

The Order of the Court is stated below:

Dated: August 01, 2026 /s/ MARIAN ITO
01:15:50 PM District Court Commissioner



Dated: August 03, 2026
02:23:33 PM

/s/ CHRISTINE JOHNSON
District Court Judge



Kristy L. Hanson (9680)
Lesley Johnson (16017)
MACARTHUR, HEDER & METLER, PLLC
Attorneys for Margaret Burr
4844 North 300 West, Third Floor
Provo, Utah 84604
Telephone: (801) 377-1900
Fax: (801) 377-1091
Email: kristy@mhmlawoffices.com

IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
UTAH COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH, PROVO DEPARTMENT

In the matter of the marriage of:

JONATHAN CAMPBELL,

and

**MARGARET BURR (fka
CAMPBELL).**

**ORDER AND JUDGEMENT ON
HEARING
(APRIL 14, 2026)**

Civil No.: **134401042**

Commissioner: Marian Ito

Judge: Christine Johnson

A hearing was held in person on April 14, 2026, before the Honorable Commissioner Ito regarding Margaret Burr's *Motion to Enforce* and *Motion to Renew Judgment*. Petitioner, Jonathan Campbell, was present and represented by counsel, Steven Wall. Respondent, Margaret Burr, was present and represented by counsel, Kristy Hanson. Petitioner's counter-motion was reserved as no order to appear had been filed. Having heard oral argument and proffers, reviewed pleadings, and for good cause appearing, the Court orders as follows:

1. The Court finds that the elements of contempt are that (1) there is a court order and that the party knew of the order, (2) the party

had the ability to comply with the order, and (3) the party willfully failed to do so. This must be demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence.

2. The Court finds Petitioner in contempt regarding taxes and health insurance.

3. The Court further finds that Petitioner was not found in contempt for failure to pay the prior attorney fee award entered in 2019.

4. The Decree and the 2016 Order require the parties to find health insurance with the greatest benefit at the least cost. Mother's coverage is primary.

5. Paragraph 21 of the Decree requires the parties to equally share the benefit of claiming the children as dependents. One benefit is applying for Marketplace insurance. Petitioner claimed the child as a dependent in years when he was not permitted to do so. That is clear and convincing evidence of contempt. Dad's Indiana insurance is of no use to a child living in Utah.

6. Petitioner maintained Marketplace insurance in Indiana for the child, IC, who resides in Utah. This insurance is of no use to the child in Utah and prevents the Respondent from enrolling the child in a usable Utah Marketplace plan.

7. Petitioner may purge his contempt by removing the child, IC,

from his Indiana health insurance immediately, and doing whatever is necessary to facilitate Respondent's enrollment of the child in Utah insurance, up to and including amending his taxes for the current year.

8. As a sanction for Petitioner's improper tax filings, Respondent is awarded the right to claim the minor child, IC, as a dependent for tax years 2027 and 2029. This sanction is imposed to remediate the effects of Petitioner's contempt, to restore the parties to an equitable position, and to effectuate compliance with the Decree.

9. The Court grants the request to renew the 2019 judgment of \$5,000 with post-judgment interest for a total of \$7,104.25 as of the date of the hearing. This judgment shall be renewed against Jonathan in favor of Margaret.

10. Regarding reimbursement for medical expenses, the Court directs that any claims with proof of payment must be submitted to the opposing party within two weeks of this hearing. The Court expressly prohibits the use of self-help offsets. This prohibition on self-help applies equally to both parties with respect to offsets, tax dependency claims, and health insurance decisions.

11. Before returning to Court on the issue of medical expenses, the parties are ordered to attend mediation to attempt to resolve the amounts owing.

12. The Court finds that Respondent substantially prevailed and awards her reasonable attorney fees and costs. Respondent's counsel shall submit an affidavit of fees within two weeks.

**THIS DOCUMENT SHALL BE IN EFFECT IF/WHEN
THE COURT STAMP IS AFFIXED ABOVE**

NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUBMIT ORDER FOR SIGNATURE

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned, attorney for Margaret Burr, will submit the above *Order on Hearing (April 14, 2026)* to the Fourth District Court for signature, upon the expiration of seven (7) days from the date of this Notice unless written objection is filed prior to that time, pursuant to Rule 7(j)(4) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure.

DATED this 2nd day of June, 2026.

/S/ Kristy L.
Hanson _____
KRISTY L. HANSON

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am employed by the law firm of MacArthur, Heder & Metler, PLLC, 4844 North 300 West, Provo, Utah 84604, and that in said capacity and pursuant to Rule 5(b), Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, a true and correct copy of the foregoing *Order on Hearing (April 14, 2026)* was served upon the following on this 17^h day of June, 2026:

PARTY	METHOD OF SERVICE
Steve Wall <i>Attorney for Petitioner</i>	☐ Hand-delivered ☐ U.S. Mail ☐ Fax ☒ E-Mail ☐ Utah Court Electronic Filing System

/s/ Jessica Seiley _____