



Skylar J. Walker (18123)
Kyle G. O'Bryant (20328)
MILLER HARRISON LLC
5292 S. College Dr. #304
Murray, Utah 84123
Telephone: (801) 692-0794
skylar@millerharrisonlaw.com
kyle@millerharrisonlaw.com
Attorneys for Kent Larsen

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR SUMMIT COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH	
In the Matter of the Marriage of DIANNA LARSEN, Petitioner and KENT LARSEN, Respondent.	DECREE OF DIVORCE Case No: 244901803 Judge Reuben J. Renstrom Commissioner Brandon Richards

The Court, having made and entered its Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, hereby ORDERS, ADJUDGES, and DECREES that the bonds of matrimony and the marriage contract between the parties are DISSOLVED, and the parties are awarded a Decree of Divorce on the grounds set forth in Utah Code Subsection 81-4-405(1)(i), to become final upon entry by the Court. The Court further orders as follows:

Jurisdiction, Venue, and Procedural History

1. 1. Petitioner Dianna Larsen and Respondent Kent Larsen are wife and husband, having married on June 5, 2006 in Las Vegas, Clark County, State of Nevada.
2. 2. The parties separated on or around June 10, 2024.
3. 3. There are no minor children born as issue of this marriage.
4. 4. The parties were actual and bona fide residents of Weber County, State of Utah for the three months preceding the filing of this action.
5. 5. Both parties have petitioned for divorce on the grounds of irreconcilable differences.
6. 6. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter under Utah Code Subsection 78A-5-102(1).
7. 7. The Court has jurisdiction over the parties under Utah Code Section 78B-3-205.
8. 8. The Court is the proper venue for this action under Utah Code Subsection 81-4-402(1)(a).
9. 9. The Court may award the parties a decree of divorce under Utah Code Sections 81-4-402 and 405(1)(h).
10. 10. The Court may award distributions from the marital estate under Utah Code 81-4-406(4)(c).
11. 11. The Court may award alimony under Utah Code 81-4-

406(4)(a).

12. 12. The Court held a trial in this matter on June 18, 2026. Dianna was represented by Roy Cole and Kent was represented by Skylar Walker.

13. 13. At the opening of trial, the parties stipulated that the following (i) distribution of the marital estate; and (ii) alimony award would be an equitable global resolution to their claims.

14. 14. The parties proffered that they have exchanged and reviewed financial disclosures, and together with counsel, are familiar with both their own and each other's property claims, incomes, and expenses.

15. 15. The Court inquired in open court of both parties whether they understood and agreed to be contractually bound by the foregoing terms, and both parties affirmed their understanding and intent.

16. 16. The Court accepts the factual stipulations of the parties and their evaluation of the equities in this matter, finding that both parties are represented by counsel at trial and know the nature and contents of the marital estate, their income, and their reasonable monthly expenses to live at the marital standard of living.

17. 17. The Court accepts and finds that the parties' stipulations are an equitable global resolution to their claims.

18. 18. The Court did not receive proffer from the parties relevant to Utah Code Subsections 81-4-406(3)(d) or (3)(e).

Distribution of the Marital Estate - Real Property

1. 19. Kent asserts a claim that the real property located at 1595 North 400 West, Harrisville, Utah 84404 is marital property. Dianna claims this property is her separate property. In accordance with the parties' stipulation, this property is awarded to Dianna free and clear of any claim by Kent.

2. 20. The parties agree that parcels 8468-C-2 and 8471-3 in Kanosh, Utah are marital property. In accordance with the parties' stipulation, this property is awarded to Kent free and clear of any claim by Dianna.

3. 21. The parties agree that Kent owns separate real property in Oregon and Arizona. In accordance with the parties' stipulation, these properties are not distributed as part of the marital estate.

Distribution of the Marital Estate - Other Property

1. 22. The parties agree that they owned two timeshare leaseholds with Club Wyndham and Hilton Grand Vacations as marital property. At trial, Dianna represented that the Club Wyndham timeshare had been reclaimed. If either or both of these timeshares are still in either party's possession and control, the Court orders that they shall be sold and the proceeds therefrom (minus costs) divided equally

between the parties.

2. 23. The parties agree that at the time of separation, they owned the following vehicles as marital property, and further stipulate that they should be awarded as follows, free and clear of any claim by the other party:

- a. a. 1994 Honda Prelude: Kent
- b. b. 1996 Ford F-250: Kent
- c. c. 2016 Freightliner: Kent
- d. d. Toyota Camry: Dianna

The Court awards these vehicles in accordance with the parties' stipulation.

a. 24. The parties agree all accounts, whether assets or liabilities, shall be awarded to the party or parties named on the account. The parties otherwise represent they have no currently active joint accounts.

The Court awards the parties' accordance with their stipulation.

a. 25. The Court orders that any joint accounts that the parties may have shall be divided equally and closed. Any joint debts shall be shared equally by the parties pursuant to Utah Code Subsection 81-4-406(3)(a).

The parties are ordered to notify their respective creditors or obligees, regarding the court's division of debts, obligations, or liabilities and

regarding the parties' separate and current addresses.

The provisions of this Paragraph 15, like the remainder of this Decree, are enforceable by this Court under its continuing jurisdiction under Utah Code Subsection 81-4-406(3)(c).

a. 26. The parties stipulate that their personal property has not yet been divided but should be divided as the parties mutually agree. The Court awards the parties their personal property in accordance with their stipulation.

b. 27. Kent shall pick up any of his property that is still at the Harrisville Home within thirty (30) days of June 18, 2026, which is July 18, 2026.

c. 28. Unless otherwise indicated herein, all other property owned by the parties is deemed their separate property and awarded to the owner free and clear of any claim by the other party.

Alimony

a. 29. Dianna shall pay Kent monthly alimony in the amount of \$1,200 for the length of the marriage until entry of the divorce decree, being approximately twenty years until the final payment due the month of July 2046.

b. 30. This award shall begin July 1, 2026.

c. 31. Alimony shall be due the 1st of each month.

d. 32. This alimony award shall be nonmodifiable on any grounds,

including: a substantial material change in circumstances under Utah Code Section 81-4-504, whether expressly stated in the divorce decree or otherwise, and including a decrease in Dianna's ability to pay or Kent's unmet need; Dianna's retirement under Utah Code Subsection 81-4-405(2); or Kent's remarriage or cohabitation under Utah Code Section 81-4-505.

Further Orders

a. 33. The following relevant injunctions set forth in Utah Rule of Civil Procedure 109 shall remain in effect indefinitely following entry of this Decree:

b. a. Neither party may, through electronic or other means, disturb the peace of, harass, or intimidate the other party.

c. b. Neither party may commit domestic violence or abuse against the other party or a child.

d. c. Neither party may use the other party's name, likeness, image, or identification to obtain credit, open an account for service, or obtain a service.

e. d. Neither party may cancel or interfere with telephone, utility, or other services used by the other party.

f. 34. The Court orders the parties to execute and deliver any and all documents necessary to implement the provisions set forth in this Decree of Divorce, including but not limited to any documents necessary to transfer property from one party to the other and/or documents necessary to remove a party's name from property awarded to the other. To the extent that any property awarded to a party is titled or owned in the other party's name, the other party's name shall be removed no later than ninety (90) days following entry of this Decree of Divorce. Each party shall cooperate to sign any document necessary upon presentment to accomplish the same.

g. 35. This Decree is enforceable by the Court under its continuing jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

THE COURT'S SIGNATURE APPEARS AT THE TOP OF THE FIRST PAGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY that on this 8th day of July 2026, I caused the foregoing to be filed in the above-captioned matter via the Court's e-filing system, serving all counsel of record.

Walker

/s/ Skylar J.