

Ryan Rudd (11423)
Courtney Cooper (12062)
RUDD | COOPER
75 Towne Ridge Pkwy, Suite 125
Sandy, Utah 84070
Telephone: (801) 676-5337
E-mail: ryan@ruddfirm.com; ccooper@ruddcooper.com;
Attorneys for Respondent Ashlee Kroff

IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT,
IN AND FOR UTAH COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Marriage of: JARED DANA KROFF, Petitioner, and ASHLEE BIGO KROFF, Respondent.	JUDGMENT ON RESPONDENT’S MOTION TO ENFORCE Case No.: 234402912 Hon. Judge: Kasey L. Wright Commissioner: Dustin Hardy
--	--

This matter came before Commissioner Hardy on July 21, 2026, on Respondent Ashlee Kroff’s Motion to Enforce against Petitioner Jared Kroff for failure to pay court-ordered alimony. Respondent appeared through counsel Ryan Rudd; Petitioner appeared through counsel Jason Fuller. The Court, having reviewed the pleadings, including Respondent’s reply and arrearage table, and having heard the proffers and arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing, hereby ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES as follows:

1. Finding of Contempt. The Court finds, by clear and convincing evidence, that Petitioner knew of the alimony obligation set forth in Paragraph 36 of the parties’ Decree of Divorce (\$5,400 per month for 21 years), had the ability to comply with that obligation, and knowingly

and intentionally failed to pay the full amount due. Petitioner is therefore found in contempt of court.

2. Sanction — Judgment for Arrearage. Judgment is entered in favor of Respondent and against Petitioner in the amount of \$21,500.00, representing unpaid alimony for the period of January 2026 through July 2026, to be reduced by any payment(s) actually made by Petitioner during the month of July 2026. This judgment shall accrue post-judgment interest at the statutory rate.

3. Sanction — Attorney Fees and Costs. Respondent is awarded attorney fees and costs incurred in connection with the preparation, filing, and prosecution of the Motion to Enforce and this Order, excluding any fees related to (a) mediation, and (b) Respondent's response to Petitioner's Petition to Modify. As stated in Respondent's Affidavit of Attorney Fees filed on 7/30/2026 [Dkt. 73], the total amount of fees is \$4,324.00.

a. One-half of the awarded attorney fees are entered immediately as a judgment against Petitioner. The remaining one-half is stayed pending Petitioner's opportunity to purge contempt as set forth below in Paragraph 4.

4. Purge Opportunity. Petitioner may purge the contempt finding and avoid the stayed one-half of Respondent's attorney fees by:

a. Paying the full \$21,500.00 arrearage judgment (plus accrued statutory interest) in full on or before October 21, 2026; and

b. Remaining current on ongoing alimony obligations through that date.

5. Minimum Compliance Standard Pending Purge Deadline. So long as Petitioner pays no less than \$2,500.00 per month in alimony through October 21, 2026, the Court will not impose additional sanctions (including incarceration or further attorney fees) for failure to pay the full

\$5,400.00 obligation during that period, even though the contempt will not be deemed purged unless the full judgment amount is paid. This alimony obligation remains \$5,400.00 per month; the \$2,500.00 threshold is a floor for avoiding further sanction pending resolution of the Petition to Modify, not a modification of the underlying order.

6. Future Non-Compliance. If, at any point, Petitioner's alimony payments fall below \$2,500.00 per month, Respondent may bring a new motion to enforce/contempt, and the Court will consider additional sanctions, including incarceration.

7. Reservation of Issues to the Presiding Judge. The following issues are expressly reserved for determination by the presiding judge in connection with the pending Petition to Modify: (a) whether the alimony obligation should be prospectively or retroactively modified, including retroactivity to the date of filing of the Petition to Modify; (b) whether any amounts paid pursuant to this Order should be credited or refunded in the event of a retroactive modification; (c) whether to lift the stay on the remaining one-half of attorney's fees; and (d) post-judgment interest treatment on any future alimony payments addressed by the modification.

8. No Review Hearing Required. No further review hearing before this Commissioner is required unless either party requests one to address compliance through October 21, 2026.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

*****END OF ORDER*****

Pursuant to Rule 10(e) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, this Order will be entered by the Court's signature at the top of the first page.

URCP RULE 7 NOTICE

Pursuant to Utah Rule of Civil Procedure 7(j), objections to the proposed order shall be filed within 7 days after service. The party preparing the order shall file the proposed order upon being served with an objection or upon expiration of the time to object.

PREPARED BY:

/s/ Ryan Rudd
Ryan Rudd
Attorney for Respondent

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

/s/ Jason Fuller
Jason Fuller
Attorney for Petitioner
**E-signed with Permission*