Supreme Court's Advisory Committee on the Rules of Criminal Procedure

Webex video conferencing January 19, 2021 12:00 p.m. - 2:00 p.m.

AGENDA

1.	Welcome and approval of minutes	-	Doug Thompson
2.	Rule 16	-	Doug Thompson
3.	State v. Billings, case #20200636	-	Doug Thompson
4.	Pleasant Grove v. Terry, case #20201029	-	Doug Thompson
5.	Rules 7 and 7A	-	Doug Thompson
6.	Rule 9	-	Doug Thompson
7.	Rule 8 update	-	Joanna Landau
8.	Rule 26/Expungement rule	-	Brent Johnson
9.	Rule 17.5 update	-	Brent Johnson
10.	URCP 5	-	Brent Johnson

Next meeting: March 16, 2021, 12 pm (noon), Webex video conferencing

Supreme Court's Advisory Committee on the Rules of Criminal Procedure

MEETING MINUTES

WebEx Video Conferencing November 17, 2020 – 12:30 p.m. to 2 p.m.

DRAFT

MEMBERS:	PRESENT	EXCUSED
Douglas Thompson, Chair	•	
Judge Patrick Corum	•	
Jeffrey S. Gray	•	
Judge Elizabeth Hruby- Mills	•	
Blake Hills	•	
Craig Johnson	•	
Joanna Landau	•	
Keri Sargent	•	
Judge Kelly Schaeffer- Bullock		•
Ryan Stack	•	
Cara Tangaro	•	
Matthew Tokson		•

GUESTS:

Representative Steve Waldrip
Jacqueline Carlton
Tyson Skeen
Michael Dreschel
Matt Slawson
Chris Williams

STAFF:

Brent Johnson Minhvan Brimhall

1. Welcome ad approval of minutes:

Brent Johnson welcomed committee members to the meeting. The Committee considered the September 15, 2020 minutes. There being no changes to the minutes, Craig Johnson moved to approve the minutes. No second was taken and no objection was received on the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

2. State toxicologists testifying remotely:

Representative Steve Waldrip was welcomed to the meeting. Representative Waldrip explained that State toxicologists express frustration about being subpoenaed to testify at a hearing, only to be told the hearing is rescheduled and their appearance is no longer needed that day. The state toxicology lab is understaffed and many of the hearings to which they are subpoenaed to testify require several hours of travel. The time away from the lab takes away FTE hours to complete the work that is needed and is not a

good use of state resources. Representative Waldrip and the state toxicology lab would like a rule amendment to rule 17.5 of the Utah Rules of Criminal Procedure to allow state toxicologists to testify remotely.

The committee agreed that cutting back on wasted resources is necessary to ensure that staff time is being utilized in the most appropriate manner. The committee discussed varying factors to a rule change that might impact both defense and prosecution with having a toxicologist testifying remotely. The committee discussed that if the defense and prosecution were able to come to an agreement prior to a hearing, and the toxicology report is made available to both parties prior to the hearing, a rule amendment may be possible. The committee also considered the impact such a rule amendment would have on the victim and witness testimony.

Michael Drechsel noted a conversation he had with Representative Paul Ray of the Legislative's Social Services Subcommittee. Representative Ray brought up the same issues regarding the use of toxicology lab resources. Mr. Drechsel researched the same type of work being done in other states and noted that some have created a procedural rule designed around notice and demand for the parties to notify each other their intent to use some sort of report, or perhaps remote testimony, and then rely on the opposing party to make a demand. If the demand is made, the person would actually attend in person. Mr. Drechsel noted this may be a way to spare some of the unnecessary resources by the state toxicology lab. Mr. Drechsel is unaware of any specific standards to this type of approach.

Following additional discussions, that committee agreed that Mr. Johnson, Jeff Gray, Judge Corum, Cara Tangaro, and Tyson Skeen will meet to discuss a potential amendment to rule 17.5 and put together draft proposal to the rule. The proposed amendments will be presented at a future meeting.

3. Rules 8 and 11:

Joanna Landau is working on amendments to rule 8 to address appointment of counsel. Ms. Landau recommends a subcommittee be created to assist in the discussion and drafting of amendments to the rule. Judge Corum, Judge Shaeffer-Bullock, Judge Brendan McCullagh, and Mr. Johnson will join Ms. Landau and Mr. Thompson on the subcommittee. Mr. Thompson will arrange for a meeting. The committee will provide an update at a future meeting.

With no further discussion, Judge Corum moved to create a subcommittee for rule 8. No second was taken or objection was received. The motion passed unanimously.

4. Rule 9:

Due to lack of time, this item will be reviewed at another meeting.

5. Pleasant Grove City v. Terry:

Due to lack of time, this item will be reviewed at another meeting.

6. Rules 7 and 7A:

Due to lack of time, this item will be reviewed at another meeting.

7. Rules 17.5 and 18:

Mr. Thompson was contacted by the clerk for Justice Deno Himonas regarding potential emergency amendments to rules 17.5 and 18 for the jury pilot program that will be happening in the Third District. Any changes made to the rule or the administrative order will need to be made by the end of the month, and may need to be done without recommendations from this committee.

The committee discussed that making amendments to the rules might not be an appropriate route to address the needs of the pilot program at this time, and the committee would recommend that the court's authority to promulgate the administrative order is the more appropriate action.

Due to the number of members that needed to exit the meeting during the discussion, the committee lacked a quorum and a motion could not be made.

8. Rule 16 subcommittee report:

The rule 16 subcommittee met and made proposed changes to the rule. The committee reviewed those changes and made minor changes to the language for consistency throughout the rule. The committee removed all pronouns, changed "defense" to "defendant", and changed "prosecution" to "prosecutor".

The committee discussed at length the language in line 46 as it relates to the criminal record of the witness the prosecution intends to call at trial. The committee noted that a criminal record may not exist for the witness that is testifying. The committee made a minor language change in line 46 and created a new line 50 as (a)(5)(C). Line 50 now reads as "Upon order of the court, the criminal records, if any, of all persons whom the prosecutor intends to call as witnesses at trial."

Following further discussions, Ryan Stack moved to approved the amendments made to line 46, (a)(5)(A), and creation of line 50, (a)(5)(C), as well as all changes made to the rule as previously modified. Blake Hills seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

9. Rule 26/Expungement:

Due to lack of time, this item will be reviewed at another meeting.

10. H.B. 206 update:

Due to lack of time, this item will be reviewed at another meeting.

11. Rule 12:

Due to lack of time, this item will be reviewed at another meeting.

12. URCP 5:

Due to lack of time, this item will be reviewed at another meeting.

13. Other business:

None

14. Adjourn:

With no other business, the meeting adjourned without a motion due to the lack of a quorum. The meeting adjourned at 2:40 p.m. Next meeting is January 19, 2021 at 12 p.m. via Webex.



Rule 16 following the Supreme Court Conference last week

Douglas Thompson <dougt@utcpd.com>

Tue, Jan 12, 2021 at 11:09 AM

To: Judge Patrick Corum corum@utcourts.gov>, Jeff Gray jgray@agutah.gov>, Blake Hills

Shills@summitcounty.org>,
Judge Elizabeth Hruby cehruby@utcourts.gov>, Craig Johnson craigj@byu.net>, Joanna Landau jlandau@utah.gov>, Keri
Sargent keris@utcourts.gov>, Judge Kelly Schaeffer-Bullock kesbullock@utcourts.gov>, Ryan Stack
crstack@summitcounty.org>, Cara Tangaro tangarolaw@gmail.com>, Matthew Tokson matthew.tokson@law.utah.edu>,
Brent Johnson cbrentj@utcourts.gov>, Minhvan Brimhall cminhvanb@utcourts.gov>

Committee members,

The Rule 16 train keeps rolling down the tracks. At the Court's conference last week, the Court liked our responses to the public comments and only had two suggestions to add to our own.

The first was to clarify the language in (a)(1)(E) where we required mandatory disclosure of "reports and any notes prepared by law enforcement officials that are not incorporated into a report". The Court thought that language was a bit unclear, so my suggestion is to say "reports prepared by law enforcement officials and any notes that are not incorporated into such a report".

The second concern had to do with our non-disclosure notice requirement in (c)(2), which the Court really liked, but which they wanted to expand. Previously we had allowed prosecutors to withhold disclosing material or information they believed was not lawful to disclose. Members of the Court wanted to expand from legal requirements to include to situations where disclosure, especially with respect to early pretrial disclosures, would put a witness or codefendant at risk or would otherwise cause the defendant to know things that could be detrimental, perhaps to an ongoing investigation. The Court wanted us to think about language that could incorporate those concerns. I have added some language that might not be sufficient but should at least start the discussion:

(c)(2) If the prosecutor concludes any disclosure required under this rule is prohibited by law, or believes disclosure would endanger any person or interfere with an ongoing investigation, the prosecutor must file notice identifying the material or information withheld and the basis for non-disclosure. If disclosure is then requested by the defendant, the court must decide whether disclosure is required and whether any limitations or restrictions will apply to disclosure, such as those provided in paragraph (d).

Please take a look at these sections and give it some thought before our meeting on Tuesday Jan 19. Also, please try to make time in your schedules for this meeting as we have had to pass a few items recently (mostly because I was overbooked) and our agenda is beginning to add up.

Thank you.

Douglas J. Thompson
Utah County Public Defender Assoc.
Appeals Division
180 North University Ave., Suite 140
Provo, Utah 84601
dougt@utcpd.com
T: (801) 852.1070
F: (801) 852.1078

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE - This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read or play this transmission and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is prohibited.

If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone or return e-mail and delete the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving in any manner.

2 attachments



Rule 16 redline (Jan 6, 2021).docx 22K

1 Rule 16. Discovery.

- 2 (a) Disclosures by prosecutor. Except as otherwise provided,
- 3 (a)(1) Mandatory disclosures. The prosecutor shall must disclose to the defense defendant
- 4 upon request the following material or information directly related to the case of which the
- 5 prosecutor <u>team</u> has knowledge <u>and control</u>:
- 6 (a)(1)(A) relevant written or recorded statements of the defendant or and any codefendants,
- 7 and the substance of any unrecorded oral statements made by the defendant and any
- 8 codefendants to law enforcement officials;
- 9 (a)(1)(B) reports and results of any physical or mental examination, of any identification
- procedure, and of any scientific test or experiment;
- (a)(1)(C) physical and electronic evidence, including any warrants, warrant affidavits,
- books, papers, documents, photographs, and digital media recordings;
- 13 (a)(1)(D) written or recorded statements of witnesses;
- 14 (a)(1)(E) reports prepared by law enforcement officials and any notes that are not
- incorporated into such a report; and
- 16 (a)(1)(F) evidence that must be disclosed under the United States and Utah constitutions,
- including all evidence favorable to the defendant that is material to guilt or punishment.
- 18 (a)(2) the criminal record of the defendant;
- 19 (a)(3) physical evidence seized from the defendant or codefendant;
- 20 (a)(4) evidence known to the prosecutor that tends to negate the guilt of the accused,
- 21 mitigate the guilt of the defendant, or mitigate the degree of the offense for reduced
- 22 punishment; and
- 23 (a)(5) any other item of evidence which the court determines on good cause shown should
- 24 be made available to the defendant in order for the defendant to adequately prepare a
- 25 defense.
- 26 (b)(a)(2) Timing of prosecutor's mandatory disclosures. The prosecutor's duty to disclose
- 27 under paragraph (a)(1) is a continuing duty as the material or information becomes known
- 28 to the prosecutor. The prosecutor's disclosures must be made shall make all disclosures as
- soon as practicable following the filing of charges an Information. In every case, all
- material or information listed under paragraph (a)(1) that is presently and reasonably
- 31 available to the prosecutor must be disclosed before the preliminary hearing, if applicable,
- or before the defendant enters a plea of guilty or no contest or goes to trial, unless

- otherwise waived by the defendant. and before the defendant is required to plead. The
- 34 prosecutor has a continuing duty to make disclosure.
- 35 (a)(3) Disclosures upon request.
- 36 (a)(3)(A) Upon request, the prosecutor must obtain and disclose to the defendant any of the
- 37 <u>material or information listed in paragraph (a)(1) which is in a record possessed by another</u>
- 38 governmental agency and may be shared with the prosecutor under Title 63G, Chapter 2,
- 39 Government Records Access and Management Act. The request must identify with
- 40 particularity the record sought and the agency that possesses it, and must demonstrate that
- 41 <u>the information in the record is directly related to the case.</u>
- 42 (a)(3)(B) If the government agency refuses to share with the prosecutor the record
- containing the requested material or information under paragraph (a)(3)(A), or if the
- 44 prosecution determines that it is prohibited by law from disclosing to the defense the
- 45 record shared by the governmental agency, the prosecutor must promptly file notice stating
- the reasons for noncompliance. The defense may thereafter file an appropriate motion
- 47 <u>seeking a subpoena or other order requiring the disclosure of the requested record.</u>
- 48 (a)(4) Good cause disclosures. The prosecutor must disclose any other item of evidence
- 49 which the court determines on good cause shown should be made available to the
- 50 <u>defendant in order for the defendant to adequately prepare a defense.</u>
- 51 (a)(5) Trial disclosures. The prosecutor must also disclose to the defendant the following
- information and material no later than 14 days, or as soon as practicable, before trial:
- 53 (a)(5)(A) Unless otherwise prohibited by law, a written list of the names and current
- 54 contact information of all persons whom the prosecution intends to call as witnesses at
- 55 trial; and
- 56 (a)(5)(B) Any exhibits that the prosecution intends to introduce at trial.
- 57 (a)(5)(C) Upon order of the court, the criminal records, if any, of all persons whom the
- 58 prosecution intends to call as a witness at trial.
- 59 (a)(6) Information not subject to disclosure. Unless otherwise required by law, the
- 60 prosecution's disclosure obligations do not include information or material that is
- 61 privileged or attorney work product. Attorney work product protection is not subject to the
- 62 exception in Rule 26(b)(5) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 63 (e) (b) Disclosures by defense. Except as otherwise provided or as privileged,
- 64 (b)(1) Good cause disclosures. ‡The defense shall must disclose to the prosecutor any item
- of evidence which the court determines on good cause shown should be made available to

- the prosecutor in order for the prosecutor to adequately prepare the prosecutor's case for
- 67 <u>trial.</u>
- 68 (b)(2) Other disclosures required by statute. The defense must disclose to the prosecutor
- such information as required by statute relating to alibi or insanity and any other item of
- 70 evidence which the court determines on good cause shown should be made available to the
- 71 prosecutor in order for the prosecutor to adequately prepare the case.
- 72 (b)(3) Trial disclosures. The defense must also disclose to the prosecutor the following
- 73 <u>information and material no later than 14 days, or as soon as practicable, before trial:</u>
- 74 (b)(3)(A) A written list of the names and current contact information of all persons, except
- for the defendant, whom the defense intends to call as witnesses at trial; and
- 76 (b)(3)(B) Any exhibits that the defense intends to introduce at trial.
- (b)(4) Information not subject to disclosure. The defendant's disclosure obligations do not
- include information or material that is privileged or attorney work product. Attorney work
- 79 product protection is not subject to the exception in Rule 26(b)(5) of the Utah Rules of
- 80 Civil Procedure.
- 81 (d) Timing of defense disclosures. Unless otherwise provided, the defense attorney shall
- 82 make all disclosures at least 14 days before trial or as soon as practicable. The defense has
- 83 a continuing duty to make disclosure.
- 84 (e) (c) Methods of disclosure. When convenience reasonably requires,
- 85 (c)(1) The prosecutor or defense defendant may make disclosure by notifying the
- opposing party that material and information may be inspected, tested or copied at
- specified reasonable times and places.
- 88 (c)(2) If the prosecutor concludes any disclosure required under this rule is prohibited by
- law, or believes disclosure would endanger any person or interfere with an ongoing
- 90 investigation, the prosecutor must file notice identifying the material or information
- withheld and the basis for non-disclosure. If disclosure is then requested by the defendant,
- the court must decide whether disclosure is required and whether any limitations or
- restrictions will apply to disclosure, such as those provided in paragraph (d).
- 94 (d) Disclosure limitations and restrictions.
- 95 (d)(1) The prosecutor or defense defendant may impose reasonable limitations on the
- 96 further dissemination of sensitive information otherwise subject to discovery to prevent
- 97 improper use of the information or to protect victims and witnesses from harassment,
- abuse, or undue invasion of privacy, including limitations on the further dissemination of
- 99 <u>videotaped recorded</u> interviews, photographs, or psychological or medical reports.

- (f)(d)(2) Restrictions on disclosure. Upon a sufficient showing the court may at any time
- order that discovery or inspection be denied, restricted, or deferred, that limitations on the
- further dissemination of discovery be modified or make such other order as is appropriate.
- 103 Upon motion by a party, the court may permit the party to make such showing, in whole or
- in part, in the form of a written statement to be inspected by the judge alone. If the court
- enters an order granting relief following such an ex parte showing, the entire text of the
- party's statement shall be sealed and preserved in the records of the court to be
- made available to the appellate court in the event of an appeal.
- 108 (g)(e) Relief and sanctions for Ffailing to disclose.
- (e)(1) When a party fails to comply with the disclosure requirements of this rule, If at any
- time during the course of the proceedings it is brought to the attention of the court that a
- party has failed to comply with this rule, the court may, subject to constitutional limitations
- and the rules of evidence, take the measures or impose the sanctions provided in this
- paragraph that it deems appropriate under the circumstances. If a party has failed to
- comply with this rule, the court may take one or more of the following actions:
- (e)(1)(A) order such party to permit the discovery or inspection, of the undisclosed
- 116 <u>material or information;</u>
- 117 (e)(1)(B) grant a continuance of the proceedings; or
- (e)(1)(C) prohibit the party from introducing evidence not disclosed; or
- (e)(1)(D) it may enter such other order such other relief as it the court deems just under the
- 120 circumstances.
- (e)(2) If after a hearing the court finds that a party has knowingly and willfully failed to
- comply with an order of the court compelling disclosure under this rule, the nondisclosing
- party or attorney may be held in contempt of court and subject to the penalties thereof.
- 124 (f) Identification evidence.
- 125 (f)(1) (h) Additional requirements that may be imposed on the accused. Subject to
- constitutional limitations and upon good cause shown, the trial court may order the
- 127 <u>defendant to</u> the accused may be required to:
- 128 $\frac{\text{(h)(1)}}{\text{appear in a lineup;}}$
- 129 $\frac{\text{(h)(2)}}{\text{speak for identification;}}$
- $\frac{\text{(h)(3)}}{\text{submit to fingerprinting or the making of other bodily impressions;}}$
- $\frac{\text{(h)(4)}}{\text{pose for photographs not involving reenactment of the crime;}}$

- $\frac{\text{(h)(5)}}{\text{(try on articles of clothing or other items of disguise;}}$
- 133 (h)(6) permit the taking of samples of blood, hair, fingernail scrapings, and other bodily
- materials which can be obtained without unreasonable intrusion;
- $\frac{\text{(h)}(7)}{\text{provide specimens of handwriting;}}$
- 136 (h)(8) submit to reasonable physical or medical inspection of the accused's body; and
- 137 (h)(9) cut hair or allow hair to grow to approximate appearance at the time of the alleged
- offense.
- (f)(2) Whenever the personal appearance of the accused is required for the foregoing
- purposes, reasonable notice of the time and place of such appearance shall be given to the
- accused and the accused's counsel.
- (f)(3) Unless relieved by court order, Ffailure of the accused to appear or to comply with
- the requirements of this paragraph rule, unless relieved by order of the court, without
- reasonable excuse shall be grounds for revocation of pre-trial release and will subject the
- defendant to such further consequences or sanctions as the court may deem appropriate,
- including allowing the prosecutor to offer as evidence at trial the defendant's failure to
- 147 comply with this paragraph., may be offered as evidence in the prosecutor's case in chief
- 148 for consideration along with other evidence concerning the guilt of the accused and shall
- be subject to such further sanctions as the court should deem appropriate.

1 Rule 7. Initial proceedings for class A misdemeanors and felonies.

2 (a) **First appearance.** At the defendant's first appearance, the court must inform the defendant: (a)(1) of the charge in the information or indictment and furnish a copy; 3 (a)(2) of any affidavit or recorded testimony given in support of the information and how 4 to obtain them: 5 6 (a)(3) of the right to retain counsel or have counsel appointed by the court without expense if unable to obtain counsel; 7 8 (a)(4) of rights concerning pretrial release, including bail; and (a)(5) that the defendant is not required to make any statement, and that any statement the 9 defendant makes may be used against the defendant in a court of law. 10 11 (b) **Right to counsel.** If the defendant is present at the initial appearance without counsel, the court must determine if the defendant is capable of retaining the services of an attorney within a 12 reasonable time. If the court determines the defendant has such resources, the court must allow 13 the defendant a reasonable time and opportunity to retain and consult with counsel. If the court 14 15 determines the defendant is indigent, the court must appoint counsel pursuant to Rule 8, unless the defendant knowingly and intelligently waives the right to counsel. 16 17 (c) Release conditions. (c)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (c), -, the court must address whether the defendant 18 19 is entitled to pretrial releaseissue a pretrial status order pursuant to Utah Code § section 77-20-1. Parties should be prepared to address this issue, including notice requirements 20 under Utah Code section 77-37-3 and Utah Code section 77-38-3., and if so, what if any 21 conditions the court will impose to reasonably ensure the continued appearance of the 22 23 defendant, integrity of the judicial process, and safety of the community. The court must utilize the least restrictive conditions needed to meet those goals. 24 (c)(2) The determination of pretrial release eligibility and conditions may be reviewed 25 and modified upon application by either party based on a material change in 26 circumstances, or other good cause. 27 (c)(2) A motion to modify the pretrial status order issued at initial appearance may be 28 29 made by either party at any time upon notice to the opposing party sufficient to permit the opposing party to prepare for the hearing and to permit each alleged victim to be notified 30 and be present. 31 (c)(3) Subsequent motions to modify a pretrial status order may be made only upon a 32 showing that there has been a material change in circumstances. 33

34 35	(c)(4) A hearing on a motion to modify a pretrial status order may be held in conjunction with a preliminary hearing or any other pretrial hearing.
36 37 38 39	(d) Continuances. If counsel are not prepared Upon application of either party and a showing of good cause, the court shall may allow up to a seven day continuance of the hearing to allow for preparation, including notification to any victims. The court may allow more than seven days with the consent of the defendant.
40	(e) Right to preliminary examination.
41 42 43 44	(e)(1) The court must inform the defendant of the right to a preliminary examination and the times for holding the hearing. If the defendant waives the right to a preliminary examination, and the prosecuting attorney consents, the court must order the defendant bound over for trial.
45 46 47 48 49 50 51	(e)(2) If the defendant does not waive a preliminary examination, the court must schedule the preliminary examination upon request. The examination must be held within a reasonable time, but not later than 14 days if the defendant is in custody for the offense charged and not later than 28 days if the defendant is not in custody. These time periods may be extended by the magistrate for good cause shown. Upon consent of the parties, the court may schedule the case for other proceedings before scheduling a preliminary hearing.
52	(e)(3) A preliminary examination may not be held if the defendant is indicted.
53	Effective May 1, 2018October 1, 2020

Rule 7A. Procedures for arraignment on class B or C misdemeanors, or infractions.
(a) Initial appearance. At the defendant's initial appearance, the court must inform the defendant:
(a)(1) of the charge in the information, indictment, or citation and furnish a copy;
(a)(2) of any affidavit or recorded testimony given in support of the information and how to obtain them;
(a)(3) of the right to retain counsel or have counsel appointed by the court without expense if unable to obtain counsel;
(a)(4) of rights concerning pretrial release, including bail; and
(a)(5) that the defendant is not required to make any statement, and that any statement the defendant makes may be used against the defendant in a court of law.
(b) Right to counsel. If the defendant is present at the initial appearance without counsel, the court must determine if the defendant is capable of retaining the services of an attorney within a reasonable time. If the court determines the defendant has such resources, the court must allow the defendant a reasonable time and opportunity to retain and consult with counsel. If the court determines defendant is indigent, the court must appoint counsel pursuant to

34	(d) Continuances. Upon application of either party and a showing of good cause, the court may
35	allow up to a seven day continuance of the hearing to allow for preparation, including
36	notification to any victims. The court may allow more than seven days with the consent of the
37	defendant.
38	(c)(2) The determination of pretrial release eligibility and conditions, may be reviewed
39	and modified upon application by either party based on a material change in
40	circumstances, or other good cause.
40	eneumstances, or other good cause.
41	(d) Continuances. If defense counsel is not present or not yet prepared, the court must
42	allow up to a seven day continuance of the hearing to allow for preparation. The court
43	may allow more than seven days with the consent of the defendant.
44	(e) Entering a plea.
45	(e)(1) If defendant is prepared with counsel, or if defendant waives the right to be
46	represented by counsel, the court must call upon the defendant to enter a plea.
47	(e)(2) If the plea is guilty, the court must sentence the defendant as provided by law.
48	(e)(3) If the plea is not guilty, the court must set the matter for trial or a pretrial
49	conference within a reasonable time. Such time should be no longer than 30 days if
50	defendant is in custody.
51	(e)(4) The court may administratively enter a not guilty plea for the defendant. If the
52	court has appointed counsel, the defendant does not desire to enter a plea, or for other
53	good cause, the court must then schedule a pretrial conference.
))	good cause, the court must then senedule a pretrial conference.
54	Effective May 1, 2018October 1, 2020
٠.	211000 10 1110 ₁ 1, 2010 <u>000001 1, 2020</u>

1 Rule 9. Proceedings for persons arrested without a warrant on suspicion of a crime.

2	(a)(1) Probable cause determination.
3 4 5 6 7	(a)(1) A person arrested and delivered to a correctional facility without a warrant for an offense must be presented without unnecessary delay before a magistrate for the determination of probable cause and whether the suspect qualifies eligibility for pretrial release under-pursuant to Utah Code § 77-20-1, and if so, what if any conditions of release are warranted.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(a)(2)(A) The arresting officer, custodial authority, or prosecutor with authority over the most serious offense for which defendant was arrested must, as soon as reasonably feasible but in no event longer than 24 hours after the arrest, present to a magistrate a sworn statement that contains the facts known to support probable cause to believe the defendant has committed a crime. The statement must contain any facts known to the affiant that are relevant to determining the appropriateness of precharge release and the conditions thereof.
15 16	(a)(32)(B) If available, the magistrate should also be presented the results of a validated pretrial risk assessment tool.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(a)(42)(C) The magistrate must review the information provided and determine if probable cause exists to believe the defendant committed the offense or offenses described. If the magistrate finds there is probable cause, the magistrate must determine if the person is eligible for pretrial release pursuant to Utah Code § 77-20-1. The magistrate will impose the least restrictive reasonably available conditions of release reasonably necessary to: , and what if any conditions on that release are reasonably necessary to:
24 25	(a)($\frac{42}{\text{C}}$)(i) ensure the <u>individual's</u> appearance of the accused at future court proceedings;
26 27	(a)(42)(BC)(ii) ensure the integrity of the judicial processthat the individual will not obstruct or attempt to obstruct the criminal justice process;
28 29 30	(a)(42)(C)(iii) prevent direct or indirect contact with witnesses or victims by the accused, if appropriateensure the safety of any witnesses or victims of the offense allegedly committed by the individual; and
31	$(a)(\underline{42})(\underline{DC})(\underline{iv})$ ensure the safety <u>and welfare</u> of the public and the community.
32 33 34	(a)($\frac{52}{D}$) If the magistrate finds the statement does not support probable cause to support the charges filed, the magistrate may determine what if any charges are supported, and proceed under subsection paragraph (a)($\frac{42}{C}$).

35 36	(a)(62)(E) If probable cause is not articulated for any charge, the magistrate must return the statement to the submitting authority indicating such.
37 38 39 40	(a)(73) A statement that is verbally communicated by telephone must be reduced to a sworn written statement prior to presentment to the magistrate. The statement must be retained by the submitting authority and as soon as practicable, a copy shall be delivered to the magistrate who made the determination.
41	(a)(<u>8</u> 4) The arrestee need not be present at the probable cause determination.
42	(b) Magistrate availability.
43 44 45 46	(b)(1) The information required in subsection-paragraph (a)(2) may be presented to any magistrate, although if the judicial district has adopted a magistrate rotation, the presentment should be in accord with that schedule or rotation. If the arrestee is charge with a capital offense, the magistrate may not be a justice court judge.
47 48 49	(b)(2) If a person is arrested in a county other than where the offense was alleged to have been committed, the arresting authority may present the person to a magistrate in the location arrested, or in the county where the crime was committed.
50	(c) Time for review.
51 52 53	(c)(1) Unless the time is extended at 24 hours after booking, if no probable cause determination and <u>pretrial status</u> order <u>setting bail</u> have been received by the custodial authority, the defendant must be released on the arrested charges on recognizance.
54 55 56 57	(c)(2) During the 24 hours after arrest, for good cause shown an arresting officer, custodial authority, or prosecutor with authority over the most serious offense for which defendant was arrested may request an additional 24 hours to hold a defendant and prepare the probable cause statement or request for release conditions.
58 59	(c)(3) If after 24 hours, the suspect remains in custody, an information must be filed without delay charging the suspect with offenses from the incident leading to the arrest.
60 61 62	(c)(4)(A) If no information has been filed by 3:00pm on the fourth calendar day after the defendant was booked, the release conditions set under subsection (a)($\frac{42}{1}$)(B) shall reverto recognizance release.
63 64	(c)(4)(B) The four day period in this subsection may be extended upon application of the prosecutor for a period of three more days, for good cause shown.
65 66	(c)(4)(C) If the time periods in this subsection (c)(4)(A) and (c)(4)(B) expire on weekend or legal holiday, the period expires at 3:00pm on the next business day

- (d) Other processes. Nothing in this rule is intended to preclude the accomplishment of other
 procedural processes at the time of the probable cause determination referred to in subsection
 (a)(2).
- 70 Effective November 18, 2019 October 1, 2020

Draft: October 14, 2020

1 Rule 26. Written orders, judgments and decrees.

- 2 (a) In all pretrial and post-conviction rulings by a court, counsel for the party or parties obtaining
- 3 the ruling shall within 14 days, or within a shorter time as the court may direct, file with the
- 4 court a proposed order, judgment, or decree in conformity with the ruling.
- 5 (b) Copies of the proposed findings, judgments, and orders shall be served upon opposing
- 6 counsel before being presented to the court for signature unless the court otherwise orders.
- 7 Notice of objections shall be submitted to the court and counsel within five days after service.
- 8 (c) All orders, judgments, and decrees shall be prepared in such a manner as to show whether
- 9 they are entered based on a ruling after a hearing or argument, the stipulation of counsel, the
- motion of counsel or upon the court's own initiative, and shall identify the attorneys of record in
- the cause or proceeding in which the judgment, order or decree is made. If the order, judgment,
- or decree is the result of a hearing, the order shall include the date of the hearing, the nature of
- the hearing, and the names of the attorneys and parties present at the hearing.
- 14 (d) The trial court shall prepare the final judgment and sentence, and any commitment
- order. The trial court shall serve the final judgment and sentence on the parties and immediately
- transmit the commitment order to the county sheriff.
- 17 (e) All orders, judgments and decrees shall be prepared as separate documents and shall not
- include any matters by reference unless otherwise directed by the court.
- 19 (f) No orders, judgments, or decrees based upon stipulation shall be signed or entered unless the
- stipulation is in writing, signed by the attorneys of record for the respective parties and filed with
- 21 the clerk or the stipulation was made on the record.
- 22 (g) Every prosecuting entity must provide to the Administrative Office of the Courts a single
- email address where notices may be sent in automatic expungement cases. If the prosecuting
- 24 entity changes the email address, the prosecuting entity must immediately notify the
- 25 Administrative Office of the Courts.
- 26 Effective November 1, 2015

Rule _____ DRAFT: May 2020

	Rule)	Automatic Expungement
1	(a)	Definition	ons
2		(a)(1)	"AOC" means the Administrative Office of the Court.
3 4		(a)(2)	"Bureau" means the Bureau of Criminal Identification of the Department of Public Safety.
5		(a)(3)	"Clean slate eligible case" means the same as defined in Utah Code §77-40-102.
6 7		(a)(4)	"Conviction" means a judgment by a criminal court on a verdict or finding of guilty after trial, a plea of guilty, or a plea of nolo contendere.
8		(a)(5)	"Expunge" means to seal or otherwise restrict access to the individual's record when the record includes a criminal investigation, detention, arrest, or conviction.
10	(b)	Cases e	eligible for automatic expungement
11		(b)(1)	Records in the following case types may be expunged automatically:
12			(b)(1)(A) a case that resulted in an acquittal on all charges;
13 14			(b)(1)(B) except as provided in paragraph (b)(2), a case that is dismissed with prejudice; and
15			(b)(1)(C) a clean slate eligible case.
16 17		(b)(2)	A case that is dismissed after completion of a plea in abeyance agreement is not eligible for automatic expungement.
18	(c)	Identify	ing eligible cases
19 20 21 22		(c)(1)	If funding is available to create technology that can automatically identify cases eligible for automatic expungement, once a month the AOC must identify for each court the cases that are eligible for automatic expungement. The AOC must separately identify the cases that are clean slate eligible.
23 24 25		(c)(2)	If technology is not available, a person seeking expungement must file a petition under Utah Code 77-40-107. A person may also submit a written request, on a form provided by the court, to the court where the person's case is located to

have the person's case included on the list of cases eligible for expungement.

26

Rule _____ **DRAFT: May 2020** 27 The request must include the person's name, court where the case is located. 28 case number, and person's date of birth. The court must confirm eligibility before 29 including the case on a list of eligible cases. 30 **Notice to prosecuting entities** (d) 31 (d)(1)When a list of clean slate eligible cases is created, the AOC must email a list of 32 eligible cases to the entity that prosecuted the case. The information for each 33 clean slate eligible case must include, at a minimum, the individual's first name, 34 last name, date of birth, and case number. 35 (d)2)Every prosecuting entity in the state must provide the AOC with the email 36 address where notices should be sent. The prosecuting entity must immediately 37 notify the AOC if the entity wants the notices sent to a different email address. 38 (d)(3)The AOC is not required to send the prosecuting entity the lists of cases to be 39 expunged under paragraphs (b)(1)(A) and (b)(1)(B). 40 Objection by prosecuting entities (e) 41 If the prosecuting entity objects to the expungement of a clean slate eligible case, (e)(1)42 the prosecuting agency must e-file an objection within 35 days of the date notice 43 was sent under paragraph (d)(1). If an objection is received, the AOC must remove the case from the list of clean slate eligible cases. 44 45 (e)(2)Failure to properly e-file an objection will result in the objection being rejected. 46 (e)(3)After the period for objections has expired, the AOC will provide each court with a 47 list of the remaining clean slate eligible cases. **Expungement orders** 48 (f) 49 (f)(1)Upon receiving a list of cases eligible for automatic expungement, the court must 50 issue an expungement order for each eligible case. 51 (f)(2)The AOC must provide copies of the expungement orders to the bureau and the

52

53

prosecuting entity.

Effective _____

Rule 17.5. Hearings with contemporaneous transmission from a different location.

- (a) The court, in its discretion, may conduct the arraignment, bail hearing, and/or initial appearance with a defendant attending by contemporaneous transmission from a different location without the agreement of the parties or waiver of the defendant's attendance in person.
- (b) For any other type of hearing, the court may conduct the hearing with a defendant attending by contemporaneous transmission from a different location only if the parties agree and the defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives attendance in person.
- (c) <u>Subject to subsection (d)</u>. For good cause and with appropriate safeguards the court may permit testimony in open court by contemporaneous transmission from a different location if the party not calling the witness waives the right to confront the witness in person.
- (d) In misdemeanor cases, a forensic toxicologist may provide testimony by contemporaneous transmission in open court from a different location. The court may order a forensic toxicologist to appear in person on a showing of good cause by either party.
- (e) Nothing in this rule precludes or affects the procedures in rule 15.5.

Supreme Court Advisory Committee on the Rules of Civil Procedure, Subcommittee on Expungement

Suggestion for addition to Rule 5, Utah Rules of Civil Procedure

(a)(4) Service in expungement actions.

Service of a Petition for Expungement may be made by petitioner filing with the court a Petition for Expungement, BCI Certificate, and proposed Order, along with a Certificate of Service documenting delivery of the Petition and BCI certificate, under this rule, to the prosecutor's office. If the petitioner is unable to locate the prosecutorial office that handled the court proceedings, the petitioner shall deliver the copy of the Petition and BCI certificate to the county attorney's office in the jurisdiction where the arrest occurred. Once 60 days has passed after service on the prosecutorial office, and if the court has not issued an Order, the petitioner may file a request to submit for decision as provided in Rule 7(g).

Here is current Rule 5 with the proposed addition highlighted:

Rule 5. Service and filing of pleadings and other papers.

- (a) When service is required.
- (a)(1) Papers that must be served. Except as otherwise provided in these rules or as otherwise directed by the court, the following papers must be served on every party:
 - (a)(1)(A) a judgment;
 - (a)(1)(B) an order that states it must be served;
 - (a)(1)(C) a pleading after the original complaint;
 - (a)(1)(D) a paper relating to disclosure or discovery;
 - (a)(1)(E) a paper filed with the court other than a motion that may be heard ex parte; and
 - (a)(1)(F) a written notice, appearance, demand, offer of judgment, or similar paper.
 - (a)(2) Serving parties in default. No service is required on a party who is in default except that:
 - (a)(2)(A) a party in default must be served as ordered by the court;
 - (a)(2)(B) a party in default for any reason other than for failure to appear must be served as provided in paragraph (a)(1);
 - (a)(2)(C) a party in default for any reason must be served with notice of any hearing to determine the amount of damages to be entered against the defaulting party;
 - (a)(2)(D) a party in default for any reason must be served with notice of entry of judgment under Rule 58A(d); and
 - (a)(2)(E) a party in default for any reason must be served under Rule $\underline{4}$ with pleadings asserting new or additional claims for relief against the party.

(a)(3) Service in actions begun by seizing property. If an action is begun by seizing property and no person is or need be named as defendant, any service required before the filing of an answer, claim or appearance must be made upon the person who had custody or possession of the property when it was seized.

(a)(4) Service in expungement actions.

Service of a Petition for Expungement may be made by petitioner filing with the court a Petition for Expungement, BCI Certificate, and proposed Order, along with a Certificate of Service documenting delivery of the Petition and BCI certificate, under this rule, to the prosecutor's office. If the petitioner is unable to locate the prosecutorial office that handled the court proceedings, the petitioner shall deliver the copy of the Petition and BCI certificate to the county attorney's office in the jurisdiction where the arrest occurred. Once 60 days has passed after service on the prosecutorial office, and if the court has not issued an Order, the petitioner may file a request to submit for decision as provided in Rule 7(g).

(b) How service is made.

- **(b)(1) Whom to serve.** If a party is represented by an attorney, a paper served under this rule must be served upon the attorney unless the court orders service upon the party. Service must be made upon the attorney and the party if:
 - (b)(1)(A) an attorney has filed a Notice of Limited Appearance under Rule $\underline{75}$ and the papers being served relate to a matter within the scope of the Notice; or
 - (b)(1)(B) a final judgment has been entered in the action and more than 90 days has elapsed from the date a paper was last served on the attorney.
- **(b)(2) When to serve.** If a hearing is scheduled 7 days or less from the date of service, a party must serve a paper related to the hearing by the method most likely to be promptly received. Otherwise, a paper that is filed with the court must be served before or on the same day that it is filed.
 - (b)(3) Methods of service. A paper is served under this rule by:
 - (b)(3)(A) except in the juvenile court, submitting it for electronic filing, or the court submitting it to the electronic filing service provider, if the person being served has an electronic filing account;
 - (b)(3)(B) emailing it to
 - (b)(3)(B)(i) the most recent email address provided by the person to the court under $\underline{\text{Rule}}$ $\underline{10(a)(3)}$ or $\underline{\text{Rule 76}}$, or
 - (b)(3)(B)(ii) to the email address on file with the Utah State Bar;
 - (b)(3)(C) mailing it to the person's last known address;
 - (b)(3)(D) handing it to the person;
 - (b)(3)(E) leaving it at the person's office with a person in charge or, if no one is in charge, leaving it in a receptacle intended for receiving deliveries or in a conspicuous place;
 - (b)(3)(F) leaving it at the person's dwelling house or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there; or
 - (b)(3)(G) any other method agreed to in writing by the parties.
 - (b)(4) When service is effective. Service by mail or electronic means is complete upon sending.
 - (b)(5) Who serves. Unless otherwise directed by the court:

- (b)(5)(A) every paper required to be served must be served by the party preparing it; and (b)(5)(B) every paper prepared by the court will be served by the court.
- **(c) Serving numerous defendants.** If an action involves an unusually large number of defendants, the court, upon motion or its own initiative, may order that:
 - (c)(1) a defendant's pleadings and replies to them do not need to be served on the other defendants;
- (c)(2) any cross-claim, counterclaim avoidance or affirmative defense in a defendant's pleadings and replies to them are deemed denied or avoided by all other parties;
- (c)(3) filing a defendant's pleadings and serving them on the plaintiff constitutes notice of them to all other parties; and
 - (c)(4) a copy of the order must be served upon the parties.
- (d) Certificate of service. A paper required by this rule to be served, including electronically filed papers, must include a signed certificate of service showing the name of the document served, the date and manner of service and on whom it was served. Except in the juvenile court, this paragraph does not apply to papers required to be served under paragraph (b)(5)(B) when service to all parties is made under paragraph (b)(3)(A).
- (e) Filing. Except as provided in Rule $\underline{7(j)}$ and Rule $\underline{26(f)}$, all papers after the complaint that are required to be served must be filed with the court. Parties with an electronic filing account must file a paper electronically. A party without an electronic filing account may file a paper by delivering it to the clerk of the court or to a judge of the court. Filing is complete upon the earliest of acceptance by the electronic filing system, the clerk of court or the judge.
 - (f) Filing an affidavit or declaration. If a person files an affidavit or declaration, the filer may:
 - (f)(1) electronically file the original affidavit with a notary acknowledgment as provided by Utah Code Section 46-1-16(7);
 - (f)(2) electronically file a scanned image of the affidavit or declaration;
 - (f)(3) electronically file the affidavit or declaration with a conformed signature; or
 - (f)(4) if the filer does not have an electronic filing account, present the original affidavit or declaration to the clerk of the court, and the clerk will electronically file a scanned image and return the original to the filer.

The filer must keep an original affidavit or declaration of anyone other than the filer safe and available for inspection upon request until the action is concluded, including any appeal or until the time in which to appeal has expired.

Advisory Committee Notes

Effective May 1, 2019