The Order of the Court is stated below:
Dated: November 17, 2026 01:14:42 PM **/s/** MICHAEL D DIREDA
District Court Judge

R. Blake Hamilton (11395)
Janise K. Macanas (8065)
DENTONS DURHAM JONES PINEGAR P.C.
111 S. Main, Suite 2400
Salt Lake City, UT 84110-4050
Telephone: (801) 415-3000
blake.hamilton@dentons.com
janise.macanas@dentons.com
Attorneys for Defendant Davis County

SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
DAVIS COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH

JEREMY BARKER, Plaintiff, vs. DAVIS COUNTY, Defendant.	**JUDGMENT** Case No. 240701336 Judge Michael D. DiReda

This action came before the Court on Defendant Davis County's Motion for Summary Judgment, filed April 24, 2026. The Court heard oral argument on September 10, 2026, and granted the Motion for the reasons stated on the record and in the Court's Order Granting Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, signed November 9, 2026. Pursuant to Rules 54(a) and 58A(a) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED

1. Judgment is entered in favor of Defendant Davis County and against Plaintiff Jeremy Barker on all of Plaintiff's claims against Davis County.

2. All of Plaintiff Jeremy Barker's claims against Defendant Davis County are

DISMISSED with prejudice, and Plaintiff shall take nothing from Davis County in this action.

3. Plaintiff's claims against all other defendants having been previously resolved by the Court's orders, this Judgment adjudicates all remaining claims and the rights and liabilities of all parties and is a final judgment.

[The Court's signature appears at the top of the first page of this Judgment.]

————————————END OF JUDGMENT————————————

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 3, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing proposed Judgment for review and approval as to form, pursuant to Rule 58A(c)(1) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, by electronic mail upon the following:

Peter R. Mifflin
ROBERT J. DEBRY & ASSOCIATES
35 West Broadway, Suite 300
Salt Lake City, UT 84101
pmifflin@robertdebry.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

Plaintiff's counsel did not approve the proposed Judgment as to form or file an objection. Under Rule 58A(c)(3), the time to object to the form of the proposed Judgment expired on November 10, 2026, seven days after service. Defendant Davis County therefore submits the proposed Judgment for entry pursuant to Rule 58A(c)(4)(B) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure.

/s/ Dawn Veree Marshall
DAWN VEREE MARSHALL
Legal Administrative Assistant