



Mary Kate Moss (18061)
GRAVIS LAW, PLLC
1345 West 1600 North, Suite 201
Orem, Utah 84057
Telephone: (385) 350-4198
oremoffice@gravislaw.com

Attorneys for Petitioner

IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
UTAH COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH
137 N. Freedom Blvd, Provo UT 84601

**IN THE MATTER OF THE
MARRIAGE OF:**

ADRIANA ODETTE GUTIERREZ,

Petitioner,

and

RODRIGO CORONA CELIS,

Respondent.

DECREE OF DIVORCE

Case: 264400945
Judge: Denise Porter
Commissioner: Marla Snow
Discovery Tier: 4

This matter came before the above-entitled court by way of pleading, seeking the court's entry of a Decree of Divorce. The Court having entered its Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, having fully considered the file and all matters herein, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

DECREE OF DIVORCE

DECREE OF DIVORCE GRANTED. Petitioner is hereby awarded a Decree of Divorce from and against Respondent, on the grounds of irreconcilable differences, the same to become final and absolute upon signing by the court and entry by the clerk in the Registry of Actions.

1. RESIDENCY. Petitioner has been an actual and bona fide resident of Utah County, State of Utah for at least three months prior to the filing of this divorce action.
2. JURISDICTION. The parties resided in the marital relationship in the State of Utah and therefore this Court has jurisdiction over Petitioner and Respondent pursuant to §81-4-405, Utah Code Annotated (as amended).
3. MARRIAGE STATISTICS. Petitioner and Respondent were married on July 10, 2014, at Holdrege, Phelps County, State of Nebraska, and are presently married. The parties separated on July 14, 2014.
4. GROUNDS. In the marriage of the parties, differences have arisen that cannot be reconciled making it impossible to continue the marriage. The parties shall be awarded a decree of divorce on the grounds of irreconcilable differences in accordance with Utah Code Annotated § 81-4-405(1)(h).
5. CHILDREN. There have been no children born as issue of this marriage, and none are expected.
6. MEDIATION. If Respondent contests the allegations sought herein by Petitioner, the parties shall undergo divorce mediation as required by Utah law. The cost of the mediation shall be borne equally by the parties.
7. LIFE INSURANCE. Upon issuance of the decree of divorce, each party shall have the affirmative duty to review any life insurance beneficiaries and update the policies and beneficiaries as desired.
8. ALIMONY. The parties are both healthy, mature adults, able bodied and capable of employment. Therefore, neither party is in need of alimony from the other.

9. PERSONAL PROPERTY. During the term of this marriage the parties have not acquired property. The Court shall divide such personal property as is equitable, the personal property to be awarded as it is presently held by each party being an equitable distribution of the personal property.

10. REAL PROPERTY. During the period of their marriage, the parties have acquired no real property.

11. BUSINESS INTERESTS. The parties own no interests in any businesses.

12. FINANCIAL ASSETS. During the period of their marriage, the parties did not acquire any joint financial assets. The financial account assets accrued during the marriage are awarded to the individual whose name is on the account, free and clear of any claim by the other party now or in the future.

13. DEBTS. During the period of their marriage the parties have incurred certain debts and obligations, and the Court shall make a fair and equitable distribution of the same, the debts to be divided as it is presently held by each party being an equitable distribution.

a. Each party shall indemnify and hold the other party harmless from any liability on the debts each party is ordered to pay. Pursuant to U.C.A. § 81-4-406(3)(b), each party shall notify the respective creditors or obligees regarding the Court's division of the debts, obligations and liabilities, and provide the parties' separate current addresses to the respective creditors of obligees.

14. RETIREMENT AND SAVINGS. During the period of their marriage, the parties have acquired retirement assets and/or retirement savings accounts. The retirement assets accrued

during the marriage are awarded to the individual whose name is on the account, free and clear of any claim by the other party now or in the future.

15. RESTRAINT AGAINST DISSIPATION OF MARITAL ASSETS OR INCREASING MARITAL LIABILITIES. During the pendency of this action, the parties shall be prohibited from dissipating, transferring, encumbering, liquidating, wasting, or otherwise disposing of marital property, financial assets and accounts during the pendency of this matter, unless the action is consented to in writing by the other party or approved by the court. Both parties shall be restrained from increasing or otherwise incurring any additional debt or encumbrances on any joint accounts or marital property, except that, on an item-by-item basis, the parties may agree in writing to deviations from the forgoing.

16. RESTRAINT AGAINST USE OF PERSONAL INFORMATION. Neither party shall use the other party's likeness, picture, name, identification, or credit of the other party to obtain credit, open an account for any service, or obtain any other service.

17. NAME CHANGE. Neither party desires to change their name.

18. ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS. Each party shall be responsible and liable for their own attorney's fees in this matter.

19. DOCUMENT DELIVERY. Both parties shall be ordered to sign and fully execute whatever documents are necessary for the implementation of the provisions of any order, decree or judgment entered herein. Shall a party fail to execute a document within 60 days of the entry of any order, decree or judgment, the other party may bring a Motion to Enforce at the expense of the disobedient party and seek that the Court appoint some other person to execute the

document pursuant to Rule 70 of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure. Any document executed pursuant to Rule 70 shall have the same effect as if executed by the disobedient party.

SO ORDERED

*****In accordance with the Utah State District Court's Efiling Standard No. 4, and URCP Rule 10(e), this Order does not bear the handwritten signature of the Judge, but instead displays an electronic signature at the upper right-hand corner of the first page of this Order.*****

Approval as to form:

No Response Received per Rule 7
Rodrigo Celis
Respondent

SERVICE CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing *Decree of Divorce* was mailed, postage prepaid, or was sent via emailing or e-filing service on this 30th day of April, 2026, to the following as indicated below:

Rodrigo Celis
rodrigocoronacelis422@gmail.com
Respondent

/s/ Destini Townsend
Destini Townsend
Paralegal for Mary Kate Moss
Gravis Law, PLLC