### Utah Rules of Juvenile Procedure Committee- Meeting Minutes

Noon to 2:00 p.m.

Executive Dining Room

October 3, 2014

MEETING D	DATE			TIME		LOCATION	
MEMBERS:	Prese	nt Abs	sent Ex	cused	MEMBERS:	Present Absent	Excused
Carol Verdoia	$\triangleright$	] [			Maybell Romero		
Judge Elizabeth Lindsley	$\triangleright$				Alan Sevison		
Judge Mary Manley	$\triangleright$				Pam Vickrey		
Kristin Fadel	$\triangleright$				Paul Wake		
David Fureigh	$\triangleright$				Mikelle Ostler		
Brent Hall				$\boxtimes$			
Debra Jensen	$\triangleright$						
Narda Beas-Nordell				$\boxtimes$			
AOC STAFF:	Prese	nt Exc	cused		<b>GUESTS:</b>	Present Absen	it
Katie Gregory	$\triangleright$						
Emily Iwasaki	$\geq$						
Tim Shea	$\triangleright$	1 [					
AGENDA TOPIC							
I. Welcome & Approval	of mi	nutes			CHAIR: CAROL VERDOIA		
Corrections to the Minu	tes: N	one.					
	By: Ju	dge M	ary Ma	anley	Second: Ala	n Sevison	
the minutes of							
August 1, 2014 as							
written							
Approval	☐ Unanimous ☐ Vote:						
	In Favor Opposed						
AGENDA TOPIC							
II. Corrections to Rule	23A: H	learin	g on		CAROL VERDOIA AND KA	TIE GREGORY	
conditions of Section 78A-6-702; bind over to							
district court							
Carol Verdoia and Katie	Grade	rv acl	ad the	e comm	ittee to reconsider rev	visions to Pule 23A	due to
Carol Verdoia and Katie Gregory asked the committee to reconsider revisions to Rule 23A due to an error in the version that was previously sent out to bar members for comment. In paragraph							
(e) the clause "the conditions required by Section 78A-6-702 are present," should have been							
stricken when the rule was revised. It was inadvertently left in the version that was sent out for							
comment. Committee members agreed that the clause should be removed and the rule sent out							
for a second comment period.							
Action Item:	Katie Gregory will revise Rule 23A and send it out for a second						
	comment period. She and Carol Verdoia will consider whether to						
Motion: To amend Rule	request the approval under emergency rule making procedures.  By: Judge Elizabeth Lindsley Second: Paul Wake			165.			
23A(e) by deleting the	, ,						
clause "the conditions							
required by Section 78A	۹-6-						
702 are present,"							
Approval		⊠ Un	animou	ıs	☐ Vote:	Onnorod	
					In Favor	Opposed	

#### **AGENDA TOPIC**

# III. Proposed Rule 29B: Remote Access to Court Hearings (Delinquency) CAROL VERDOIA

The committee reviewed the draft rule dated August 1, 2014 and Alan Sevison's proposal for additional revisions contained in his email of August 8, 2014. Judge Lindsley presented concerns and comments from the Board of Juvenile Court Judges. The Board felt the use of the terms "compelling circumstances" and "appropriate safeguards" in paragraph 29B(c) was vague.

Judge Lindsley's made a motion to adopt a version of Rule 29B which contains paragraph (a) with the changes made on August 1, 2014, paragraph (b) in the form proposed by Alan Sevison in his email and in paragraph (c) strike the phrase "for good cause" and begin the paragraph "In compelling circumstances". Paul Wake seconded the motion. A copy of the approved language is attached.

Discussion followed regarding whether the proposed language adequately captures the concept that the judge, too, may participate from an alternative location. Another concern was that if the judge is at an alternative location, the state's attorney would also have to go to that location. Tim Shea reported that a Judicial Council administrative rule is currently under consideration and would permit judges to attend any hearing by contemporaneous transmission. Committee members agreed that if the Council creates a rule on the issue, it would alleviate the need to address the issue in Rule 29B. Following lengthy discussion, the committee voted and the motion passed unanimously.

Action Item:	Katie Gregory will re comment.	vise Rule 29B and se	nd it out for public
Motion: to adopt a version of Rule 29B which contains paragraph (a) with the changes made on August 1, 2014, paragraph (b) in the form proposed by Alan Sevison in his email and in paragraph (c) strike the phrase "for good cause" and begin the paragraph "In compelling circumstances".	By: Judge Elizabeth	n Lindsley Sed	cond: Paul Wake
Approval	× Unanimous 🗆	Vote: # In Favor	# Opposed

#### **AGENDA TOPIC**

IV. Proposed Rule 37B: Remote Access to	CAROL VERDOIA
Court Hearings (Abuse/Neglect/Dependency)	

The committee consider Rule 37B and approved the following revised version:

37B (a): Upon motion of a party and for good cause shown, the court may permit a party or a minor's parent, guardian, or custodian to attend any abuse, neglect, dependency, substantiation, or termination of parental rights proceeding by contemporaneous transmission from a different location. 37B (b): strike "For good cause and begin the sentence "In compelling circumstances..."

Tim Shea commended the committee for its timely review and completion of Rule 29B and Rule 37B. Carol Verdoia thanked Mr. Shea for his assistance in this process.

Action Item:	Katie Gregory will comment.	revise Rule 37B ar	nd send it	out for public
Motion: To revise proposed Rules 37B to read as follows: "(a) Upon motion of a party and for good cause shown, the court may permit a party or a minor's parent, guardian, or custodian to attend any abuse, neglect, dependency, substantiation, or termination of parental rights proceeding by contemporaneous transmission from a different location."	By: Judge Elizabe	th Lindsley	Second:	Alan Sevison
And in paragraph (b), strike "For good cause and begin the sentence "In compelling circumstances"				
Approval	× Unanimous	□ Vote: # In Fav	or	# Opposed

### AGE

NDA TOPIC				
V. Updating URJP 2		CAROL VERDOIA		
Paragraph (a) of Rule 2 uses the outdated phrase "permanent deprivation of parent rights." The committee agreed that the phrase should be deleted and replace with "termination of parental rights."				
The committee tabled the remainder of the agenda items to January 30, 2015. Carol Verdoia will prepare a summary of the discovery issues to be addressed and will forward it to committee members in mid-January.				
Action Item:	Katie Gregory will re	evise Rule 2 and send it out for comment.		
Motion: To delete in Rule 2(a) the phrase "permanent deprivation of parental rights" and replace it with "termination of parental rights"	By: Alan Sevison	Second: David Fureigh		
Approval	× Unanimous	□ Vote: # In Favor # Opposed		

## Rule 29B. Hearings with contemporaneous transmission from a different location. (As approved by URJP committee on 10-03-2014)

- (a) In any delinquency proceeding or proceeding under Section 78A-6-702 or Section 78A-6-703 the court may conduct the following hearings with the minor or the minor's parent, guardian or custodian attending by contemporaneous transmission from a different location:
- (a)(1) contempt
- (a)(2) detention;
- (a)(3) motion;
- (a)(4) review; and
- (a)(5) warrant.
- (b) Except as provided in Paragraph (a), upon motion of a party and for good cause shown, the court may permit a party or a minor's parent, guardian, or custodian to attend any delinquency hearing or hearing under Section 78A-6-702 or Section 78A-6-703 by contemporaneous transmission from a different location.
- (c) In compelling circumstances and with appropriate safeguards, the court may permit testimony in open court by contemporaneous transmission from a different location if the party not calling the witness waives confrontation of the witness in person.