-retained expert opinions is the  
406 same as that for retained experts under Rule 26(a)(4)(C) and depends on whether the  
407 party has the burden of proof or is responding to another expert.

408 **Scope of discovery—Proportionality. Rule 26(b).** Proportionality is the principle  
409 governing the scope of discovery. Simply stated, it means that the cost of discovery  
410 should be proportional to what is at stake in the litigation.

411 In the past, the scope of discovery was governed by “relevance” or the “likelihood to  
412 lead to discovery of admissible evidence.” These broad standards may have secured  
413 just results by allowing a party to discover all facts relevant to the litigation. However,  
414 they did little to advance two equally important objectives of the rules of civil  
415 procedure—the speedy and inexpensive resolution of every action. Accordingly, the  
416 former standards governing the scope of discovery have been replaced with the  
417 proportionality standards in subpart (b)(1).



418 The concept of proportionality is not new. The prior rule permitted the Court to limit  
419 discovery methods if it determined that “the discovery was unduly burdensome or  
420 expensive, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy,  
421 limitations on the parties’ resources, and the importance of the issues at stake in the  
422 litigation.” The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure contains a similar provision. See Fed.  
423 R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2) (C).

424 Any system of rules which permits the facts and circumstances of each case to inform  
425 procedure cannot eliminate uncertainty. Ultimately, the trial court has broad discretion  
426 in deciding whether a discovery request is proportional. The proportionality standards  
427 in subpart (b)(2) and the discovery tiers in subpart (c) mitigate uncertainty by guiding  
428 that discretion. The proper application of the proportionality standards will be defined  
429 over time by trial and appellate courts.

430 **Standard and extraordinary discovery. Rule 26(c).** As a counterpart to requiring more  
431 detailed disclosures under Rule 26(a), the 2011 amendments place new limitations on  
432 additional discovery the parties may conduct. Because the committee expects the  
433 enhanced disclosure requirements will automatically permit each party to learn the  
434 witnesses and evidence the opposing side will offer in its case-in-chief, additional  
435 discovery should serve the more limited function of permitting parties to find  
436 witnesses, documents, and other evidentiary materials that are harmful, rather than  
437 helpful, to the opponent’s case.

438 Parties are expected to be reasonable and accomplish as much as they can during  
439 standard discovery. A statement of discovery issues may result in additional discovery  
440 and sanctions at the expense of a party who unreasonably fails to respond or otherwise  
441 frustrates discovery. After the expiration of the applicable time limitation, a case is  
442 presumed to be ready for trial. Actions for nonmonetary relief, such as injunctive relief,  
443 are subject to the standard discovery limitations of Tier 2, absent an accompanying  
444 monetary claim of \$300,000 or more, in which case Tier 3 applies.

445 **Consequences of failure to disclose.** Rule 26(d). If a party fails to disclose or to  
446 supplement timely its discovery responses, that party cannot use the undisclosed  
447 witness, document, or material at any hearing or trial, absent proof that non-disclosure  
448 was harmless or justified by good cause. More complete disclosures increase the  
449 likelihood that the case will be resolved justly, speedily, and inexpensively. Not being  
450 able to use evidence that a party fails properly to disclose provides a powerful incentive  
451 to make complete disclosures. This is true only if trial courts hold parties to this  
452 standard. Accordingly, although a trial court retains discretion to determine how  
453 properly to address this issue in a given case, the usual and expected result should be  
454 exclusion of the evidence.

#### 455 **Legislative Note**

456 *Note adopted 2012*

#### 457 [S.J.R. 15](#)

458 (1) The amended language in paragraph (b)(1) is intended to incorporate long-standing  
459 protections against discovery and admission into evidence of privileged matters  
460 connected to medical care review and peer review into the Utah Rules of Civil  
461 Procedure. These privileges, found in both Utah common law and statute, include  
462 Sections 26-25-3, 58-13-4, and 58-13-5, UCA, 1953. The language is intended to ensure  
463 the confidentiality of peer review, care review, and quality assurance processes and to  
464 ensure that the privilege is limited only to documents and information created  
465 specifically as part of the processes. It does not extend to knowledge gained or  
466 documents created outside or independent of the processes. The language is not  
467 intended to limit the court's existing ability, if it chooses, to review contested documents  
468 in camera in order to determine whether the documents fall within the privilege. The  
469 language is not intended to alter any existing law, rule, or regulation relating to the  
470 confidentiality, admissibility, or disclosure of proceedings before the Utah Division of  
471 Occupational and Professional Licensing. The Legislature intends that these privileges

472 apply to all pending and future proceedings governed by court rules, including  
473 administrative proceedings regarding licensing and reimbursement.

474 (2) The Legislature does not intend that the amendments to this rule be construed to  
475 change or alter a final order concerning discovery matters entered on or before the  
476 effective date of this amendment.

477

478 (3) The Legislature intends to give the greatest effect to its amendment, as legally  
479 permissible, in matters that are pending on or may arise after the effective date of this  
480 amendment, without regard to when the case was filed.

481 Effective date. Upon approval by a constitutional two-thirds vote of all members elected  
482 to each house. [March 6, 2012]