



Joshua C. Brant, #18858
GARCIA LAW, PLLC
 10931 Beckstead Lane
 South Jordan, Utah 84095
 Tel: (801) 900-3227
 Email: joshua@antorchalaw.com
Attorney for Client Name

**IN THE THIRD DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
 SALT LAKE COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH**

In the Matter of the Marriage of:	DECREE OF DIVORCE
KATIUZKA GARCIA BRICENO, Petitioner,	
and	
HECTOR ELIN ROLON PEREZ, Respondent.	Case No. 254905204 Judge: ADAM MOW Commissioner: KIM M LUHN

In accordance with Utah Code 81-4-401(2), the above-captioned matter came before the court for consideration absent a hearing. Pursuant to the *Default Certificate*, a judgment for a divorce can be entered. The court, having reviewed the pleadings on file herein, and having entered its *Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law*, does now ORDER, ADJUDGE, and DECREE as follows:

1. Katiuzka is awarded a Decree of Divorce from Hector on the grounds of irreconcilable differences, the same to become final upon entry by the court clerk.
1. Katiuzka and Hector were married on April 17, 2021, in Kissimmee, Florida, and are presently married. The parties separated on or about 2024 and do not currently reside together.
2. There are no minor children born as an issue of the parties' relationship, and none are expected.
3. During the course of the marriage, the parties have experienced irreconcilable differences that have prevented the parties from pursuing a viable marriage relationship.

4. This Petition is being filed as a Tier 4 case because it is a domestic matter.

DEBTS AND OBLIGATIONS

5. During the course of the marriage, the parties acquired certain debts and obligations. Katiuzka is unaware of any joint debts. Each party should be ordered to pay the debt(s) in their own name and hold the other party harmless therefrom. If any joint debts are discovered, then the party who incurred the debt should be responsible and liable for it.

6. Each party should assume and pay their own individual debts and hold harmless the other party from liability on all debts and obligations (i.e. credit cards, student loans, utility bills) incurred by that party after separation.

7. Pursuant to Utah Code 81-4-406(4), the parties should notify respective creditors or obliges regarding the division of debts, obligations, and/or liabilities herein, and the parties' separate and current addresses.

PERSONAL PROPERTY

8. **Personal Property:** During the course of the marriage relationship, the parties acquired certain items of personal property which should be divided as follows:

Property	Awarded To
Hyundai Tucson 2025	Katiuzka

9. **Secured Debt:** Each party being awarded property should also be responsible for the debt associated therewith.

10. **Accounts:** The parties have accrued investment accounts, bank accounts, and other asset accounts during the course of their marriage. The parties should be awarded the accounts in their own name as their separate property, free and clear of any claim by the other party.

11. **Personal Belongings:** Each party should be awarded their own personal belongings.

12. Businesses: During the course of the marriage, the parties have not acquired an interest in any business entities.

RETIREMENT ASSETS

13. Neither party has acquired an interest in any retirement, pension, or profit-sharing account through their employment during the course of the marriage.

REAL PROPERTY

14. During the marriage, the parties have not acquired an interest in real property.

MISCELLANEOUS

15. Alimony: Both parties to this action are able-bodied and employed, and neither party should be awarded any alimony from the other.

16. Mutual Restraining Order: The parties should be permanently restrained from bothering, harassing, annoying, threatening, and/or harming the other party at any time or in any place.

17. Delivery of Documents and Duty to Sign Documents: Each party should be ordered to execute and deliver to the other such documents as are required to implement the provisions of the Decree of Divorce entered by the court. Should a party fail to execute a document within 90 days of the entry of their divorce decree, the other party may bring a Motion to Enforce before the court, at the expense of the disobedient party. Under a Motion to Enforce, the court may appoint some other person to execute the document pursuant to Rule 70 of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure. Any document executed pursuant to Rule 70 has the same effect as if executed by the disobedient party.

18. Interpretation/Applicability: This document should be governed by Utah law in all respects. Any references to Utah statute herein should mean the Utah Code in effect as of the date of entry of the final order.

19. Severability: If a provision of the order resulting from this complaint is or becomes illegal, unenforceable, or invalid in any jurisdiction, it should not affect: (1) the enforceability or validity in that jurisdiction of any other provision of the order, or (2) the enforceability or validity in other jurisdictions of that or any other provision of the order.

20. The court should grant such other and further relief as it may deem just and appropriate in this matter.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact, the court now concludes as follows:

1. That this court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and the parties to this action.

2. That Katiuzka is entitled to a Decree of Divorce and that such should become final upon its entry as provided by law.

3. That the provisions settling all issues in this action, as set forth in the Findings of Fact, are equitable and should be incorporated into the Decree of Divorce.

[SEE TOP OF FIRST PAGE FOR COURT ENDORSEMENT]

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

Hector Elin Rolon Perez

NOTICE PURSUANT TO RULE 7(j) OF THE UTAH RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

HECTOR ELIN ROLON PEREZ: Notice is hereby given that pursuant to Rule 7(j) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure of the District Courts of the State of Utah that this Order prepared by Katiuzka's counsel shall be the Order of the court unless you file an objection in writing within seven (7) days from the date of the service of this notice.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this this 17th day of April 2026, I personally served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **DECREE OF DIVORCE** via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid to:

Hector Elin Rolon Perez, pro se
URB EL CORTIJO AP-17 CALLE 30
BAYAMON, PR 00956

/s/ Joshua C. Brant
Joshua C. Brant
Attorney for Katiuzka Garcia Briceno