



Jamin Seegrist, #19071
RCG LAW GROUP
 10619 South Jordan Gateway, Suite 100
 South Jordan, Utah 84095
 Tel: (801) 893-2887
 Email: jamin@rcglawgroup.com
Attorney for Lourdes Santos

**IN THE FOURTH DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR
 UTAH COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH**

In the Matter of the Marriage of:	DECREE OF DIVORCE
LOURDES SANTOS, Petitioner,	
and	
ERIC RICHINS, Respondent.	Case No. 254403532 Judge: Thomas Low Commissioner: Marian Ito

In accordance with Utah Code 81-4-401(2), the above-captioned matter came before the court for consideration absent a hearing. Pursuant to the *Default Certificate*, a judgment for a divorce can be entered. The court, having reviewed the pleadings on file herein, and having entered its *Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law*, does now ORDER, ADJUDGE, and DECREE as follows:

1. Lourdes Santos is awarded a Decree of Divorce from Eric Richins on the grounds of irreconcilable differences, the same to become final upon entry by the court clerk.

JURISDICTION AND GROUNDS

2. Lourdes Santos is a resident of Utah County, State of Utah, and has been for three months immediately prior to filing this action.

3. During the marital relationship the parties have resided in the state of Utah and this court has jurisdiction over Lourdes Santos pursuant to Utah Code 30-3-1(2)(2008 as amended).

4. Lourdes Santos and Eric Richins were married on June 11, 2005 in Las Vegas, Nevada, and are presently married. The parties separated on or about May 2025 and do not currently reside together.

5. There are no minor children born as an issue of the parties' relationship, and none are expected.

6. During the course of the marriage, the parties have experienced irreconcilable differences that have prevented the parties from pursuing a viable marriage relationship.

7. The Petitioner reserves the right to provide specific factual allegations in support of any of the aforementioned grounds as deemed appropriate under Utah law.

8. This Petition is being filed as a Tier 4 case because it is a domestic matter.

DEBTS AND OBLIGATIONS

9. During the course of the marriage, the parties acquired certain debts and obligations. Lourdes Santos is unaware of any joint debts. Each party should be ordered to pay the debt(s) in their own name and hold the other party harmless therefrom. If any joint debts are discovered, then the party who incurred the debt should be responsible and liable for it.

10. Each party should assume and pay their own individual debts and hold harmless the other party from liability on all debts and obligations (i.e. credit cards, student loans, utility bills) incurred by that party after separation.

11. Pursuant to Utah Code 81-4-406(4), the parties should notify respective creditors or obliges regarding the division of debts, obligations, and/or liabilities herein, and the parties' separate and current addresses.

PERSONAL PROPERTY

12. Personal Property: During the course of the marriage relationship, the parties acquired certain items of personal property which should be divided as follows:

Property	Awarded To
2011 Mercedes-Benz SL550	Lourdes Santos
Mercedes-Benz	Eric Richins

13. Secured Debt: Each party being awarded property should also be responsible for the debt associated therewith.

14. Accounts: The parties have accrued investment accounts, bank accounts, and other asset accounts during the course of their marriage. The parties should be awarded the accounts in their own name as their separate property, free and clear of any claim by the other party.

15. Personal Belongings: Each party should be awarded their own personal belongings.

16. Businesses: During the course of the marriage, the parties have not acquired an interest in any business entities.

RETIREMENT ASSETS

17. Neither party has acquired an interest in any retirement, pension, or profit-sharing account through their employment during the course of the marriage.

REAL PROPERTY

18. Neither party has acquired an interest in real property during the course of the marriage.

MISCELLANEOUS

19. Alimony: Both parties to this action are able-bodied and employed / employable, and neither party should be awarded any alimony from the other.

20. Mutual Restraining Order: The parties should be permanently restrained from bothering, harassing, annoying, threatening, and/or harming the other party at any time or in any place.

21. Delivery of Documents and Duty to Sign Documents: Each party should be ordered to execute and deliver to the other such documents as are required to implement the provisions of the Decree of Divorce entered by the court. Should a party fail to execute a document within 90 days of the entry of their divorce decree, the other party may bring a Motion to Enforce before the court, at the expense of the disobedient party. Under a Motion to Enforce, the court may appoint some other person to execute the document pursuant to Rule 70 of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure. Any document executed pursuant to Rule 70 has the same effect as if executed by the disobedient party.

22. Interpretation/Applicability: This document should be governed by Utah law in all respects. Any references to Utah statute herein should mean the Utah Code in effect as of the date of entry of the final order.

23. Severability: If a provision of the order resulting from this complaint is or becomes illegal, unenforceable, or invalid in any jurisdiction, it should not affect: (1) the enforceability or validity in that jurisdiction of any other provision of the order, or (2) the enforceability or validity in other jurisdictions of that or any other provision of the order.

[SEE TOP OF FIRST PAGE FOR COURT ENDORSEMENT]

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

Eric Richins, pro se

NOTICE PURSUANT TO RULE 7(j) OF THE UTAH RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

LOURDES SANTOS: Notice is hereby given that pursuant to Rule 7(j) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure of the District Courts of the State of Utah, that this Order prepared by Lourdes Santos' counsel shall be the Order of the court unless you file an objection in writing within seven (7) days from the date of the service of this notice.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 8th day of April 2026, I personally served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **DECREE OF DIVORCE** via Electronic Mail to:

Eric Richins, pro se
frostyhighway@gmail.com

/s/ Mayra Hainsworth _____
Paralegal