

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL MEETING
Minutes**

May 18, 2026

Hybrid Meeting (in person and Webex)

**Matheson Courthouse – Council Room
450 S State Street, Salt Lake City, UT 841110**

Honorable David Mortensen Presiding

Members:

Hon. David Mortensen,
Vice Chair
Hon. Suchada Bazzelle
Hon. Rita Cornish
Hon. Susan Eisenman
Hon. Michael Leavitt
Hon. James Gardner
Hon. Amber Mettler
Justice Jill Pohlman for
Justice Paige Petersen
Hon. Chris Bown
Hon. Brendan McCullagh
Hon. Jon Carpenter
Hon. Samuel Chiara
Hon. Michael DiReda
Hon. Angela Fannesbeck
Kristin K. Woods
Hon. Christine Johnson

AOC Staff:

Ron Gordon
Neira Siaperas
Michael Drechsel
Keisa Williams
Nick Stiles
James Peters
Brody Arishita
Daniel Meza Rincon
Shane Bahr

Excused:

Chief Justice Matthew
B. Durrant, Chair
Hon. Angela Fannesbeck
Justice Paige Petersen

Presenters:

Karl Sweeney
Alisha Johnson
Hon. Don Torgerson
Keri Sargent
Hon. Adam Mow
Nini Rich
Cris Seabury
Katy Erickson
Mary-Margaret Pingree
Bart Olsen
Jeremy Marsh
Chris Russell
Bridget Romano

1. WELCOME AND THE APPROVAL OF MINUTES (Judge David Mortensen):

Judge David Mortensen welcomed everyone to the meeting and asked whether there were any questions or corrections to the April 27, 2026, meeting minutes. None were raised.

Motion: Judge Rita Cornish moved to approve the April 27, 2026, minutes. Judge Christine Johnson seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

2. CHAIR’S REPORT (Judge David Mortensen):

Justice Jill Pohlman reported that, following Justice Hagen's resignation, the Supreme Court will seek assistance from appellate and district court judges to complete cases currently under consideration.

The Court will use its existing random-selection process when requesting judicial participation. Participating judges will receive the parties' briefing materials, access to the recorded oral arguments, and the draft opinion. After reviewing the materials, participating judges may request a conference with the Supreme Court Justices or request additional oral arguments, if necessary, to make an informed decision. A letter outlining the process will be distributed to district court judges statewide.

3. STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR REPORT (Ron Gordon):

Ron Gordon reported that all spring court-level judicial conferences have been successfully completed and were well received by the judges. Mr. Gordon then led a discussion regarding ways to strengthen relationships between the Judiciary and other branches of government, with emphasis on improving communication and collaboration before and during legislative sessions. Mr. Gordon noted that he has gathered feedback from judges at recent conferences on this matter.

Mr. Gordon discussed several ideas, including:

- Designating an active senior judge to be present at the Capitol each day during the legislative session. The judge would participate in meetings and discussions involving legislation affecting the Judiciary.
- Forming a small group of retired judges who are not active senior judges to speak with the media when the Judiciary is unable to comment. Their role would be to provide a general expert perspective on court processes, judicial responsibilities, and the limits on what judges may say publicly. They would not speak on behalf of the Judiciary, comment on pending cases, or respond personally to criticism of individual judges.
- Strengthening existing judicial relationships with policy makers and stakeholders through outreach efforts, meetings, and relationship-building activities. To ensure consistency in communicating the Judiciary's position, talking points could be provided to judges for use during meetings with legislators.

Council members were supportive of these strategies and noted the importance of including a juvenile court judge, encouraging local meetings between judges and legislators, and sharing data in addition to discussing judges' experiences with legislators. Mr. Gordon encouraged Judicial Council members to share any additional ideas on this matter.

4. COMMITTEE REPORTS:

Management Committee: Nothing to report.

Budget & Fiscal Management Committee: Nothing to report.

Liaison Committee: Justice Jill Pohlman reported that the committee will hold an upcoming meeting to work on pending matters.

Policy, Planning, and Technology Committee: Judge James Gardner reported the work of the committee will be discussed later in the meeting.

Bar Commission: Katie Woods reported that members of the Bar Commission expressed appreciation for Justice Hagen's service and shared remarks recognizing her contributions to the Judiciary and legal profession. She reported that the Bar Commission is preparing to vote regarding

raising bar dues, which have not increased since 2010. Ms. Woods also reviewed the upcoming 95th Anniversary of the Utah State Bar, which will be held in conjunction with the 250th anniversary of the Constitution at the Grand America Hotel. She invited and encouraged all judicial officers to attend.

5. **BUDGET AND GRANTS** (Karl Sweeney and Alisha Johnson)

Alisha Johnson presented the financial reports.

FY 2026 One Time Turnover Savings- Period 10

#	Funding Type	Actual Amount
1	One Time Turnover Savings (from actual payroll data versus budget as of PPE 04/10/2026)	Internal Savings 1,216,721
2	Est. One Time Savings for remaining pay hours (456 @ \$600 / pay hour)	Internal Savings (Est.) 273,600
Total Potential One Time Savings		3 1,490,321

FY 2026 Year End Requests and Forecasted Available One-time Funds - Period 10

Forecasted Available One-time Funds			#	One-time Spending Plan Requests	Adjusted Requests Amount	Judicial Council Approved Amount
Description	Funding Type	Amount				
Sources of YE 2026 Funds						
* Turnover Savings as of PPE 04/10/2026	Turnover Savings	1,216,721				
Turnover savings Estimate for the rest of the year (\$600 x 456 pay hours)	Turnover Savings	273,600				
Total Potential One Time Turnover Savings		1,490,321				
Less: Judicial Council Delegated to State Court Administrator for Discretionary Use		(250,000)				
(a) Total Potential One Time Turnover Savings Less Discretionary Use		1,240,321				
Operational Savings From TCE / AOC Budgets - mid-year forecast	Internal Operating Savings	649,910				
Expected additional operational savings for balance of fiscal year	Internal Operating Savings	200,000				
Operational Savings from IT Budget - Timing of Contract Renewal Deferred to FY 27	Internal Operating Savings	400,000				
Reserve Balance (balance from FY 2025 Carryforward)	Judicial Council Reserve	700				
Use IT Budget Savings and Operational Savings to Increase Retro YOS Eligibility	Adjustments to CY Operations	(462,000)				
(b) Total Operational Savings, Reserve, Unclaimed Property and Prior Year Adjustments		788,610				
(c) Total of Turnover Savings & Operational Savings = (a) + (b)		2,028,931				
Uses of YE 2026 Funds						
(d) Carryforward into FY 2027 (Anticipate request to Legislature for \$3,200,000)	FY 2027 Carryforward	(2,028,931)				
Total Potential One Time Savings = (c) Less Carryforward (d)		-				
Less: Judicial Council Requests Previously Approved		-				
Less: Judicial Council Current Month Spending Requests		-				
Remaining Forecasted Funds Available for FY 2026 YE Spending Requests, CCCF, etc.		-				

Last reported expected carryforward: \$1,932,844

FY 2026 Ongoing Turnover Savings as of 05/05/2026- Period 10

#	Description	Prior Month Forecast	Actual	Forecasted	Change in Forecast
		Amount @ YE	Amount YTD	Amount @ YE	Amount @ YE
	Net Carried over Ongoing Savings (finalized from FY 2025)	138,582	138,582	138,582	-
	Ongoing Turnover Savings FY 2026 (actual year-to-date, Salary Differential only)	927,391	987,404	987,404	60,013
1	Ongoing Turnover Savings FY 2026 (forecast \$65,000 / month x 2 months, Salary Differential only)	195,000	-	130,000	(65,000)
	TOTAL SALARY RELATED ONGOING SAVINGS	1,260,974	1,125,987	1,255,987	(4,987)
	Benefit Differential Savings FY 2026 (will be recognized in this row starting in Q4)	(31,028)	(36,150)	(36,150)	(5,121)
	TOTAL SAVINGS	1,229,946	1,089,837	1,219,837	(10,108)
2	2026 Annual Authorized Hot Spot Raises	(200,000)	(199,333)	(200,000)	-
	TOTAL USES	(200,000)	(199,333)	(200,000)	-
	Total Actual/Forecasted Unencumbered Turnover Savings for FY 2026	1,029,946	890,504	1,019,837	(10,108)

FY 2027 Carryforward and Ongoing Requests - Period 10, FY 2026

	One Time	Ongoing
Ongoing Turnover Savings carried over from FY 2025	\$	138,582
Forecasted YE Ongoing Turnover Savings from FY 2026	\$	1,081,254
Subtotal		1,219,836
Unobligated Fiscal Note Funds - District Court (net)	\$	94,100
Unobligated Fiscal Note Funds - Juvenile Court	\$	(3,600)
Unobligated Fiscal Note Funds - Admin	\$	10,900
Legislative ongoing cut - reduce ongoing turnover savings	\$	(185,000)
Wellness Council Portion of Carryforward	\$	-
Expected Carryforward Amount from Fiscal Year 2026	\$	2,028,931
Total Available Funding	\$	2,119,431
Less: Judicial Council Delegated to State Court Administrator for Discretionary Use	\$	(200,000)
Net Ongoing TOS Available for Use	\$	1,289,236

Ongoing / One Time Requests - Directly from Unobligated Fiscal Note Funds

	Presented		Judicial Council Approved	
	One Time	Ongoing	One Time	Ongoing
1 Two Additional Juvenile Law Clerk Attorneys - Judge Westmoreland, Daniel Meza Rincón	\$	278,700		
2 8th District Additional Judicial Assistant FTE - Russell Pearson	\$	90,600		
3 Sixth District Clerical Team Manager - Linda Ekker	\$	112,300		
Subtotal	\$	481,600	\$	\$

Ongoing Requests

	Presented		Judicial Council Approved	
	One Time	Ongoing	One Time	Ongoing
Prior Approved Ongoing Commitments				
Investing in Our People - <i>Ron Gordon and Neira Siaperas</i>		\$ 370,000		\$ 370,000
8th District Probation Training Coordinator - <i>Russ Pearson</i>		\$ 52,500		\$ 52,500
Juvenile Court ICJ Funding Increase - <i>Daniel Meza Rincon</i>		\$ 7,000		\$ 7,000
Ongoing Requests - For Consideration				
1 Education Judicial Assistant Training Support - <i>Lauren Andersen</i>		\$ 34,000		
2 Court Visitor Specialist and Pilot Program Attorney - <i>Shonna Thomas, Keri Sargent</i>		\$ 238,250		
3 Sixth District Clerical Training Coordinator - <i>Linda Ekker</i>		\$ 102,000		
4 All Rise Utah Welcome Dinner - <i>Jan Puente</i>		\$ 20,000		
Subtotal to Approve and Fund Immediately	\$ -	\$ 823,750	\$ -	\$ 429,500
Balance Remaining After Judicial Council Approvals				\$ 859,736
Balance Remaining Inclusive of "Presented"		\$ (16,114)		

Carryforward One Time Requests

	Presented		Judicial Council Approved	
	One Time	Ongoing	One Time	Ongoing
* 1 Public Transit Reimbursement Program - <i>Suzette Deans, Karl Sweeney</i>	\$ 61,200			
* 2 Education Assistance Program - <i>Alisha Johnson</i>	\$ 85,000			
* 3 HR Applicant Tracking System - <i>Bart Olsen, Jeremy Marsh</i>	\$ 23,700			
Subtotal	\$ 169,900	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Balance Remaining After Judicial Council Approvals			\$ 2,119,431	\$ 859,736
Balance Remaining Inclusive of "Presented"	\$ 1,949,531	\$ (16,114)		

6. BOARD OF DISTRICT COURT JUDGES REPORT (Judge Don Torgerson and Shane Bahr)

Judge Don Torgerson reported that the Board continues its regular work, including reviewing reports, monitoring goals, and addressing issues affecting the district court bench. He noted that the district court conference was held in March and was well received. The conference provided educational presentations and opportunities for judicial officers to connect with colleagues across the state.

Judge Torgerson outlined the Board’s current goals, which include supporting judicial officers who may be experiencing professional or personal challenges. Judge Torgerson also reported that the Board recently approved of the 2026 Weighted Caseload Study. The study indicates a continuing statewide need for additional district court judges. While recent legislative funding for new judgeships helped address the growing demand, the statewide need remains at approximately 11.5 judges. He noted that closures of justice courts, including recent closures in Cache County and anticipated closures in other jurisdictions, continue to shift workload to district courts and contribute to increasing caseload pressures.

Judge Torgerson reported that the Board expressed appreciation for Chris Palmer and court security staff for their support of district courts, particularly in managing security needs associated with several high-profile cases during the past year.

Judge Torgerson also reviewed several current Board initiatives, including updating and expanding judicial bench cards for use by the district court bench, examining warrant prioritization processes to assist judges in determining the urgency of warrant requests received during court proceedings, addressing concerns regarding forged signatures in pro se domestic cases and exploring potential remedies, and continuing discussions regarding marijuana use in specialty treatment courts.

7. WINGS COMMITTEE PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT (Keri Sargent)

On behalf of the WINGS Committee chair, Judge Coral Sanchez, Keri Sargent presented the WINGS Committee performance assessment. She highlighted the committee’s efficient work in recent years, including the development of guardianship forms for self-represented litigants, the creation of updated guardianship training materials for judges and attorneys, efforts to improve attorney

participation in guardianship representation, and outreach initiatives to involve additional community stakeholders.

Judge Sanchez recommended that the Judicial Council find that the WINGS Committee continues to serve its purpose and requests it continue to serve as a standing committee of the Council.

Motion: Judge Rita Cornish moved to accept the WINGS Committee report and approve the WINGS Committee continuing as a standing committee of the Judicial Council. Judge Susan Eisenman seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

8. ADR COMMITTEE ANNUAL REPORT (Judge Adam Mow and Nini Rich)

Judge Adam Mow and Nini Rich presented the annual ADR Committee report. Judge Mow reviewed the structure and performance of the ADR Committee and highlighted the administration of multiple programs through a combination of court staff mediators, private provider rosters, and partnerships with community organizations and educational institutions.

Judge Mow also reviewed ADR Committee initiatives, including Judicial Settlement Conference training for judges, ongoing updates to the Utah Mediation Best Practice Guide, and implementation of new family law arbitration training requirements. He also discussed collaboration with the Utah Council on Conflict Resolution (UCCR) to support mediator development, continued public outreach through reports, presentations, and the ADR website, and the committee's use of artificial intelligence within set guidelines.

Judicial Council members expressed their appreciation of Nini Rich's work for the past 25 years, noting she helped with making the ADR program an exemplary program nationwide. Judge Mow introduced Evie Brinkerhoff as the new ADR Director.

9. CERTIFICATION OF TREATMENT COURTS (Cris Seabury and Katy Erickson)

Cris Seabury and Katy Erickson presented certification recommendations for treatment courts, as required by CJA Rule 4-409. They reported that site visits, interviews, and document reviews were conducted as part of the evaluation process.

The following treatment courts were recommended for re-certification:

- Sixth District Juvenile Family Recovery Court (Judge Brody Keisel)
- Second District Juvenile, Weber County Family Treatment Court (Judge Rick Westmoreland)
- Fourth District Juvenile, Utah County Family Recovery Court (Judge Brent Bartholomew)
- Fourth District, Utah County Adult Probation Drug Court (Judge Jared Eldridge)
- Fourth District, Utah County Adult Recovery Court (Anthony Howell)

Ms. Erickson led a discussion regarding a statewide gap in drug-testing resources affecting family treatment courts. She reported that discussions are underway between the treatment courts and the Division of Child and Family Services to address the issue.

Motion: Judge Brendan McCullagh moved to approve the conditional certification of the family recovery treatment courts in the Second, Fourth, and Sixth Juvenile Courts for one year, subject to correction of the identified drug-testing deficiencies within 12 months. The treatment courts must

provide periodic progress reports regarding implementation efforts and compliance at least every 120 days. Judge Cornish seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

Motion: Judge McCullagh moved to approve the certification of the Fourth District Adult Probation Drug Court and the Fourth District Utah County Adult Recovery Court as recommended. Judge Cornish seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

10. **JPEC REPORT** (Mary-Margaret Pingree)

Mary-Margaret Pingree introduced Dr. Chris Russell and Bridget Romano, Chair of the Judicial Performance Evaluation Commission (JPEC). Ms. Pingree provided an overview of the evaluation report and evaluation process. She reported that, between December and April, JPEC evaluated 53 judges eligible for retention. In addition, 72 midterm judicial officer reports were provided. Ms. Pingree emphasized JPEC's mission to provide voters with credible, objective information regarding judicial performance while also offering judges meaningful feedback to support professional growth. She encouraged judges to review their reports and use the newly implemented online portal. She also requested feedback regarding the report's content and the new portal's functionality.

Ms. Romano and Dr. Russell discussed observations from the most recent evaluation cycle. Ms. Romano noted that the Commission included a significant number of new commissioners, resulting in deeper review and discussion of evaluation data and reinforcing the importance of providing reliable, objective information to both the public and the Judiciary. Dr. Russell presented an analysis of recurring themes across judicial evaluations, identifying legal knowledge, courtroom management, preparedness, efficiency, and judicial demeanor as key factors distinguishing higher-performing judges from lower-performing judges. Council members expressed appreciation for the evaluation process and suggested sharing broader performance trends and best practices with judges.

11. **ANNUAL REPORTS FROM BOARDS OF JUDGES** (Ron Gordon)

Ron Gordon reported that Boards of Judges requested guidance from the Judicial Council regarding expectations for their required reports to the Council. Council members suggested that the reports include information about issues, challenges, and initiatives being addressed at the bench level. It was noted that these updates often bring awareness to matters that may not otherwise come before the Council.

Council members also noted that, historically, boards were often expected to identify and pursue study items or long-term initiatives and periodically report both on their progress and on prospective topics for future consideration. Mr. Gordon stated he would relay this information to the Boards of Judges.

12. **EXPEDITED HR POLICY AMENDMENTS** (Bart Olsen and Jeremy Marsh)

Bart Olsen reviewed proposed amendments to several personnel policies, including Holiday Leave, Administrative Leave, Leave Bank, and Adoption, Foster, Parental and Postpartum Recovery Leave. He noted that the Leave Bank policy is scheduled to take effect on May 6, 2026, and the Adoption, Foster, Parental and Postpartum Recovery Leave policy is scheduled to take effect on July 1, 2026. He also reviewed the need for employee and manager training before the effective dates.

The Council discussed the timeframe for holiday leave provided for Good Friday. Due to pending questions regarding the Holiday Leave policy, the policy was deferred for additional review and input.

Motion: Judge McCullagh moved to approve the proposed amendments to Administrative Leave, Leave Bank, and Adoption, Foster, Parental and Postpartum Recovery Leave as presented, to take effect on May 6, 2026, and July 1, 2026, respectively. Judge Cornish seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

13. RULES FOR FINAL APPROVAL (Keisa Williams)

Keisa Williams presented CJA Rule 4-510.04, ADR Training, for final approval. No public comments were received. Ms. Williams recommended that the rule be adopted as final with a May 18, 2026, effective date.

CJA 4-510.04. ADR Training

The amendments clarify the role of court-qualified Primary Trainers in 40-hour basic mediation training, require court-qualified mediation training providers to provide or facilitate opportunities for trainees to complete the observation and experience requirements necessary for admission to the ADR Roster, and make non-substantive formatting changes.

Ms. Williams then presented CJA Rules 1-205, 4-906, 4-906.01, 4-906.02, 4-906.03, 4-202.02, 4-202.12, 3-110, 3-412, and 4-102 for approval on an expedited basis with a May 18, 2026, effective date, followed by a 45-day public comment period.

CJA 1-205. Standing and Ad Hoc Committees

The Council has already dissolved the Court Facilities Planning Committee and approved membership changes to the Uniform Fine Committee. The proposed amendments add the Director of the Self-Help Center and Law Library as a member of the Committee on Children and Family Law and modify the membership and certain provisions regarding the Guardian ad Litem Oversight Committee.

CJA 3-110. Judicial officer financial disclosures

Under H.B. 540, effective May 6, 2026, the Judicial Council is required to adopt a rule requiring judges and commissioners to submit annual financial disclosures comparable to the conflict-of-interest disclosures required under Utah Code 20A-11-1604. The Council is also required to post the disclosures on the courts' website and submit a written report to the Judiciary Interim Committee before November 1, 2026, describing the actions taken to comply with H.B. 540.

The Council discussed definitions and components of the financial disclosure form. The Council agreed to adopt the rule and to consider and adopt the form separately at a future meeting after seeking feedback from the boards of judges. The Council also discussed the reporting timeline for 2026, noting that the rule establishes the regular annual reporting and publication schedule but provides that, for calendar year 2026, financial disclosure forms will be completed and posted as soon as practicable on a date to be set by the Council. Additional discussion included redaction of personal information, including information automatically redacted due to a judicial officer's designation as an at-risk government employee. The Council

agreed that the Management Committee should review and decide requests from judicial officers seeking additional redactions before publication.

CJA 3-412. Procurement of goods and services

The amendments align the rule with the Utah Procurement Code.

CJA 4-102. Case, calendar, and panel assignments

In response to S.B. 257, effective May 6, 2026, the amendments require district courts to assign cases or proceedings involving the same child or family to a single judge to the extent possible. The amendments provide districts flexibility to create policies that account for local practices. The amendment to paragraph (1)(A) also gives the Council additional flexibility to approve exemptions to automatic case assignment processes.

CJA 4-202.02. Records classification

The amendments classify court records identifying a victim by name rather than initials as private when a valid and timely request is made under CJA Rule 4-202.12. The amendments also classify unredacted judicial officer financial disclosure forms as protected records.

CJA 4-202.12. Request by victim to use initials rather than name

Under H.B. 102, effective May 6, 2026, crime victims may choose to use their initials rather than their names in charging documents and other public-facing filings and documents. The new rule establishes the process and time limits for making such a request, requires the prosecutor to file a corrected charging document when a request is made after the charging document has been filed, and classifies charging documents that list the victim's name as private records pending receipt of an amended charging document.

CJA 4-906. Guardian ad litem program

H.B. 372, effective May 6, 2026, made changes to the Guardian ad Litem Oversight Committee and the responsibilities of the Director of the Office of Guardian ad Litem. The amendments repeal and replace the current rule and divide the provisions into four separate rules. Rule 4-906 addresses the responsibilities of the Oversight Committee and the Director.

CJA Rule 4-906.01. GALs, Staff, and Volunteers

This new rule addresses the selection, employment, management, qualifications, responsibilities, conflicts, and training of GALs, staff, volunteers, and conflict GALs.

CJA Rule 4-906.02. Private GALs

This new rule addresses the selection, appointment, payment, education, and removal of private guardians ad litem, including requirements for maintaining a list of qualified private GALs.

CJA Rule 4-906.03. Complaints

This new rule establishes the complaint process for complaints involving the Director, administrative policies or procedures, GALs, private GALs, and volunteers. The rule provides procedures for submitting, investigating, and resolving complaints and creates an appeal process when a complainant is dissatisfied with the Director's resolution.

The Council discussed the complaint and appeal process in CJA Rule 4-906.03. The Council directed Ms. Williams to modify the rule language before publication for public comment to require a three-member panel of the GAL Oversight Committee to hear appeals rather than review by the full committee. The Council also directed that language be added requiring the panel to comply with applicable confidentiality requirements and closed-session procedures for confidential case information.

Motion: Judge James Gardner moved to approve the amendments to CJA Rule 4-510.04 as final, with an effective date of May 18, 2026, as recommended.

Judge Gardner also moved to approve CJA Rules 1-205, 3-110, 3-412, 4-102, 4-202.02, 4-202.12, 4-906, 4-906.01, and 4-906.02 on an expedited basis, with a May 18, 2026, effective date followed by a 45-day public comment period.

Judge Gardner further moved to approve CJA Rule 4-906.03 on an expedited basis, with a May 18, 2026, effective date followed by a 45-day public comment period, with additional language requiring a three-member panel of the GAL Oversight Committee to hear appeals and requiring panel members to comply with confidentiality requirements and closed-session procedures applicable to confidential case information.

Judge Christine Johnson seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

14. SENIOR JUDGE APPOINTMENT (Neira Siaperas)

Motion: Judge McCullagh moved that Judge Vernon F. Romney meets the qualifications for appointment as an active justice court senior judge. Judge Gardner seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

15. CONSENT CALENDAR (Judge David Mortensen)

Motion: Judge Cornish moved to approve the items on the consent calendar. Judge Gardner seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

16. EXECUTIVE SESSION

Motion: Judge Johnson made a motion to move into executive session for the purpose of discussing the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual and to receive legal advice of counsel. Katie Woods seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

An executive session was held.

17. ADJOURN (Judge David Mortensen)

The meeting was adjourned.