
IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

MARY ELLEN ROBERTSON

Petitioner/Appellee,

v.

MICHAEL STEVENS

Respondent/Appellant.

BRIEF OF APPELLANT

Appeal No.: 20170415

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

On appeal from:

The Honorable Ernie W. Jones
Second Judicial District Court
District Court No. 154901439

Attorney for Appellant
David W. Read
Law Office of David W. Read, LLC
465 East 400 South, Suite 100
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
801-348-6723
david@davidreadlaw.com

Attorney for Appellee
Ben W. Lieberman
The Lieberman Law Firm
1371 East 2100 South, Suite 200
Salt Lake City, Utah 84105
801-542-1820
ben@bwilllaw.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIESiii

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT.....1

ISSUES PRESENTED.....1

DETERMINATIVE PROVISIONS.....2

STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....3

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS5

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS.....13

ARGUMENTS.....15

I. THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN GRANTING APPELLEE’S MOTION TO DISMISS BECAUSE THE APPELLANT HAS A LEGAL BASIS FOR MODIFICATION.....15

 a. THE APPELLANT ALLEGED MATERIAL AND SUBSTANTIAL CHANGES IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES NOT CONTEMPLATED AT THE TIME OF ENTRY OF THE DECREE OF DIVORCE.....16

 b. APPELLEE’S HIGHLY OFFENSIVE DISPARAGING STATEMENTS AND INVASIONS OF PRIVACY CONSTITUTE A MATERIAL AND SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE IN CIRCUMSTANCES19

 c. THE APPELLANT SEEKS TO MODIFY HIS DECREE OF DIVORCE AND NOT LITIGATE A TORT20

 d. JUDICIAL ECONOMY REQUIRES TRIAL COURTS TO CONSIDER INTENTIONAL TORTS IN DIVORCE ACTIONS SUCH AS INVASIONS OF PRIVACY OR DEFAMATION ESPECIALLY IN DIVORCE MODIFATIONS WITH NON-DISPARAGEMENT PROVISIONS.....22

II. THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN DENYING THE APPELLANT’S REQUEST FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ENJOINING THE APELLEE FROM DISPARAGING THE APPELLANT.....25

 a. THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN DEFINING IRREPARABLE HARM.....26

b. THE ISSUANCE OF A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION WOULD NOT BE ADVERSE TO THE PUBLIC INTEREST	27
c. SUBSTANTIAL LIKELIHOOD THAT THE RESPONDENT WILL CONTINUE TO BE HARMED BY PETITIONER’S ACTIONS.....	27
d. TRIAL COURT HAS EQUITABLE POWERS IN DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASES TO ENJOIN A SPOUSE FROM DISPARAGING THE OTHER.....	28
III. THE TRIAL COURT ERRED BY DENYING THE APPELLANT LEAVE OF COURT TO AMEND THE VERIFIED PETITION TO MODIFY DECREE OF DIVORCE.....	28
 CONCLUSION AND RELIEF SOUGHT.....	 29
 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.....	 30

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Alvarez v. Galetka</i> , 933 P.2d 987, 989 (Utah 1997)	18
<i>Bayles v. Bayles</i> , 1999 UT App 128.....	4, 16, 22, 23, 24, 25
<i>Colman v. Utah State Land Bd.</i> , 795 P.2d 622 (Utah 1990)	15, 18
<i>Hudgens v. Prosper, Inc.</i> 2010 UT 68	5
<i>Hunsaker v. Kersh</i> , 1999 UT 106.....	27, 28
<i>Lord v. Shaw</i> , 665 P.2d 1288 (Utah 1983).....	26
<i>Mackey v. Cannon</i> , 2000 UT App 36.....	15, 18
<i>Masters v. Worsley</i> , 777 P.2d 499 (Utah Ct.App.1989)	22
<i>MFS Series Trust III v. Grainger</i> , 2004 UT 61.....	18
<i>Noble v. Noble</i> , 761 P.2d 1369 (Utah 1988).....	25
<i>Oakwood Village LLC v. Albertsons, Inc.</i> , 2004 UT 101.....	4, 17
<i>System Concepts, Inc. v. Dixon</i> , 669 P.2d 421(Utah 1983)	27, 28
<i>Walther v. Walther</i> , 709 P.2d 387 (Utah 1985)	26
<i>Zagg, Inc. v. Harmer</i> , 2015 UT App 52.....	4

Statutes

Utah Code Ann. § 78A-4-103(2)(h)	3
--	---

Rules

Rule 12(b)(6)	4, 5, 17, 18
Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 15(a)	4, 5, 29, 30
Rule 65A(e)	5, 28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 5th day of January, 2017, I caused to be delivered two true and correct copies of the foregoing BRIEF OF THE APPELLANT pursuant to statute to the following by U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid.

Attorney for Petitioner/Appellee
Ben W. Lieberman
The Lieberman Law Firm
1371 East 2100 South, Suite 200
Salt Lake City, Utah 84105



David W. Read
Attorney for Appellant