

COURT ORDER

In the Supreme Court of the State of Utah

----oo0oo----

In re: Application of Trevor Casperson and Kelly Chan for
LawPal

AMENDED ORDER FOR AUTHORIZATION TO PRACTICE LAW

Based upon the Utah Supreme Court's plenary and constitutionally granted authority to regulate the practice of law in Utah, and the tenets of Standing Order 15, the Utah Supreme Court orders that DBA LawPal ("LawPal") is authorized to practice law within the regulatory sandbox and subject to the restrictions outlined below.

The Court has reviewed the amended recommendation of the Office of Legal Services Innovation ("Innovation Office") dated September 21, 2020 for LawPal to be authorized to practice law.

LawPal seeks authorization to practice law as a privately held, for-profit corporation owned 50%-50% by Trevor Casperson, a Utah-licensed lawyer, and Kelly Chan, a nonlawyer. LawPal proposes a "Turbo Tax"-like technology platform to generate legal documents in contested and uncontested divorce and custody cases, eviction cases, and debt-related property seizure cases. The platform will guide consumers through a series of questions to help them complete the forms and proceed pro se. The document completion services will be supplemented by a "knowledge bank" of informational articles, Q&As, and blog posts seeking to address potential areas of consumer question or concern. The platform, forms and documents, and knowledge bank have all been developed under the oversight of a licensed Utah lawyer and conform to Utah state law requirements.

The Innovation Office has assessed the risk of harm to LawPal's targeted consumers relative to the risk of harm they currently face and has determined that the risk of harm presented by LawPal's services is LOW to

MODERATE. The Innovation Office recommends LawPal be authorized to practice law in the State of Utah as outlined in the Innovation Office Recommendation and Manual.

Hence, in light of the Court's responsibility to the public to effectively regulate the practice of law in Utah and in keeping with the tenets of Standing Order 15, the Court now orders as follows:

1. LawPal is authorized to provide the legal services as detailed in the Innovation Office's Recommendation and subject to the conditions and requirements set forth in that Recommendation and Innovation Office Manual.

If LawPal wishes to alter this authorization, conditions or requirements, it must submit any such change to the Innovation Office for further assessment. The Innovation Office will assess the proposed change and may permit the change if it deems the change does not materially increase the risks to consumers. If the Innovation Office finds a material increase in risk then it will present the issue to the Court for further consideration.

2. This authority is granted for an initial period of 24 months with the possibility of extension or permanent authorization. This authority and any such extension or permanent authorization is subject to LawPal's compliance with the conditions and requirements set forth in the Appendix and also to a verification by the Innovation Office that LawPal has a record of compliance with all requirements and the company's services are not causing harm to consumers.

DATED this 21st day of September, 2020.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Matthew B. Durrant', written over a horizontal line.

Matthew B. Durrant
Chief Justice