Supreme Court's Advisory Committee on the Rules of Criminal Procedure

Matheson Courthouse
Café Meeting Room (formerly Executive Dining Room), W18A
450 South State Street
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
March 17, 2019
12:00 p.m. - 2:00 p.m.

AGENDA

1.	Welcome and approval of minutes	-	Doug Thompson
2.	Update on restitution rule	-	Doug Thompson
3.	Update on probation consolidation	-	Doug Thompson
4.	URCrP 16	-	Doug Thompson
5.	Legislative update	-	Doug Thompson/Brent Johnson
6.	Follow-up on URCrP 9 and 9A	-	Brent Johnson
7.	Other business	-	Doug Thompson
8.	Adjourn		

Rules of Criminal Procedure 2020 meeting dates. Meeting times are from 12-2 pm, unless otherwise noted:

January 21	July 21
March 17	September 15

May 19 November 17

Supreme Court's Advisory Committee on the Rules of Criminal Procedure

MEETING MINUTES

Café Meeting Room (W18A), Matheson Courthouse 450 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 January 21, 2020 – 12:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m.

DRAFT

DRESENT EXCUSED

IVIEIVIDERS:	PRESENT	EVCOSED
Douglas Thompson, Chair	•	
Jensie Anderson		•
Judge Patrick Corum		
Jeffrey S. Gray	•	
Judge Elizabeth Hruby-Mills		•
Blake Hills	•	
Craig Johnson		•
Joanna Landau		•
Keri Sargent	•	
Judge Kelly Schaeffer-Bullock		•
Ryan Stack	•	
Cara Tangaro	•	

GUESTS:

None

STAFF:

Brent Johnson Minhvan Brimhall (recording secretary)

1. Welcome ad approval of minutes:

Douglas Thompson welcomed the committee members to the meeting. The Committee discussed the November 19, 2019 minutes. There being no changes to the minutes, Blake Hills moved to approve the minutes. Ryan Stack seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

2. Investigative Subpoenas, Utah Code 77-22:

Mr. Thompson received an email from Mike Brown, Utah County Public Defender Association, following the November meeting. Mr. Brown presented proposed amendments to rule 14 and rule 40. Mr. Brown suggests that when a case is filed with the court, the requesting party should make the first attempt to request a search warrant with the court in which the case was filed. This suggestion stems from a case involving a dispute over discovery. Rather than allowing the judge to resolve the discovery dispute issue, a search warrant was issued and executed by a signing judge. The defense counsel felt this allowed the prosecutor to go around the discovery process.

MEMBERS.

The proposed language in rule 14 directs parties seeking a search warrant or an investigative subpoena in a pending case to do so in the manner outlined in rule 40. The idea is to cut down on "forum shopping."

Mr. Thompson reviewed Mr. Brown's proposed language and created a subsection (d). The section reads as:

"If you are seeking investigation that relates to an ongoing case that proceeds to authorize testimony related to a crime that has already been charged, or a crime in a single episode as defined by code, that application must be submitted to the magistrate of the court to which the case is assigned. The application to another magistrate or court may only occur if the assigned court is unavailable and the applicant demonstrates that waiting for the assigned court could result in a loss or destruction of evidence."

Mr. Thompson noted that there may be times when timing is of the essence. The amendment would place the burden on the filing party to define why the warrant is necessary to be filed that day. The subpoenas would be filed on on-going cases. The proposed language would be the same for rule 40.

The committee discussed that the language may not apply to rule 14 as any subpoenas made by deuces tecum must be in filed in the assigned court. The current process requires that all subpoenas be submitted through e-file in which any judge is able to sign for the warrant, thus eliminating the process of going behind a judge's back in seeking a warrant. Mr. Thompson states that the amendments would not apply to any case that has not been filed. Following further discussions, the committee was unable to come to a consensus on the proposed language. Mr. Thompson would like to have judges weigh in on the proposed amendments and recommends holding this item over for further discussions at another meeting. Jeff Gray suggests that language to the proposed amendment should include language from any rules in which a warrant would apply.

With no further discussions, and no motions made, this item will be tabled over to a future meeting for further discussion.

3. Rule 4, 6, 38 – back from public comment: no comments received

Rules 4, 6, and 38 are back from public comment. No comments were received for these rules. The rules are ready to move forward to the Supreme Court for final approval.

With no further discussions, Cara Tangaro moved to approve the rules as proposed and forward to the Supreme Court for final approval. Mr. Stack seconded the motion. All those in attendance preliminarily approved the motion. A final vote for approval will be sought via email from those who were unable to attend the meeting.

4. Rule 22:

In a recent meeting with the clerks of court, the clerks expressed concerns that law enforcement officers are not complying with the rule on commitment orders. They are not filing returns when defendants are committed to jail or otherwise incarcerated. The clerks suggest a language change to reflect current practices with the court sending commitment order to the jail and nothing that in the docket.

With no further discussions, Ms. Tangaro moved to approve the updated language to rule 22 as proposed and send to Supreme Court for approval to post for public comment. Mr. Gray seconded the motion. All those in attendance preliminarily approved the motion. A final vote for approval will be sought via email from those who were unable to attend the meeting.

5. Rule 9 and 9A – back from public comment:

Rules 9 and 9A have returned from public comment. One comment was received for these rules. The received comment reflects concerns that have previously been addressed by the committee. The subcommittee on rules 9 and 9A has met to discuss concerns about the rules. The subcommittee made substantial recommendations to amend the rule in an attempt to avoid a complete revision of the rules. The rules are currently effective subject to any changes that may be made at a future time.

Mr. Thompson states that the Board of District Court Judges expressed concerns regarding the impact these rules will have on rural areas. Rural areas do not hold regular first appearance calendars and do not meet as often as suburban locations. A major challenge for rural areas is getting pre-trial services to defendants who are not in close proximity to a courthouse, or are arrested in a county that is different from the county in which the warrant was issued.

The committee discussed the use of "magistrate." A magistrate in a county other than where the warrant was issued may be able to determine if a person is eligible for pretrial services, but would not be able to determine a bail amount or conduct the initial hearing. The committee expressed concerns of potential confusion this may cause. Mr. Johnson will review and provide clarification of the responsibility of a judge and a magistrate, and create new language to be presented at a future meeting. Mr. Thompson noted that protection in (b)(1) is good if it is determined who is supposed to conduct the review, or good if it is determined the type of review being held. If just a paper review there should be no reason it cannot be the issuing judge that completes the review. Mr. Thompson also notes that (d) protects the defendant from being held longer in detention than necessary.

Mr. Johnson states that the intent of rules 9 and 9A stems from the pre-trial risk assessment tools that are generated when a defendant has been arrested on a warrant. The original intention of the tool was to give judges a way to determine the level of risk

of a defendant and set an appropriate bail amount. Only the judge at the pre-trial hearing would see the assessment after the person has been arrested and awaiting the initial court appearance. Ms. Tangaro expressed concerns that some counties may deem this to be the other county's problem and kick it back to the issuing county to hear the matter. Ms. Tangaro suggests that the rules provide direction and clarification as to which county is responsible to hear the matter, and review the arrest warrant and pre-trial risk assessment.

Following further discussions, and because of the sensitive nature of these rules, Mr. Johnson recommends any decision and further discussion be held over for a future meeting to allow more committee members to voice their concerns. The committee could make proposed changes and then distribute those changes to committee members to vote via email in the interim.

With no motion or vote, this item will be tabled over to a future meeting for further discussion.

6. Other business – SB 23:

The Legislature is considering SB 23. The Judicial Council has asked the committee to review the bill and determine whether there are matters that should be addressed in rule rather than in statute. Mr. Thompson recalls that section (b) is a repeat of rule 14 and that the committee had previously made amendments to that section.

The committee discussed implementing a mechanism by which the defense on the case could see the records of the victim. The bill appears to address information gathered from a national drug database. Mr. Thompson clarified that the changes the committee made to rule 14 were meant to say that any party seeking that type of information would still need to go through the process outlined in the rule. And any information in the drug database may be covered by rule 14 as privileged. If the information is privileged it will require review by the court, but if not, the party will at least get notice of the subpoena. Mr. Johnson does not believe the information is privileged because it is in a database. Rule 14 would need to be amended to account for this type of information. This would also create a new substantive right for the victim.

Mr. Thompson recommends that the committee's response to the bill's sponsor be that subsections (b), (c), and (d) overlaps with rule 14 and belong better in a rule. The committee also recommends that procedural steps be incorporated into the rule.

Mr. Thompson states that the court is reviewing the committee's proposals to rule 16 tomorrow.

Mr. Johnson will communicate with the court's legislative liaison, Michael Drechsel, the committee's response to the bill and its connection to rule 14, and that the committee will work with the legislature to address any concerns.

6. Adjourn:

With no other business, the meeting adjourned without a motion. The meeting adjourned at 1:20 pm. The next meeting is scheduled for March 17 at 12 pm (noon) in the Café Meeting room (formerly Executive Dining Room).

- 1 Rule 16. Discovery.
- 2 (a) Disclosures by prosecutor.
- 3 (a)(1) Mandatory disclosures. Except as otherwise provided, The prosecutor shall must disclose
- 4 to the defense upon request the following material or information related to the case of which the
- 5 prosecutor team has knowledge and control:
- 6 (a)(1)(A) relevant written or recorded statements of the defendant or and any codefendants, and
- 7 the substance of any unrecorded oral statements made by the defendant and any codefendants to
- 8 law enforcement officials;
- 9 (a)(2)(1)(B) the criminal record of the defendant and any co-defendants;
- 10 (a)(1)(C) reports and results of any physical or mental examination, of any identification
- 11 procedure, and of any scientific test or experiment;
- (a)(3)(1)(D) physical and electronic evidence, including any warrants, warrant affidavits, books,
- papers, documents, photographs, and digital media recordings seized from the defendant or
- 14 codefendant;
- 15 (a)(1)(E) written or recorded statements of witnesses;
- 16 (a)(1)(F) reports and notes prepared by law enforcement officials;
- (a)(4)(1)(G) evidence known to the prosecutor that must be disclosed under the United States and
- 18 Utah constitutions, including all evidence favorable to the defendant that is material to tends to
- 19 negate the guilt of the accused, mitigate the guilt of the defendant, or mitigate the degree of the
- 20 offense for reduced punishment; and
- (a)(5)(1)(H) any other item of evidence which the court determines on good cause shown should
- be made available to the defendant in order for the defendant to adequately prepare a defense.
- 23 (a)(2) Disclosures upon request. Upon request, the prosecutor must obtain and disclose to the
- 24 defense any of the material or information listed above which is possessed by another
- 25 governmental agency and may be shared with the prosecutor under Title 63G, Chapter 2,
- 26 Government Records Access and Management Act.
- 27 (b)(a)(3) Timing of prosecutor's mandatory disclosures. The prosecutor's duty to disclose under
- subsection (a)(1) is a continuing duty as the material or information becomes known to the
- 29 <u>prosecutor. The prosecutor's shall make all</u> disclosures <u>must be made</u> as soon as practicable

- following the filing of an Information charges and before the defendant is required to plead or go
- 31 <u>to trial</u>. The prosecutor has a continuing duty to make disclosure.
- 32 (a)(4) Trial disclosures. The prosecutor must also disclose to the defense the following
- information and material no later than 14 days, or as soon practicable, before trial:
- 34 (a)(4)(A) Unless otherwise prohibited by statute or rule, a written list of the names, current
- 35 contact information, and criminal records, if any, of all persons whom the prosecution intends to
- 36 call as witnesses at trial; and
- 37 (a)(4)(B) Any exhibits that the prosecution intends to introduce at trial.
- 38 (a)(5) Information not subject to disclosure. Unless otherwise ordered by the court on a showing
- of constitutional, statutory, or regulatory right, the prosecution's disclosure obligations do not
- 40 include:
- 41 (a)(5)(A) privileged information and material; and
- 42 (a)(5)(B) attorney work product.
- 43 (e)(b) Disclosures by defense.
- (b)(1) Mandatory disclosures. Except as otherwise provided or as privileged, The defense shall
- 45 <u>must</u> disclose to the prosecutor such information as required by statute relating to alibi or
- 46 insanity and any other item of evidence which the court determines on good cause shown should
- be made available to the prosecutor in order for the prosecutor to adequately prepare the
- 48 prosecutor's case for trial.
- 49 (b)(2) Other disclosures required by statute. The defense shall disclose to the prosecutor such
- 50 <u>information as required by statute relating to alibi or insanity.</u>
- 51 (b)(3) Trial disclosures. The defense must also disclose to the prosecutor the following
- information and material no later than 14 days, or as soon as practicable, before trial:
- 53 (b)(3)(A) A written list of the names and current contact information of all persons, except for
- the defendant, whom the defense intends to call as witnesses at trial; and
- 55 (b)(3)(B) Any exhibits that the defense intends to introduce at trial.
- 56 (b)(4) Information not subject to disclosure. The defendant's disclosure obligations do not
- 57 include:
- 58 (b)(4)(A) privileged information and material; and

R. Crim. P. February 12, 2020

- 59 (b)(4)(B) attorney work product.
- 60 (d) Timing of defense disclosures. Unless otherwise provided, the defense attorney shall make
- 61 all disclosures at least 14 days before trial or as soon as practicable. The defense has a continuing
- 62 duty to make disclosure.
- 63 (e)(c) **Methods of disclosure.** When convenience reasonably requires, the prosecutor or defense
- may make disclosure by notifying the opposing party that material and information may be
- inspected, tested or copied at specified reasonable times and places.
- 66 (d) Disclosure limitations and restrictions.
- (d)(1) The prosecutor or defense may impose reasonable limitations on the further dissemination
- of sensitive information otherwise subject to discovery to prevent improper use of the
- information or to protect victims and witnesses from harassment, abuse, or undue invasion of
- privacy, including limitations on the further dissemination of videotaped recorded interviews,
- 71 photographs, or psychological or medical reports.
- 72 $\frac{f}{d}(d)(2)$ Restrictions on disclosure. Upon a sufficient showing the court may at any time order
- that discovery or inspection be denied, restricted, or deferred, that limitations on the further
- dissemination of discovery be modified or make such other order as is appropriate. Upon motion
- by a party, the court may permit the party to make such showing, in whole or in part, in the form
- of a written statement to be inspected by the judge alone. If the court enters an order granting
- relief following such an ex parte showing, the entire text of the party's statement shall be sealed
- and preserved in the records of the court to be made available to the appellate court in the event
- of an appeal.
- 80 (g)(e) Relief and sanctions for failing to disclose.
- 81 (e)(1) If at any time during the course of the proceedings it is brought to the attention of the court
- 82 that When a party fails has failed to comply with the disclosure requirements of this rule, a court
- may, subject to constitutional limitations and the rules of evidence, take the measures or impose
- 84 the sanctions provided in this subsection that order such party to permit the discovery or
- 85 inspection, grant a continuance, or prohibit the party from introducing evidence not disclosed, or
- 86 it may enter such other order as it deems just appropriate under the circumstances. If a party has
- 87 <u>failed to comply with this rule, the court may take one or more of the following actions:</u>

- 88 (e)(1)(A) order such party to permit the discovery or inspection of the undisclosed material or
- 89 <u>information;</u>
- 90 (e)(1)(B) grant a continuance of the proceedings;
- 91 (e)(1)(C) prohibit the party from introducing evidence not disclosed; or
- 92 (e)(1)(D) order such other relief as the court considers just under the circumstances.
- 93 (e)(2) If after a hearing the court finds that a party has knowingly and willfully failed to comply
- 94 with an order of the court compelling disclosure under this rule, the nondisclosing party or
- attorney may be held in contempt of court and subject to the penalties thereof.
- 96 (f) Identification evidence.
- 97 (f)(1) Subject to constitutional limitations and upon good cause shown, the trial court may order
- 98 the defendant to appear in a lineup; speak for identification; submit to fingerprinting or the
- making of other bodily impressions; pose for photographs not involving reenactment of the
- crime; try on articles of clothing or other items of disguise; permit the taking of samples of
- blood, hair, fingernail scrapings, and other bodily materials which can be obtained without
- unreasonable intrusion; provide specimens of handwriting; submit to reasonable physical or
- medical inspection of the accused's body; and cut hair or allow hair to grow to approximate
- appearance at the time of the alleged offense.
- 105 (h) Additional requirements that may be imposed on the accused. Subject to constitutional
- 106 limitations, the accused may be required to:
- 107 (h)(1) appear in a lineup;
- 108 (h)(2) speak for identification;
- 109 (h)(3) submit to fingerprinting or the making of other bodily impressions;
- 110 (h)(4) pose for photographs not involving reenactment of the crime;
- 111 (h)(5) try on articles of clothing or other items of disguise;
- 112 (h)(6) permit the taking of samples of blood, hair, fingernail scrapings, and other bodily
- 113 materials which can be obtained without unreasonable intrusion;
- 114 (h)(7) provide specimens of handwriting;
- 115 (h)(8) submit to reasonable physical or medical inspection of the accused's body; and
- (h)(9) cut hair or allow hair to grow to approximate appearance at the time of the alleged offense.

(f)(2) Whenever the personal appearance of the accused defendant is required for the foregoing
purposes, reasonable notice of the time and place of such appearance shall-must be given to the
accused defendant and the accused's defendant's counsel.
(f)(3) Unless relieved by order of the court, failure of the accused defendant to appear or to
comply with the requirements of this rule subsection, unless relieved by order of the court,
without reasonable excuse shall be grounds for revocation of pretrial release and will subject the
defendant to such further consequences or sanctions as the court may deem appropriate,
including allowing the prosecutor to offer as evidence at trial the defendant's failure to comply
with this subsection may be offered as evidence in the prosecutor's case in chief for consideration
along with other evidence concerning the guilt of the accused and shall be subject to such further
sanctions as the court should deem appropriate.

Proposed Amendments to Rule 16 (redline)

1 Rule 16. Discovery.

- 2 (a) Disclosures by prosecutor.
- 3 (a)(1) Mandatory disclosures. The prosecutor must disclose to the defense the following material
- 4 or information related to the case of which the prosecutor team has knowledge and control:
- 5 (a)(1)(A) written or recorded statements of the defendant and any codefendants, and the
- 6 substance of any unrecorded oral statements made by the defendant and any codefendants to law
- 7 enforcement officials;
- 8 (a)(1)(B) the criminal record of the defendant and any co-defendants;
- 9 (a)(1)(C) reports and results of any physical or mental examination, of any identification
- procedure, and of any scientific test or experiment;
- (a)(1)(D) physical and electronic evidence, including any warrants, warrant affidavits, books,
- 12 papers, documents, photographs, and digital media recordings;
- 13 (a)(1)(E) written or recorded statements of witnesses;
- (a)(1)(F) reports and notes prepared by law enforcement officials;
- 15 (a)(1)(G) evidence that must be disclosed under the United States and Utah constitutions,
- including all evidence favorable to the defendant that is material to guilt or punishment; and
- (a)(1)(H) any other item of evidence which the court determines on good cause shown should be
- made available to the defendant in order for the defendant to adequately prepare a defense.
- 19 (a)(2) Disclosures upon request. Upon request, the prosecutor must obtain and disclose to the
- defense any of the material or information listed above which is possessed by another
- 21 governmental agency and may be shared with the prosecutor under Title 63G, Chapter 2,
- 22 Government Records Access and Management Act.
- 23 (a)(3) Timing of mandatory disclosures. The prosecutor's duty to disclose under subsection
- 24 (a)(1) is a continuing duty as the material or information becomes known to the prosecutor. The
- 25 prosecutor's disclosures must be made as soon as practicable following the filing of an
- 26 Information and before the defendant is required to plead or go to trial.
- 27 (a)(4) Trial disclosures. The prosecutor must also disclose to the defense the following
- 28 information and material no later than 14 days, or as soon practicable, before trial:
- 29 (a)(4)(A) Unless otherwise prohibited by statute or rule, a written list of the names, current

- 30 contact information, and criminal records, if any, of all persons whom the prosecution intends to
- 31 call as witnesses at trial; and
- 32 (a)(4)(B) Any exhibits that the prosecution intends to introduce at trial.
- 33 (a)(5) Information not subject to disclosure. Unless otherwise ordered by the court on a showing
- of constitutional, statutory, or regulatory right, the prosecution's disclosure obligations do not
- 35 include:
- 36 (a)(5)(A) privileged information and material; and
- (a)(5)(B) attorney work product.
- 38 (b) Disclosures by defense.
- 39 (b)(1) Mandatory disclosures. The defense must disclose to the prosecutor any item of evidence
- 40 which the court determines on good cause shown should be made available to the prosecutor in
- order for the prosecutor to adequately prepare the prosecutor's case for trial.
- 42 (b)(2) Other disclosures required by statute. The defense shall disclose to the prosecutor such
- 43 information as required by statute relating to alibi or insanity.
- 44 (b)(3) Trial disclosures. The defense must also disclose to the prosecutor the following
- information and material no later than 14 days, or as soon as practicable, before trial:
- 46 (b)(3)(A) A written list of the names and current contact information of all persons, except for
- 47 the defendant, whom the defense intends to call as witnesses at trial; and
- 48 (b)(3)(B) Any exhibits that the defense intends to introduce at trial.
- 49 (b)(4) Information not subject to disclosure. The defendant's disclosure obligations do not
- 50 include:
- 51 (b)(4)(A) privileged information and material; and
- 52 (b)(4)(B) attorney work product.
- 53 (c) **Methods of disclosure.** When convenience reasonably requires, the prosecutor or defense
- may make disclosure by notifying the opposing party that material and information may be
- inspected, tested or copied at specified reasonable times and places.
- 56 (d) Disclosure limitations and restrictions.
- 57 (d)(1) The prosecutor or defense may impose reasonable limitations on the further dissemination
- of sensitive information otherwise subject to discovery to prevent improper use of the

- information or to protect victims and witnesses from harassment, abuse, or undue invasion of
- 60 privacy, including limitations on the further dissemination of recorded interviews, photographs,
- or psychological or medical reports.
- 62 (d)(2) Upon a sufficient showing the court may at any time order that discovery or inspection be
- denied, restricted, or deferred, that limitations on the further dissemination of discovery be
- 64 modified or make such other order as is appropriate. Upon motion by a party, the court may
- permit the party to make such showing, in whole or in part, in the form of a written statement to
- be inspected by the judge alone. If the court enters an order granting relief following such an ex
- parte showing, the entire text of the party's statement shall be sealed and preserved in the records
- of the court to be made available to the appellate court in the event of an appeal.

69 (e) Relief and sanctions for failing to disclose.

- (e)(1) When a party fails to comply with the disclosure requirements of this rule, a court may,
- subject to constitutional limitations and the rules of evidence, take the measures or impose the
- sanctions provided in this subsection that it deems appropriate under the circumstances. If a
- party has failed to comply with this rule, the court may take one or more of the following
- 74 actions:
- 75 (e)(1)(A) order such party to permit the discovery or inspection of the undisclosed material or
- 76 information;
- 77 (e)(1)(B) grant a continuance of the proceedings;
- 78 (e)(1)(C) prohibit the party from introducing evidence not disclosed; or
- 79 (e)(1)(D) order such other relief as the court considers just under the circumstances.
- 80 (e)(2) If after a hearing the court finds that a party has knowingly and willfully failed to comply
- with an order of the court compelling disclosure under this rule, the nondisclosing party or
- attorney may be held in contempt of court and subject to the penalties thereof.

83 (f) **Identification evidence.**

- 84 (f)(1) Subject to constitutional limitations and upon good cause shown, the trial court may order
- the defendant to appear in a lineup; speak for identification; submit to fingerprinting or the
- making of other bodily impressions; pose for photographs not involving reenactment of the
- 87 crime; try on articles of clothing or other items of disguise; permit the taking of samples of

defendant's failure to comply with this subsection.

99

February 12, 2020

blood, hair, fingernail scrapings, and other bodily materials which can be obtained without 88 unreasonable intrusion; provide specimens of handwriting; submit to reasonable physical or 89 medical inspection of the accused's body; and cut hair or allow hair to grow to approximate 90 appearance at the time of the alleged offense. 91 (f)(2) Whenever the personal appearance of the defendant is required for the foregoing purposes, 92 reasonable notice of the time and place of such appearance must be given to the accused 93 defendant and the defendant's counsel. 94 (f)(3) Unless relieved by order of the court, failure of the defendant to comply with the 95 requirements of this subsection without reasonable excuse shall be grounds for revocation of 96 pretrial release and will subject the defendant to such further consequences or sanctions as the 97 court may deem appropriate, including allowing the prosecutor to offer as evidence at trial the 98

Rule 9. Proceedings for persons arrested without a warrant on suspicion of a crime. 1 (a)(1) Probable cause determination. A magistrate must determine whether there was 2 probable cause for an arrest without a warrant within 24 hours after the arrest. A person 3 arrested and delivered to a correctional facility without a warrant for an offense must be 4 presented without unnecessary delay before a magistrate for the determination of 5 6 probable cause and whether the suspect qualifies for pretrial release under Utah Code § 77-20-1, and if so, what if any conditions of release are warranted. The arrestee need not 7 be present at the probable cause determination. 8 (a)(2)(A) The arresting officer, custodial authority, or prosecutor with authority over the 9 most serious offense for which defendant was arrested must, as soon as reasonably 10 feasible but in no event longer than 24 hours after the arrest, present to a the magistrate a 11 sworn statement that contains the facts known to support probable cause to believe the 12 defendant has committed a crime. The statement must contain any facts known to the 13 affiant that are relevant to determining the appropriateness of precharge release and the 14 conditions thereof. 15 (a)(2)(B) If available, the magistrate should also be presented the results of a validated 16 17 pretrial risk assessment tool. (a)(2)(C) The magistrate must review the information provided and determine if probable 18 cause exists to believe the defendant committed the offense or offenses described. If the 19 magistrate finds there is probable cause, the magistrate must determine if the person is 20 eligible for pretrial release pursuant to Utah Code § 77-20-1, and what if any conditions 21 on that release are reasonably necessary to: 22 (a)(2)(C)(i) ensure the appearance of the accused at future court proceedings; 23 (a)(2)(C)(ii) ensure the integrity of the judicial process; 24 (a)(2)(C)(iii) prevent direct or indirect contact with witnesses or victims by the accused, 25 if appropriate; and 26 (a)(2)(C)(iv) ensure the safety of the public and the community. 27 (a)(2)(D) If the magistrate finds the statement does not support probable cause to support 28 the charges filed, the magistrate may determine what if any charges are supported, and 29 proceed under subsection (a)(2)(C). 30 31 (a)(2)(E) If probable cause is not articulated for any charge, the magistrate must return 32 the statement to the submitting authority indicating such. (a)(3) A statement that is verbally communicated by telephone must be reduced to a 33 sworn written statement prior to presentment to the magistrate. The statement must be 34

retained by the submitting authority and as soon as practicable, a copy shall be delivered 35 to the magistrate who made the determination. 36 (a)(4) The arrestee need not be present at the probable cause determination. 37 38 (b) Magistrate availability. (b)(1) The information required in subsection (a)(2) may be presented to any magistrate, 39 although if the judicial district has adopted a magistrate rotation, the presentment should 40 be in accord with that schedule or rotation. If the arrestee is charged with a capital 41 offense, the magistrate may not be a justice court judge. 42 (b)(2) If a person is arrested in a county other than where the offense was alleged to have 43 been committed, the arresting authority may present the person to a magistrate in the 44 location arrested, or in the county where the crime was committed. 45 (c) Time for review. 46 (c)(1) Unless the time is extended at 24 hours after booking arrest, if no probable cause 47 determination and order setting bail have been received by the custodial authority, the 48 defendant must be released on the arrested charges on recognizance. 49 50 (c)(2) During the 24 hours after arrest, for good cause shown an arresting officer, 51 custodial authority, or prosecutor with authority over the most serious offense for which defendant was arrested may request an additional 24 hours to hold a defendant and 52 prepare the probable cause statement or request for release conditions. 53 (c)(3) If after 24 hours, the suspect remains in custody, an information must be filed 54 without delay charging the suspect with offenses from the incident leading to the arrest. 55 (c)(4)(A) If no information has been filed by 3:00pm p.m. on the fourth calendar day 56 after the defendant was booked arrested, the release conditions set under subsection 57 (a)(2)(B) shall revert to recognizance release. 58 (c)(4)(B) The four day period in this subsection may be extended upon application of the 59 prosecutor for a period of three more days, for good cause shown. 60 61 (c)(4)(C) If the time periods in this subsection (c)(4) expire on a weekend or legal holiday, the period expires at 3:00pm p.m. on the next business day. 62 (d) Other processes. Nothing in this rule is intended to preclude the accomplishment of 63 other procedural processes at the time of the determination referred to in subsection 64

Effective November 18, 2019

(a)(2).

66

65

Draft: Nov. 2019

Utah Rule Cr.P. 09A. Amend.

27	arrested may state in writing a desire to forfeit bail, waive trial in the district in which the
28	case is pending, and consent to disposition of the case.
29	(gf)(2) Upon receipt of the defendant's consent, the court in which the case is pending
30	may enter the conviction and forfeit bail in accordance with Section 77-7-21.

Draft: Nov. 2019