Utah Supreme Court's Advisory Committee on the Rules of Professional Conduct

Agenda

September 5, 2023 4:00 to 6:00 p.m. Utah Law and Justice Center with <u>Zoom</u> available

Welcome; approval of minutes.	Tab 1	Cory Talbot (chair)
Discussion/Action: Referral fees and fee sharing (Rules 1.0, 1.5, 5.4(b), 5.8) • Subcommittee will continue to refine rules, making sure to also address sandbox entities/professionals who may fee share. Rule 5.8 should start with a general rule about fee sharing. Definitions need to be refined and better coordinated. Comment that addresses kickback statute should be generalized.	Tab 2	Alyson McAllister (subcommittee chair)
Discussion/Action: Rule 1.6: Review subcommittee recommendations • Subcommittee considered the ABA's proposed changes to expressly forbid lawyers from facilitating money laundering and financing terrorism. • ABA's proposed changes • ABA's procedural history • ABA's round table discussion		Ashley Gregson (subcommittee chair)
Discussion/Action: Rule 1.15: Review subcommittee recommendations • Subcommittee considered Illinois' version of Rule 1.15 which expressly forbids lawyers from using client funds.	Tab 3	Cory Talbot (chair, subcommittee chair)
Discussion/Action: Rule 1.0: Consider and discuss possible revisions to definitions.	Tab 2	Gary Sackett

Meetings are held at the Utah Law and Justice Center, usually on the first Tuesday of the month from 4 to 6 p.m. **2023 Meeting Schedule:** Jan 3 • Feb 7 • Mar 7 • April 11 • May 9 • June 6 • Aug 1 • Sep 5 • Oct 3 • Nov 7 • Dec 5 http://www.utcourts.gov/committees/RulesPC/

Projects in the pipeline: • Rules 8.4 and 14-301: Assigned to Judicial Council's Fairness and Accountability Committee (historical memo attached to August materials).		
---	--	--

Tab 1



Utah Supreme Court's Advisory Committee on the Rules of Professional Conduct

Meeting Minutes August 8, 2023

Utah Law and Justice Center & Zoom 16:00 Mountain Time

Cory Talbot, Chair

Attendees:

Cory Talbot, Chair
Adam Bondy
Hon. James Gardner
Robert Gibbons
Ashley Gregson
Christine Greenwood
Mark Hales
Alyson McAllister
Julie Nelson
Hon. Trent Nelson
Hon. Amy Oliver
Ian Quiel
Jurhee Rice
Gary Sackett
Dane Thorley

Staff:

Beth Kennedy

Excused:

Mark Nickel

Hon. Mike Edwards; Joni J. Jones; Phillip Lowry; Hon. M. Alex Natt, Recording Secretary; Austin Riter; Billy Walker, Ex Officio

1. Welcome of new committee members and approval of the June 2023 meeting minutes (Chair Talbot)

Chair Talbot recognized the existence of a quorum, called the meeting to order at 16:05.

Chair Talbot asked the committee if everyone had an opportunity to review the minutes from the June 6 meeting.

Judge Oliver moved to approve the minutes; Mark Hales seconded. The motion passed by acclimation.

Chair Talbot welcomed two new members to the committee: Ashley Gregson and Mark Nickel. Each member of the Committee introduced themselves.

2. Rules 1.0, 5.4, and 5.8 (Ms. McAllister)

The Chair asked Ms. McAllister to update the Committee on her subcommittee's work proposing revisions the rules to define and address fee sharing and referral fees. Ms. McAllister addressed the purpose behind each of the proposed changes.

Ms. McAllister explained that the revisions to Rule 1.0 add a definition of "legal fees" to clarify that legal fees are fees that a lawyer is paid for legal services. The revisions also add a definition of "fee sharing" in an effort to distinguish that concept from "referral fees." The proposed definition of "fee sharing" refers to the division of legal fees, i.e., fees that have been earned.

Mr. Sackett noted additional inconsistencies in the definitions in Rule 1.0. Ms. Kennedy recommended adding the issue to the agenda for the September meeting; Chair Talbot agreed.

As to Rule 5.4, Ms. McAllister explained that the proposed revisions add "fee sharing" to the title to make it easier for practitioners to find the governing rule. The proposed revisions to the rule allow lawyers to share legal fees as long as each lawyer is providing legal services in the matter, and the total fee is reasonable.

The Committee discussed whether the rule should instead require lawyers to have a notice of appearance in the case to be able to share fees in the matter. The Committee discussed the various ways that lawyers may work on a case without entering an appearance, such as providing legal advice to the attorney of record, or conducting a moot oral argument. The Committee agreed that fee sharing should not be limited to lawyers who enter an appearance.

Ms. McAllister then discussed the proposed changes to Rule 5.8. The draft changes the use of the word "attorney" to "lawyer" to be consistent with the usage in other places in the Rules. Ms. McAllister noted that, although the Committee had previously discussed proposing a new rule concerning fee

sharing, the subcommittee believed that the concepts should be kept distinct in Rules 5.4 and 5.8.

The Committee discussed the proposed changes and their interaction with each other. Ms. McAllister noted that, under the proposed changes, "referral fees" addressed situations where the lawyer who initially worked on a case referred it to another lawyer. In those circumstances, the fees paid to the original lawyer are referral fees.

Judge Oliver suggested that "referral fees" might instead refer to a payment that a lawyer makes to someone who refers a case to them. Chair Talbot, Ms. Kennedy, Mr. Nickel, and Ms. Greenwood noted that this definition was more consistent with their understandings.

The Committee then discussed whether "referral fees" should instead refer to this type of payment to a person who has done no work on the case. Ms. McAllister answered that this definition would not address the concerns of the Supreme Court.

The Committee continued to discuss the two competing definitions but did not come to a resolution. Chair Talbot recommended that Ms. McAllister's subcommittee continue to work on the issue and that the Committee would revisit it at the next meeting in September.

3. Subcommittee assignment on ABA's proposed changes to Model Rule 1.16

Chair Talbot then turned the Committees attention to the American Bar Association's proposed changes to Model Rule 1.16 (Tab 3 of the materials). The proposed changes seek to prevent lawyers from assisting clients in money laundering and financing terrorism.

Chair Talbot suggested that a subcommittee form to review the proposed changes and determine whether Utah's Rule 1.16 should be amended. The Committee agreed.

The subcommittee for this issue will be Ashley Gregson (chair), Judge Oliver, Mark Nickel, and Jurhee Rice.

4. Subcommittee assignment on Illinois' Rule 1.15

Chair Talbot next addressed the version of Rule 1.15 in place in Illinois (tab 4 of the materials). The Illinois version expressly forbids lawyers from using any client funds. It states that "A lawyer must not, even temporarily, use funds or property of clients or third persons for the lawyer's own purposes without authorization."

In an email to Chair Talbot, Ms. Sylvester noted that many disciplinary actions against lawyers arise out of Rule 1.15 violations. Chair Talbot proposed that the Committee evaluate whether to adopt similar changes. The Committee agreed.

The subcommittee for this issue will be Cory Talbot (chair), Alyson McAllister, Christine Greenwood, and Judge Nelson.

September 5, 2023 is the next meeting of the Committee.

The meeting adjourned at 5:54 pm.

Tab 2

RPC01.00 Redline Draft: August 29, 2023

1 Rule 1.0. Terminology.

- 2 (a) "Belief" or "believes" denotes that the person involved actually supposed the fact in
- 3 question to be true. A person's belief may be inferred from circumstances.
- 4 (b) "Confirmed in writing," when used in reference to the informed consent of a person,
- 5 denotes informed consent that is given in writing by the person or a writing that a lawyer
- 6 promptly transmits to the person confirming an oral informed consent. See paragraph (f) for
- 7 the definition of "informed consent." If it is not feasible to obtain or transmit the writing at the
- 8 time the person gives informed consent, then the lawyer must obtain or transmit it within a
- 9 reasonable time thereafter.
- 10 (c) "Consult" or "consultation" denotes communication of information reasonably sufficient to
- 11 permit the client to appreciate the significance of the matter in question.
- 12 (d) "Fee sharing" refers to the division of legal fees obtained from the representation of a
- 13 client's case or legal matter between lawyers who collaborate on the matter and are not in the
- 14 same firm.
- 15 (e) "Firm" or "law firm" denotes a lawyer or lawyers in a law partnership, professional
- 16 corporation, sole proprietorship or other association authorized to practice law; or lawyers
- 17 employed in a legal services organization or the legal department of a corporation or other
- 18 organization.
- 19 (f) "Fraud" or "fraudulent" denotes conduct that is fraudulent under the substantive or
- 20 procedural law of the applicable jurisdiction and has a purpose to deceive.
- 21 (g) "Informed consent" denotes the agreement by a person to a proposed course of conduct
- 22 after the lawyer has communicated adequate information and explanation about the material
- 23 risks of and reasonably available alternatives to the proposed course of conduct.
- 24 (h) "Knowingly," "known" or "knows" denotes actual knowledge of the fact in question. A
- 25 person's knowledge may be inferred from circumstances.
- 26 (i) "Lawyer" denotes lawyers licensed to practice law in any jurisdiction of the United States,
- 27 foreign legal consultants, and licensed paralegal practitioners, insofar as the licensed paralegal
- practitioner is authorized in Utah Special Practice Rule 14-802, unless provided otherwise.
- 29 (j) "Legal fees" refer to the charges that a lawyer or law firm assesses for their legal services.
- 30 which may include time spent on legal research, preparation of legal documents, court

Deleted: two or more law firms

Deleted: work together on the case

	RPC01.00	Redline	Draft: August 29, 2023		
33	appearances, and advice	on legal matters. These fees are t	ypically negotiated and agreed upon		
34	between the lawyer and	client in advance of the legal wor	k, and may be based on factors such		
35	as the complexity of the	legal issue, the lawyer's experience	ce and expertise, and the amount of		
36	time and resources requ	ired to handle the matter.		*********	Commented [BK1]: Add to new comment
37	(k) "Licensed Paralegal l	Practitioner" denotes a person au	horized by the Utah Supreme Court		Deleted: (i)
1 38	to provide legal represer	ntation under Rule 15-701 of the S	upreme Court Rules of Professional		Deleted: "Legal Professional" denotes a lawyer and a licensed paralegal practitioner.
39	Practice.			1	Deleted: j
40	(1) "Partner" denotes a m	ember of a partnership, a shareho	older in a law firm organized as a	*********	Deleted: k
41	professional corporation	, or a member of an association a	uthorized to practice law.		
42	(m) "Public-facing office	" means an office that is open to t	he public and provides a service that	********	Deleted: 1
43	is available to the popula	ation in that location.			
44	(n) "Reasonable" or "reas	onably" when used in relation to	conduct by a lawyer denotes the	*********	Deleted: m
45	conduct of a reasonably	prudent and competent lawyer.			
46	(2) "Reasonable belief" o	r "reasonably believes" when used	l in reference to a lawyer denotes		Deleted: n
47	that the lawyer believes	the matter in question and that th	e circumstances are such that the		
48	belief is reasonable.				
49	(p) "Reasonably should l	know" when used in reference to	a lawyer denotes that a lawyer of		Deleted: o
50	reasonable prudence and	d competence would ascertain the	matter in question.		
51	(g) "Reckless" or "reckle	ssly" denotes the conscious disre	gard of a duty that a lawyer is or		Deleted: p
52	reasonably should be aw	vare of, or a conscious indifference	e to the truth.		
53	(r) "Referral fee" means	a payment made to a lawyer or fi	rm who does not represent or no		Deleted: q
54	longer represents a clien	t for referring that client to anoth	er lawyer or firm for legal services.	Ç	Deleted: means any exchange of value beyond marginal
55	(s) "Screened" denotes th	ne isolation of a lawyer from any p	participation in a matter through the		or of minimal value that is paid for the referral of a client, whether in cash or in kind.
56	timely imposition of pro	cedures within a firm that are rea	sonably adequate under the	1	Deleted: Fees shared with a lawyer who continues to represent the client in the matter referred and fees paid
57	circumstances to protect	information that the isolated law	yer is obligated to protect under	\\	for generating consumer interest for legal services with the goal of converting the interests into clients are not
58	these Rules or other law				referral fees for purposes of these rules.
59	(t) "Substantial" when us	sed in reference to degree or exter	t denotes a material matter of clear	\	Deleted: p
60	and weighty importance	·.		The same of the sa	Deleted: q
61	(<u>u</u>) "Tribunal" denotes a	court, an arbitrator in a binding a	rbitration proceeding or a legislative	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Deleted: s
1 62	body, administrative age	ency or other body acting in an ac	liudicative capacity. A legislative	-	Deleted: r

Deleted: t

body, administrative agency or other body acting in an adjudicative capacity. A legislative

RPC01.00 Redline Draft: August 29, 2023

88 body, administrative agency or other body acts in an adjudicative capacity when a neutral

- 89 official, after the presentation of evidence or legal argument by a party or parties, will render a
- 90 binding legal judgment directly affecting a party's interests in a particular matter.
- 91 (v) "Writing" or "written" denotes a tangible or electronic record of a communication or
- 92 representation, including handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostating, photography,
- 93 audio or video recording and electronic communications. A "signed" writing includes an
- 94 electronic sound, symbol or process attached to or logically associated with a writing and
- 95 executed or adopted by a person with the intent to sign the writing.
- 96 Comment

97 Confirmed in Writing

- 98 [1] If it is not feasible to obtain or transmit a written confirmation at the time the client gives
- 99 informed consent, then the lawyer must obtain or transmit it within a reasonable time
- 100 thereafter. If a lawyer has obtained a client's informed consent, the lawyer may act in reliance
- on that consent so long as it is confirmed in writing within a reasonable time thereafter.

102 Firm

- 103 [2] Whether two or more lawyers constitute a firm within paragraph (d) can depend on the
- 104 specific facts. For example, two practitioners who share office space and occasionally consult
- or assist each other ordinarily would not be regarded as constituting a firm. However, if they
- 106 present themselves to the public in a way that suggests that they are a firm or conduct
- themselves as a firm, they should be regarded as a firm for purposes of these Rules. The terms
- of any formal agreement between associated lawyers are relevant in determining whether they
- are a firm, as is the fact that they have mutual access to information concerning the clients they
- 110 serve. Furthermore, it is relevant in doubtful cases to consider the underlying purpose of the
- 111 rule that is involved. A group of lawyers could be regarded as a firm for purposes of the rule
- that the same lawyer should not represent opposing parties in litigation, while it might not be
- 113 so regarded for purposes of the rule that information acquired by one lawyer is attributed to
- 114 another.
- 115 [3] With respect to the law department of an organization, including the government, there is
- ordinarily no question that the members of the department constitute a firm within the
- 117 meaning of the Rules of Professional Conduct. There can be uncertainty, however, as to the

Deleted: s

Deleted: u

identity of the client. For example, it may not be clear whether the law department of a 120 corporation represents a subsidiary or an affiliated corporation, as well as the corporation by 121 which the members of the department are directly employed. A similar question can arise 122 concerning an unincorporated association and its local affiliates. 123 124 [4] Similar questions can also arise with respect to lawyers in legal aid and legal services organizations. Depending upon the structure of the organization, the entire organization or 125 different components of it may constitute a firm or firms for purposes of these Rules. 126 127 Fraud [5] When used in these Rules, the terms "fraud" or "fraudulent" refer to conduct that is 128 characterized as such under the substantive or procedural law of the applicable jurisdiction 129 and has a purpose to deceive. This does not include merely negligent misrepresentation or 130 131 negligent failure to apprise another of relevant information. For purposes of these Rules, it is 132 not necessary that anyone has suffered damages or relied on the misrepresentation or failure 133 to inform. **Informed Consent** 134 [6] Many of the Rules of Professional Conduct require the lawyer to obtain the informed 135 136 consent of a client or other person (e.g., a former client or, under certain circumstances, a 137 prospective client) before accepting or continuing representation or pursuing a course of conduct. See, e.g, Rules 1.2(c), 1.6(a), 1.7(b), 1.8, 1.9(b), 1.12(a), and 1.18(d). The communication 138 necessary to obtain such consent will vary according to the rule involved and the 139 circumstances giving rise to the need to obtain informed consent. Other rules require a lawyer 140 to make reasonable efforts to ensure that the client or other person possesses information 141 reasonably adequate to make an informed decision. See, e.g., Rules 1.4(b) and 1.8. Ordinarily, 142 this will require communication that includes a disclosure of the facts and circumstances 143 144 giving rise to the situation, any explanation reasonably necessary to inform the client or other person of the material advantages and disadvantages of the proposed course of conduct and a

discussion of the client's or other person's options and alternatives. In some circumstances it

may be appropriate for a lawyer to advise a client or other person to seek the advice of other

counsel. A lawyer need not inform a client or other person of facts or implications already known to the client or other person; nevertheless, a lawyer who does not personally inform the

Redline

Draft: August 29, 2023

RPC01.00

145

146

147 148

149

client or other person assumes the risk that the client or other person is inadequately informed 150 and the consent is invalid. In determining whether the information and explanation provided 151 are reasonably adequate, relevant factors include whether the client or other person is 152 experienced in legal matters generally and in making decisions of the type involved, and 153 154 whether the client or other person is independently represented by other counsel in giving the consent. Normally, such persons need less information and explanation than others, and 155 generally a client or other person who is independently represented by other counsel in giving 156 the consent should be assumed to have given informed consent. 157 [7] Obtaining informed consent will usually require an affirmative response by the client or 158 other person. In general, a lawyer may not assume consent from a client's or other person's 159 silence. Consent may be inferred, however, from the conduct of a client or other person who 160 161 has reasonably adequate information about the matter. A number of rules require that a 162 person's consent be confirmed in writing. See Rules 1.7(b) and 1.9(a). For a definition of "writing" and "confirmed in writing," see paragraphs (r) and (b). Other rules require that a 163 client's consent be obtained in a writing signed by the client. See, e.g., Rules 1.8(a) and (g). For 164 a definition of "signed," see paragraph (r). 165 166 **Referral Fees** [8] Referral fees include any exchange of value beyond marginal or of minimal value that is 167 paid for the referral of a client, whether in cash or in kind. 168 [9] The distinguishing factor between fee sharing and referral fees is whether there is ongoing 169 170 collaboration between the lawyers. Fees shared with a lawyer who continues to represent the client in the matter referred are not referral fees for purposes of these rules. 171 [10] Fee paid for generating consumer interest for legal services with the goal of converting the 172 Deleted: sharing as defined by these rules and fees interests into clients, including lead generation service providers, online banner advertising, 173 pay-per-click marketing, and similar marketing or advertising fees are not referral fees for 174 purposes of these rules. 175 Screened 176 [11] This definition applies to situations where screening of a personally disqualified lawyer is Deleted: 8 177 Deleted: 9

Draft: August 29, 2023

Redline

permitted to remove imputation of a conflict of interest under Rules 1.10, 1.11, 1.12 or 1.18.

RPC01.00

178

RPC01.00 Redline Draft: August 29, 2023

182 [12] The purpose of screening is to assure the affected parties that confidential information known by the personally disqualified lawyer remains protected. The personally disqualified 183 lawyer should acknowledge the obligation not to communicate with any of the other lawyers 184 in the firm with respect to the matter. Similarly, other lawyers in the firm who are working on 185 186 the matter should be informed that the screening is in place and that they may not communicate with the personally disqualified lawyer with respect to the matter. Additional 187 screening measures that are appropriate for the particular matter will depend on the 188 circumstances. To implement, reinforce and remind all affected lawyers of the presence of the 189 screening, it may be appropriate for the firm to undertake such procedures as a written 190 undertaking by the screened lawyer to avoid any communication with other firm personnel 191 and any contact with any firm files or other information, including information in electronic 192 193 form, relating to the matter, written notice and instructions to all other firm personnel 194 forbidding any communication with the screened lawyer relating to the matter, denial of access by the screened lawyer to firm files or other information, including information in 195 electronic form, relating to the matter and periodic reminders of the screen to the screened 196 197 lawyer and all other firm personnel. 198 [13] In order to be effective, screening measures must be implemented as soon as practical 199 after a lawyer or law firm knows or reasonably should know that there is a need for screening. [13a] The definitions of "consult" and "consultation," while deleted from the ABA Model Rule 200

1.0, have been retained in the Utah Rule because "consult" and "consultation" are used in the

rules. See, e.g., Rules 1.2, 1.4, 1.14, and 1.18.

201202

Deleted: 9
Deleted: 10

Deleted: 10

Deleted: 11

Deleted: 10a

Deleted: 11

1 Rule 1.5. Fees.

- 2 Effective:
- 3 (a) A lawyer shall not make an agreement for, charge, or collect an unreasonable fee or
- 4 an unreasonable amount for expenses. The factors to be considered in determining the
- 5 reasonableness of a fee include the following:
- 6 (1) the time and labor required, the novelty and difficulty of the questions involved
- 7 and the skill requisite to perform the legal service properly;
- 8 (2) the likelihood, if apparent to the client, that the acceptance of the particular
- 9 employment will preclude other employment by the lawyer;
- 10 (3) the fee customarily charged in the locality for similar legal services;
- 11 (4) the amount involved and the results obtained;
- 12 (5) the time limitations imposed by the client or by the circumstances;
- 13 (6) the nature and length of the professional relationship with the client;
- 14 (7) the experience, reputation and ability of the lawyer or lawyers performing the
- 15 services; and
- 16 (8) whether the fee is fixed or contingent.
- 17 (b) The scope of the representation and the basis or rate of the fee and expenses for
- 18 which the client will be responsible shall be communicated to the client, preferably in
- 19 writing, before or within a reasonable time after commencing the representation, except
- 20 when the lawyer will charge a regularly represented client on the same basis or rate.
- 21 Any changes in the basis or rate of the fee or expenses shall also be communicated to
- 22 the client.
- 23 (c) A fee may be contingent on the outcome of the matter for which the service is
- 24 rendered, except in a matter in which a contingent fee is prohibited by paragraph (d) or
- 25 other law. A contingent fee agreement shall be in a writing signed by the client and
- 26 shall state the method by which the fee is to be determined, including the percentage or

27 percentages that shall accrue to the lawyer in the event of settlement, trial or appeal;

- 28 litigation and other expenses to be deducted from the recovery; and whether such
- 29 expenses are to be deducted before or after the contingent fee is calculated. The
- 30 agreement must clearly notify the client of any expenses for which the client will be
- 31 liable whether or not the client is the prevailing party. Upon conclusion of a contingent
- 32 fee matter, the lawyer shall provide the client with a written statement stating the
- 33 outcome of the matter and, if there is a recovery, showing the remittance to the client
- 34 and the method of its determination.
- 35 (d) A lawyer shall not enter into an arrangement for, charge, or collect:
- 36 (1) any fee in a domestic relations matter, the payment or amount of which is
- 37 contingent upon the securing of a divorce or upon the amount of alimony or
- 38 support, or property settlement in lieu thereof; or
- 39 (2) a contingent fee for representing a defendant in a criminal case.
- 40 (e) Fee sharing is permitted as provided in Rule 5.4.
- 41 (f) A licensed paralegal practitioner may not enter into a contingent fee agreement with
- 42 a client.
- 43 (g) Before providing any services, a licensed paralegal practitioner must provide the
- 44 client with a written agreement that:
- 45 (1) states the purpose for which the licensed paralegal practitioner has been retained;
- 46 (2) identifies the services to be performed;
- 47 (3) identifies the rate or fee for the services to be performed and whether and to
- 48 what extent the client will be responsible for any costs, expenses or disbursements in
- 49 the course of the representation;
- 50 (4) includes a statement printed in 12-point boldface type that the licensed paralegal
- 51 practitioner is not an attorney and is limited to practice in only those areas in which
- 52 the licensed paralegal practitioner is licensed;

Deleted: between legal professionals who are acting as co-counsel on a matter except as otherwise prohibited by these rules or Utah Special Practice Rule 14-802

Deleted: e

Deleted: f

understanding as to fees and expenses must be promptly established. Generally, it is

58 59	(5) includes a provision stating that the client may report complaints relating to a licensed paralegal practitioner or the unauthorized practice of law to the Office of	
60	Professional Conduct, including a toll-free number and Internet website;	
61	(6) describes the document to be prepared;	
62	(7) describes the purpose of the document;	
63	(8) describes the process to be followed in preparing the document;	
64	(9) states whether the licensed paralegal practitioner will be filing the document on	
65	the client's behalf; and	
66	(10) states the approximate time necessary to complete the task.	
67	(h) A licensed paralegal practitioner may not make an oral or written statement	Deleted: g
68	guaranteeing or promising an outcome, unless the licensed paralegal practitioner has	
69	some basis in fact for making the guarantee or promise.	
70	Comment	
71	Reasonableness of Fee and Expenses	
72	[1] Paragraph (a) requires that lawyers charge fees that are reasonable under the	
73	circumstances. The factors specified in (a)(1) through (a)(8) are not exclusive. Nor will	
74	each factor be relevant in each instance. Paragraph (a) also requires that expenses for	
75	which the client will be charged must be reasonable. A lawyer may seek reimbursement	
76	for the cost of services performed in-house, such as copying, or for other expenses	
77	incurred in-house, such as telephone charges, either by charging a reasonable amount to	
78	which the client has agreed in advance or by charging an amount that reasonably	
79	reflects the cost incurred by the lawyer.	
80	Basis or Rate of Fee	
81	[2] When the lawyer has regularly represented a client, they ordinarily will have	
82	evolved an understanding concerning the basis or rate of the fee and the expenses for	
83	which the client will be responsible. In a new client-lawyer relationship, however, an	

00	desirable to furnish the cheft with at least a simple memorandum or copy of the
87	lawyer's customary fee arrangements that states the general nature of the legal services
88	to be provided, the basis, rate or total amount of the fee and whether and to what extent
89	the client will be responsible for any costs, expenses or disbursements in the course of
90	the representation. A written statement concerning the terms of the engagement
91	reduces the possibility of misunderstanding.
92	[3] Contingent fees, like any other fees, are subject to the reasonableness standard of
93	paragraph (a) of this Rule. In determining whether a particular contingent fee is
94	reasonable, or whether it is reasonable to charge any form of contingent fee, a lawyer
95	must consider the factors that are relevant under the circumstances. Applicable law
96	may impose limitations on contingent fees, such as a ceiling on the percentage
97	allowable, or may require a lawyer to offer clients an alternative basis for the fee.
98	Applicable law also may apply to situations other than a contingent fee, for example,
99	government regulations regarding fees in certain tax matters.
100	Terms of Payment
101	[4] A lawyer may require advance payment of a fee but is obligated to return any
102	unearned portion. See Rule1.16(d). A lawyer may accept property in payment for
103	services, such as an ownership interest in an enterprise, providing this does not involve
104	acquisition of a proprietary interest in the cause of action or subject matter of the
105	litigation contrary to Rule 1.8(i). However, a fee paid in property instead of money may
106	be subject to the requirements of Rule 1.8(a) because such fees often have the essential
107	qualities of a business transaction with the client.
108	[5] An agreement may not be made whose terms might induce the lawyer improperly to
109	curtail services for the client or perform them in a way contrary to the client's interest.
110	For example, a lawyer should not enter into an agreement whereby services are to be
111	provided only up to a stated amount when it is foreseeable that more extensive services

probably will be required, unless the situation is adequately explained to the client.

Otherwise, the client might have to bargain for further assistance in the midst of a

proceeding or transaction. However, it is proper to define the extent of services in light

112113

114

115 of the client's ability to pay. A lawyer should not exploit a fee arrangement based 116 primarily on hourly charges by using wasteful procedures. 117 **Prohibited Contingent Fees** 118 [6] Paragraph (d) prohibits a lawyer from charging a contingent fee in a domestic 119 relations matter when payment is contingent upon the securing of a divorce or upon the 120 amount of alimony or support or property settlement to be obtained. This provision 121 does not preclude a contract for a contingent fee for legal representation in connection 122 with the recovery of post-judgment balances due under support, alimony or other 123 financial orders because such contracts do not implicate the same policy concerns. 124 **Fee Sharing** 125 [7] Fee sharing between Jawyers and non-lawyers is permitted only in accordance with Deleted: [7] Paragraph (e) permits fee sharing arrangements between legal professionals, with some 126 Rule 5.4 and Standing Order No. 15. limitations. For example, fee sharing between lawyers and licensed paralegal practitioners may be limited by Utah Special Practice Rule 14-802. 127 Disputes over Fees Deleted: 8 128 [8] If a procedure has been established for resolution of fee disputes, such as an Deleted: by Deleted: legal professionals 129 arbitration or mediation procedure established by the Bar, the lawyer must comply with Deleted: with 130 the procedure when it is mandatory, and, even when it is voluntary, the lawyer should Deleted: anyone who is not a legal professional 131 conscientiously consider submitting to it. Law may prescribe a procedure for Deleted: Deleted: only 132 determining a lawyer's fee, for example, in representation of an executor or Deleted: 7 133 administrator, a class or a person entitled to a reasonable fee as part of the measure of Deleted: 9 134 damages. The lawyer entitled to such a fee and a lawyer representing another party 135 concerned with the fee should comply with the prescribed procedure.

Draft: August 29, 2023

Deleted: 8
Deleted: 10

paralegal practitioners.

Deleted: [8a] This rule differs from the ABA Model Rule by including certain restrictions on licensed

RPC01.05. Amend.

136

137

[9] This rule differs from the ABA model rule.

RPC05.04. Amend. Redline Draft: August 29, 2023

1 Rule 5.4. Professional Independence of a Lawyer and Fee Sharing.

- 2 (a) A lawyer may provide legal services pursuant to this Rule only if there is at all times
- 3 no interference with the lawyer's:
- 4 (1) professional independence of judgment,
- 5 (2) duty of loyalty to a client, and
- 6 (3) protection of client confidences.
- 7 (b) A lawyer may permit a person to recommend, retain, or pay the lawyer to render legal
- 8 services for another.
- 9 (c) A lawyer or law firm may engage in fee sharing if:
- 10 (1) the client agrees in writing to the arrangement, including the share each
- 11 <u>lawyer will receive, and</u>
- 12 (2) the total fee to be shared is reasonable under Rule 1.5.
- 13 (d) A lawyer or law firm may share legal fees with a nonlawyer if:
- 14 (1) the fee to be shared is reasonable and the fee-sharing arrangement has been
- authorized as required by Utah Supreme Court Standing Order No. 15;
- 16 (2) the lawyer or law firm provides written notice to the affected client and, if
- applicable, to any other person paying the legal fees;
- 18 (3) the written notice describes the relationship with the nonlawyer, including the
- 19 fact of the fee-sharing arrangement; and
- 20 (4) the lawyer or law firm provides the written notice before accepting
- 21 representation or before sharing fees from an existing client.
- 22 (e) A lawyer may practice law with nonlawyers, or in an organization, including a
- 23 partnership, in which a financial interest is held or managerial authority is exercised by
- 24 one or more persons who are nonlawyers, provided that the nonlawyers or the
- 25 organization has been authorized as required by Utah Supreme Court Standing Order
- No. 15 and provided the lawyer shall:

Commented [AM1]: The subcommittee would like input on drafting language that makes it clear fee sharing is distinguishable from referral fees, but takes into account the other kind of fee sharing arrangements like Beth runs into

Deleted: participate

Deleted: (1) each of them is providing legal services on behalf of the client in the matter, and

Deleted: (a)

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5"

Deleted: d

RPC05.04. Amend. Redline Draft: August 29, 2023

12 (1) before accepting a representation, provide written notice to a prospective client 13 that one or more nonlawyers holds a financial interest in the organization in which 13 the lawyer practices or that one or more nonlawyers exercises managerial 13 authority over the lawyer; and

(2) set forth in writing to a client the financial and managerial structure of the organization in which the lawyer practices.

Comments

informed consent).

57

58

59

36 37

38

[1] The provisions of this Rule are to protect the lawyer's professional independence of 39 judgment, to assure that the lawyer is loyal to the needs of the client, and to protect clients 40 from the disclosure of their confidential information. Where someone other than the 41 42 client pays the lawyer's fee or salary, manages the lawyer's work, or recommends retention of the lawyer, that arrangement does not modify the lawyer's obligation to the 43 44 client. As stated in paragraph (a), such arrangements must not interfere with the lawyer's professional judgment. See also Rule 1.8(f) (lawyer may accept compensation from a third 45 46 party as long as there is no interference with the lawyer's independent professional judgment and the client gives informed consent). This Rule does not lessen a lawyer's 47 obligation to adhere to the Rules of Professional Conduct and does not authorize a 48 nonlawyer to practice law by virtue of being in a business relationship with a lawyer. It 49 may be impossible for a lawyer to work in a firm where a nonlawyer owner or manager 50 has a duty to disclose client information to third parties, as the lawyer's duty to maintain 51 client confidences would be compromised. 52 [2] The Rule also expresses traditional limitations on permitting a third party to direct or 53 regulate the lawyer's professional judgment in rendering legal services to another. See 54 also Rule 1.8(f) (lawyer may accept compensation from a third party as long as there is 55 no interference with the lawyer's independent professional judgment and the client gives 56

[3] Fee sharing arrangements with nonlawyers are governed by Supreme Court Standing

Order No. 15. Fee sharing and referral fees are defined in Rule 1.0. Paragraph (d) does

Moved (insertion) [1]

Deleted: ¶

Moved (insertion) [2]

Deleted: R

Deleted: c

RPC05.04. Amend. Redline Draft: August 29, 2023

63 <u>not permit individual lawyers or law firms to pay referral fees to nonlawyers. Referral</u>

- 64 fees between lawyers are governed by Rule 5.8.
- [4] Before engaging in any referral fee arrangement, lawyers should be familiar with Utah
- 66 law regarding prohibitions on kickbacks.
- 67 [5] Paragraph (d) permits individual lawyers or law firms to enter into business or
- 68 employment relationships with nonlawyers, whether through nonlawyer ownership or
- 69 investment in a law practice, joint venture, or through employment by a nonlawyer-
- 70 owned entity. In each instance, the nonlawyer-owned entity must be approved by the
- 71 Utah Supreme Court for authorization under Standing Order No. 15.
- 72 [6] Nothing in this rule is intended to conflict with any statutory prohibition on kickbacks.
- 73 These prohibitions currently exclude legal fees shared between individuals who are
- 74 <u>each/all licensed to practice law, which is also specifically permitted by paragraph (c).</u>
- 75 [7] This <u>rule</u> differs from the ABA model rule.

Moved up [2]: Referral fees are defined in Rule 1.0.

Moved up [1]: Fee sharing arrangements with nonlawyers are governed by Supreme Court Standing Order No. 15. Whether in accepting or paying for

Deleted: s

Deleted: for client referrals, share fees with nonlawyers, or allow third party retention. In each of these instances, the financial arrangement must be reasonable, authorized as required under Supreme Court Standing Order No. 15, and disclosed in writing to the client before engagement and before fees are shared.

Deleted: Fee sharing arrangements with nonlawyers are governed by Supreme Court Standing Order No. 15. Whether in accepting or paying for referrals, or feesharing, the lawyer must protect the lawyer's professional judgment, ensure the lawyer's loyalty to the client, and protect client confidences

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: legal professionals

Deleted: addressed in

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: 4

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: 5
Deleted: as

Deleted: 5

Deleted: 6

Deleted: Rule

RPC05.08. NEW. (Lawyer referral fees only) Redline

Rule 5.8. Referral Fees. 1 Commented [AM1]: The notes from the last committee meeting ask for a general rule about fee sharing. However, the subcommittee believes fee sharing and referral fees (a) Referral fees paid to anyone who is not a lawyer are prohibited. 2 should be kept separate and distinct, and fee sharing fits within Rule 5.4, not Rule 5.8. (b) A referral fee must: 3 Deleted: a Deleted: paid to a lawyer who does not represent the (1) not be paid until a legal fee is payable to the lawyer representing the client in the 4 client in the referred matter referred matter; 5 Deleted: an attorney (2) not be passed along to the client either as a cost or an increase of the total Jegal fee; 6 **Deleted:** attorney 7 and 8 (3) be subject to the client's giving informed consent, confirmed in writing, to the terms of the referral fee arrangement. 9 (c) Any referral fee must be reasonable relative to the total Jegal fees that may ultimately 10 Deleted: b **Deleted:** payable in the case be earned. The factors to be considered in determining the reasonableness of a referral 11 Deleted: attorney fee include the following: 12 13 (1) the referral fee customarily paid in the locality for similar referrals; (2) the amount of work performed by the referring lawyer and the amount of work 14 **Deleted:** attorney anticipated to be performed by the Jawyer taking over the matter; 15 **Deleted:** attorney (3) the amounts involved and the potential results; and 16 (4) the nature and length of the referrer's relationship with the client. 17 Comment 18 Deleted: (c) Referral fees to anyone who is not a lawyer nonlawyers are prohibited. [1] Paragraph (a) forbids payments to anyone who is not a lawyer for referring clients or 19 legal matters. Fee-sharing with lawyers is permitted in accordance with Rule 5.4. Fee 20 sharing with non-lawyers is permitted only in accordance with Rule 5.4 and Standing 21 22 Order No. 15. [2] Paragraph (b)(1) prohibits lawyers from paying a referral fee until the lawyer who 23 Deleted: 1 Deleted: a 24 represents the client in the matter is entitled to be paid a legal fee. **Deleted:** attorney Deleted: s

Draft: August 29, 2023

RPC05.08. NEW. (Lawyer referral fees only) Redline

41 [3] In the case of a contingent fee matter, the lawyer may not pay the referral fee until the

42 <u>lawyer is entitled to receive the contingent fee, which may be at the conclusion of the</u>

43 matter.

44 [4] A lawyer should only refer a matter to another lawyer whom the referring lawyer

45 <u>reasonably believes is competent to handle the matter diligently. See Rules 1.1 and 1.3.</u>

46 [5] Paragraph (b)(2) prohibits a lawyer from charging a client in a referred matter a higher

47 fee, or from seeking payment of greater costs, than the lawyer charges other clients where

48 no referral fee was paid. For the definitions of "informed consent," "confirmed in

49 writing," "lawyer" "legal fees," and "referral fees," see Rule 1.0.

50 [6] The term "amounts involved" in paragraph (c)(3) refers to things such as the estimated

51 value of the case, claims, estate, commercial transaction, anticipated recovery, insurance

52 <u>limits</u>, and statutory <u>limits</u>.

53 [7] Before engaging in any referral fee arrangement, legal professionals should be familiar

with Utah law regarding prohibitions on kickbacks.

55 [8] This rule is not part of the ABA Model Rules.

Deleted: 2

Draft: August 29, 2023

Deleted: 3

Deleted: 4

Deleted: a

Deleted: 5

Deleted: b

Deleted: 2

Deleted: [6] Paragraph (c) forbids payments to anyone who is not a lawyer for referring clients or legal matters. Fee-sharing with lawyers is permitted in accordance with Rule 5.4. Fee sharing with nonlawyersanyone who is not a lawyer is only permitted when done in accordance with Rule 5.4 and Standing Order No. 15.¶

Deleted: fee sharing

Formatted: Not Highlight

Deleted: U.C.A. §76-10-3201.

Deleted: the

Deleted: P

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Deleted: 7

Tab 3

RPC1.15 Clean August 31, 2023 1 Rule 1.15. Safekeeping Property. 2 (a) A lawyer must not, even temporarily, use funds or property of clients or third persons for the lawyer's own purposes without authorization. 3 (b) A lawyer must hold funds or property of clients or third persons that are in the Deleted: shall 4 Deleted: is 5 <u>Jawyer's</u> possession in connection with a representation separate from the <u>Jawyer's</u> own Deleted: a funds or property. All such funds must be kept in a separate account maintained in the 6 Deleted: lawyer's 7 state where the <u>lawyer's</u> office is situated or elsewhere with the consent of the client or Deleted: lawyer's Deleted: Funds shall third person. The account may only be maintained in a financial institution that agrees 8 Deleted: lawyer's 9 to report to the Office of Professional Conduct in the event any instrument in properly payable form is presented against an attorney trust account containing insufficient 10 funds, irrespective of whether or not the instrument is honored. Other property shall be 11 identified as such and appropriately safeguarded. Complete records of such account 12 funds and other property shall be kept by the lawyer and shall be preserved for a 13 period of five years after termination of the representation. 14 (c) A lawyer may deposit the lawyer's own funds in a client trust account for the sole Deleted: b 15 purpose of paying bank service charges on that account, but only in an amount 16 necessary for that purpose. 17 18 (d) A lawyer shall deposit into a client trust account legal fees and expenses that have Deleted: c been paid in advance, to be withdrawn by the lawyer only as fees are earned or 19 expenses incurred. 20 21 (e) Upon receiving funds or other property in which a client or third person has an Deleted: d 22 interest, a lawyer shall promptly notify the client or third person. Except as stated in this Rule or otherwise permitted by law or by agreement with the client, a lawyer shall 23 promptly deliver to the client or third person any funds or other property that the client 24 or third person is entitled to receive and, upon request by the client or third person, 25 shall promptly render a full accounting regarding such property. 26

RPC1.15 Clean August 31, 2023

37	(f) When in the course of representation a lawyer is in possession of property in which
38	two or more persons (one of whom may be the lawyer) claim interests, the property
39	shall be kept separate by the lawyer until the dispute is resolved. The lawyer shall
40	promptly distribute all portions of the property as to which the interests are not in
41	dispute.
42	Comment
43	[1] A lawyer should hold property of others with the care required of a professional
44	fiduciary. Securities should be kept in a safe deposit box, except when some other form
45	of safekeeping is warranted by special circumstances. All property which is the
46	property of clients or third persons, including prospective clients, must be kept separate
47	from the lawyer's business and personal property and, if monies, in one or more trust
48	accounts. Separate trust accounts may be warranted when administering estate monies
49	or acting in similar fiduciary capacities. In addition to normal monthly maintenance
50	fees on each account, the lawyers can anticipate that financial institutions may charge
51	additional fees for reporting overdrafts in accordance with this Rule. A lawyer should
52	maintain on a current basis books and records in accordance with generally accepted
53	accounting practice and comply with any recordkeeping rules established by law or
54	court order. See, e.g., ABA Model Financial Recordkeeping Rule.
55	[2] A lawyer who receives funds or property by any means must take reasonable steps
56	to safeguard and segregate client and third-person funds and property pursuant to Rule
57	1.15. Lawyers using an electronic payment method, including credit cards, ACH
58	transfers (Automated Clearing House electronic funds transfers), and online payment
59	systems, to accept the payment of client or third-person funds must take reasonable
60	steps to ensure that the use of such a method does not result in any commingling with
61	the funds of the lawyer, does not risk the loss of any client or third-person funds, and
62	does not compromise the identity of any client or third-person funds. A lawyer also
63	must take reasonable steps to ensure that client or third-person funds accepted through
64	an electronic payment method are transferred immediately to an IOL TA account or
65	non-IOLTA client trust account maintained by the lawyer.

Deleted: e

	RPC1.15	Clean	August 31, 2023	
67	[3] While normally it is impermi	ssible to commingle the lawy	er's own funds with client	Deleted: 2
68	funds, paragraph (c) provides th	at it is permissible when nec	essary to pay bank service	Deleted: b
69	charges on that account. Accura-	e records must be kept regar	ding which part of the	
70	funds are the lawyer's.			
71	[4] Lawyers often receive funds	from third parties from whic	h the lawyer's fee will be	Deleted: 3
72	paid. The lawyer is not required	to remit to the client funds the	nat the lawyer reasonably	
73	believes represent fees owed. He	owever, a lawyer may not ho	ld funds to coerce a client	
74	into accepting the lawyer's conte	ention. The disputed portion	of the funds must be kept	
75	in a trust account, and the lawye	r should suggest means for p	prompt resolution of the	
76	dispute, such as arbitration. The	undisputed portion of the fu	nds shall be promptly	
77	distributed.			
78	[5] Paragraph (f) also recognizes	that third parties may have l	awful claims against	Deleted: 4
79	specific funds or other property	in a lawyer's custody, such a	s a client's creditor who	Deleted: e
80	has a lien on funds recovered in	a personal injury action. A la	wyer may have a duty	
81	under applicable law to protect	such third-party claims again	st wrongful interference	
82	by the client. In such cases, when	n the third-party claim is not	frivolous under applicable	
83	law, the lawyer must refuse to s	arrender the property to the	client until the claims are	
84	resolved. A lawyer should not u	nilaterally assume to arbitrat	e a dispute between the	
85	client and the third party, but, w	hen there are substantial gro	unds for dispute as to the	
86	person entitled to the funds, the	lawyer may file an action to	have a court resolve the	
87	dispute.			
88	[6] The obligations of a lawyer u	nder this Rule are independe	ent of those arising from	Deleted: 5
89	activity other than rendering leg	al services. For example, a la	wyer who serves as an	
90	escrow agent is governed by the	applicable law relating to fic	luciaries even though the	
91	lawyer does not render legal ser	vices in the transaction and is	s not governed by this	
92	Rule.			
93	[7] A lawyers' fund for client pro	otection provides a means the	ough the collective efforts	Deleted: 6
94	of the Bar to reimburse persons	who have lost money or prop	erty as a result of	

	RPC1.15	Clean	August 31, 2023	
			, and the second	
102	dishonest conduct of a lawyer. When		-	
103	participate where it is mandatory, ar	nd, even when it is voluntary, th	he lawyer should	
104	participate.			
105	[6a] This Rule is <u>similar</u> to ABA Mod	lel Rule 1.15 <u>. Paragraph (a) was</u>	s added, resulting in	Deleted: identical
106	re-lettering the following paragraphs	s. Also, the first two sentences	of paragraph (b)	
107	differ from the ABA Model Rule 1.15	, and that paragraph also incom	rporates two	Deleted: except it
108	sentences that were added to the pri-	or version of this Rule in 1997.	These two sentences	
109	are the third sentence of paragraph (b) of the Rule and the correspo	nding fifth sentence	Deleted: a
110	of Comment [1]. Comment [2] was a	lso added, resulting in renumb	ering the following	
111	Comments.			