



WILLIAM M. FONTENOT, #11646
FONTENOT LAW P.C.
1596 S. 500 W. Suite #200
Woods Cross, Utah 84010
Tel: (801) 312-9330
Fax: (801) 383-9612
wf@utahlawpro.com
Attorney for Petitioner

IN THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT – SALT LAKE CITY
IN AND FOR SALT LAKE COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH

In the matter of the marriage of:

UMESH AHIR,

Petitioner,

and

NAKIA AHIR,

Respondent.

DECREE OF DIVORCE

Case No. 254903683

Judge: Matthew Bates

Commissioner: Joanna Sagers

Petitioner, Umesh Ahir (“Umesh” or “Petitioner”), commenced this divorce action against Respondent, Nakia Ahir (“Nakia” or “Respondent”) with the filing of his *Petition for Divorce* on July 11, 2025. The Court signed and entered the *Default Certificate* on August 14, 2026. The Court, having also received Petitioner’s *Declaration of Jurisdiction and Grounds* and entered *Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law*, and having reviewed the file in this matter and being otherwise fully advised, hereby **ORDERS, ADJUDGES and DECREES** as follows:

PARTIES, CHILD, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Respondent is an actual and bona fide resident of Salt Lake County, State of Utah, and was such for at least three months immediately prior to the commencement of this action.

2. Petitioner and Respondent (“the Parties”) are husband and wife, having been married in

Provo, Utah on January 13, 2012.

3. The Parties separated November 30, 2019.
4. There have been no children born as issue of the marriage and no children are expected as issue of this marriage.
5. Jurisdiction is proper in this court pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 78A-5-102.
6. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 78B-3a-202 and 81-4-402.
7. During the course of the marriage, irreconcilable differences have arisen between the Parties such that Umesh should be granted a Decree of Divorce from Nakia.

ALIMONY

8. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 81-4-502 and Utah case law, and based on other factors and considerations, and due to the short duration of this marriage, no alimony should be awarded.

MARITAL PROPERTY

REAL PROPERTY

9. During the marriage the parties did not acquire any real property.

PERSONAL PROPERTY

10. Any personal property acquired during the marriage has already been divided equitably by the parties.
11. The parties share no joint accounts

MARITAL DEBTS, OBLIGATIONS AND LIABILITIES

12. The parties did not acquire any debt accrued jointly during the marriage.
13. The Parties should each be responsible for any debts incurred individually, in their own

name, or since the date of separation.

14. Umesh should indemnify and hold Nakia harmless on all debts and obligations Umesh is ordered to pay, and any such debts and obligations associated with any property awarded to her herein.

15. Nakia should indemnify and hold Umesh harmless on all debts and obligations Nakia is ordered to pay, and any such debts and obligations associated with any property awarded to him.

16. Both Parties should notify all creditors regarding the division of debts, assignment of payment liabilities, and the name and current address of both Parties.

17. Pursuant to U.C.A. §§ 15-4-6.5, 81-3-105 and 81-4-501, the Parties should provide a copy of their final Decree of Divorce to all joint creditors for any outstanding obligations that are included in their Decree of Divorce.

ATTORNEY FEES

18. Each party shall pay their own attorney fees and costs incurred in connection with this action.

MUTUAL RESTRAINING ORDERS

19. Both Parties should be mutually restrained from attempting, threatening, or committing domestic violence against the other party, to include stalking, harassing, threatening physical harm, causing any other form of abuse, and interfering with the other party's telephone, utilities, insurance, email, social media accounts, or other services.

20. Neither party should access electronic accounts in the other party's name, including social media accounts, email accounts, financial accounts, utilities accounts, or medical accounts.

21. Neither party should distribute the other party's image of personal information.

22. Neither party should disparage, defame, insult, demean, or harm the reputation of the other or their family members, to including posting on social media accounts or other internet sites or disparaging the other party to any professional colleagues or employers.

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

23. Both Parties should be ordered to sign and fully execute whatever documents are necessary for the implementation of the provisions of their divorce decree. Should a party fail to execute a document within sixty (60) days of the entry of the divorce decree, the other party may bring a Motion to Enforce at the expense of the disobedient party and ask that the Court appoint some other person to execute the document pursuant to Rule 70 of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure. Any document executed pursuant to Rule 70 has the same effect as if executed by the disobedient party.

24. Nakia should be immediately restored to the use of her former name of “Hughes” should she so choose.

25. If any provision of the Decree of Divorce or the application thereof is held invalid, the invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications of the Decree of Divorce

26. The parties agree that the anticipated recodification to the Utah Code shall not affect or change any agreed-upon adherence to the Utah Code as referenced herein.

27. Default. In the event that either party defaults in his/her obligations set forth in this Agreement or in the decree of divorce entered hereafter, the defaulting party shall be liable for all reasonable expenses, including attorney fees and costs, incurred in the enforcement of those obligations.

28. Modification and Waiver. No modification or waiver of any of the terms of this

Agreement shall be valid unless in writing and signed by the parties to this action and subsequently approved by the Court. No waiver of any breach or default hereunder shall be deemed a waiver of any subsequent breach or default of the same or similar nature.

END OF DECREE OF DIVORCE.

*******SO ORDERED*******

In accordance with U.R.C.P. 10(e), the official signature of the court authority who has hereto attached a signature to this Order of the Court shall appear at the top of the first page.

DATED this 19th day of August, 2026

/s/ William M. Fontenot
Attorney for Petitioner