

The Order of the Court is stated below:

Dated: August 17, 2026
09:07:15 AM

/s/ RUSSELL MINAS
District Court Commissioner



Dated: August 18, 2026
03:16:04 PM

/s/ RICHARD PEHRSON
District Court Judge



MARK K. NELSON (8245)
NELSON, TAYLOR & ASSOC.
34 South 500 East, Suite 105
Salt Lake City, Utah 84102
Telephone: (801) 538-0066
Facsimile: (801) 538-0073
E-Mail: mark_nelson@integra.net

Counsel for Petitioner

**IN THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
SALT LAKE COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH**

In the Matter of the Marriage of: ANASTASIA OLEGOVNA RYBALKINA, Petitioner, and, ANDREY ALEKSANDROVICH RYBALKIN, Respondent.	ORDER, Hearing 08-05-2026 Case No. 254904289 DA Judge: Barry Lawrence Commissioner: Russell Minas
--	--

This matter came before the court for hearing on August 5, 2026, on (1) Petitioner’s MOTION TO ENFORCE AND FOR SANCTIONS, AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED HEARING PURSUANT TO RULE 7A(D), filed June 4, 2026, (2) Respondent’s VERIFIED MOTION TO ADJUST TEMPORARY ORDERS WITH RESPECT TO CHILD SUPPORT AND ALIMONY, filed July 8, 2026, and (3) Respondent’s VERIFIED COUNTER MOTION TO MODIFY TEMPORARY ORDERS, filed July 22, 2026, the Honorable Commissioner Russell Minas presiding. Petitioner was present and represented by her attorney Mark K. Nelson. Respondent was also present and represented by his attorney Melvin A. Cook. All parties and counsel appeared in-person. Having reviewed the

pleadings, exhibits and having heard the arguments from counsel, the court NOW RECOMMENDS AND ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

1. The issues of Respondent's alleged contempt and the imposition of sanctions and an award of attorney fees for (i) his failure to timely pay child support; (ii) his failure to make the June and July 2026 mortgage payments, including the real estate taxes that are part and parcel of the mortgage obligation; and (iii) his removal of Petitioner from the automobile insurance policy, thereby leaving her without automobile insurance, shall be certified to the trial court for determination.

2. Petitioner shall be awarded a judgment against Respondent in the amount of \$2,995.84, representing the June and July 2026 mortgage payments that were paid by Petitioner on Respondent's behalf.

3. Respondent shall comply with the existing TEMPORARY ORDER entered April 26, 2026, which requires him to maintain automobile insurance coverage for Petitioner. Respondent shall immediately add Petitioner's vehicle to his current automobile insurance policy and otherwise maintain such coverage for the Petitioner as required by the TEMPORARY ORDER.

4. Respondent's VERIFIED MOTION TO ADJUST TEMPORARY ORDERS WITH RESPECT TO CHILD SUPPORT AND ALIMONY, filed July 8, 2026, and the request therein to modify child support and alimony based upon the parties' current financial circumstances is reserved for trial.

5. Before Respondent's parent-time may be expanded, Respondent shall (i) provide Petitioner with a copy of his current lease agreement, (ii) a declaration identifying all persons residing in the residence. If Respondent is living alone, his declaration shall state that fact. If

other persons reside in the home, Respondent shall provide sufficient identifying information concerning those individuals, including their dates of birth and Social Security numbers, so that Petitioner may conduct an appropriate background inquiry, and (iii) Respondent shall provide an unredacted copy of his current cannabis card to Petitioner through counsel.

6. Upon Respondent's compliance with the requirements set forth above concerning his lease agreement, declaration regarding household members, and unredacted cannabis card, Respondent's parent-time shall be expanded to the statutory schedule set forth in Utah Code § 81-9-302, including alternating weekends with overnight parent-time, applicable midweek parent-time, holidays and extended parent-time.

7. The Court finds that a protective order remains in effect, Respondent is currently facing criminal charges arising from allegations of domestic violence, and Petitioner alleges that DCFS made a supported finding involving abuse of one of the minor children. These circumstances involve allegations of domestic violence and raise concerns that must be considered in determining the appropriate expansion of the custody and parent-time arrangement at this time. Accordingly, Respondent's request to expand his parent-time to joint legal custody and joint physical custody is denied at this time.

8. Depending upon changed circumstances in the future, and the resolution of the pending protective-order, criminal, and DCFS matters, the Court may revisit whether a more substantial expansion of Respondent's parent-time is appropriate, including potentially an expansion pursuant to Utah Code § 81-9-303. The Court further notes that school-based exchanges may help minimize contact between the parties and reduce potential conflict in the

future.

9. Petitioner should obtain further information regarding the DCFS investigation and the basis for the alleged supported finding, and provide that to counsel.

10. Pursuant to Utah Code § 81-6-208, at least one parent shall maintain health insurance coverage for the benefit of the minor children in accordance with the applicable statutory requirements. The parties may communicate and coordinate regarding whether maintaining health insurance coverage through both parents would be beneficial to the children.

11. All requests for attorney fees are reserved for determination by the trial court, together with the issues of contempt, sanctions, and any attorney fees associated therewith.

12. The court does not adopt Respondent's proposed parenting plan, at this time.

END OF DOCUMENT

COURT SIGNATURE AND DATE APPEARS AT TOP OF FIRST PAGE

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DATED THIS 13TH DAY OF AUGUST 2026.

/s/ Melvin A. Cook

Melvin A. Cook,
Counsel for Respondent

(Signed on behalf of Mr. Cook pursuant to an email from him dated August 13, 2026, approving and authorizing the use of his electronic signature.)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing ORDER, Hearing 08-05-2026, was e-mailed and served upon the Respondent ANDREY ALEKSANDROVICH RYBALKIN on August 11, 2026, by e-mail to Respondent's attorney Melvin A. Cook, as follows:

Melvin Cook <melvinacook@gmail.com>

Pursuant to Rule 7(f)(2), URCP, objections to the proposed order shall be filed within seven days after service. The party preparing the order shall file the proposed order upon being served with an objection or upon expiration of the time to object.

/s/ Mark K. Nelson

Mark K. Nelson,
Counsel for Petitioner