## Supreme Court's Advisory Committee on the Rules of Professional Conduct

## Agenda

September 13, 2021 5:00 to 7:00 p.m.

### Via Webex

Welcome and approval of minutes	Tab 1	Simón Cantarero, Chair
Rule 1.5 and 5.4 (Referral fees, fee sharing, and solicitation)  • back with SC comments	Tab 2	Simón Cantarero, Alyson McAlister (subcommittee chair), Angie Allen, Dan Brough, Jurhee Rice, Gary Sackett
Rules 8.4 and 14-301: Subcommittee Update		Adam Bondy (subcommittee chair), Judge Michael Edwards, Judge Trent Nelson, Judge Amy Oliver, Steve Johnson, Austin Riter, Professor Dane Thorley, Katherine Venti, Julie Nelson

2021 Meeting Schedule: 1st Monday of the month at 5pm.

Next meeting: October 4, 2021

# Tab 1



## Utah Supreme Court's Advisory Committee on the Rules of Professional Conduct

## Meeting Minutes August 2, 2021

WEBEX 17:00 Mountain Time

J. Simon Cantarero, Chair

**Attendees:** 

J. Simon Cantarero, Chair

Hon. James Gardner

Katherine Venti

Alyson McAllister

Cory Talbot Adam Bondy

Joni Jones

Gary Sackett (Emeritus)

Steve Johnson (Emeritus)

Jurhee Rice

Dan Brough

Hon. Trent Nelson (Emeritus)

Billy Walker

Amy Oliver

Dane Thorley

Julie J. Nelson

Hon. Mike Edwards

Phil Lowry

M. Alex Natt, Recording Secretary

Absent -Austin Riter, Angie Allen, Vanessa Ramos, Phil Lowry Staff:

Gage DM Hansen

**Guests:** 

Scottie Hill

#### 1. Welcome and approval of the June 7, 2021 meeting minutes: (Chair Cantarero)

Chair Cantarero recognized the existence of a quorum, welcomed everyone to the meeting including the guests. The Chair introduced the new members Julie J. Nelson and Robert Gibbons, spoke to the charge of the Committee, the attendance requirements and other matters and asked each member to introduce themselves starting with the Emeritus members.

The Chair asks for a Motion to approve the June 7, 2021 meeting minutes.

Mr. Talbot moves and Jurhee Rice seconds the Motion. The minutes are adopted unanimously without correction.

#### 2. **Rules 8.4 and 14-301:** (Chair Cantarero)

The Chair presents the issue to the new members and revisits what has transpired to this point. At its last meeting the Committee tabled discussion and a proposed vote on a revised 8.4 pending review of a Colorado Supreme Court decision discussed in our last meeting entitled In the Matter of Robert E. Abrams, 2021 CO 44 which upheld the Colorado Rule 8.4 finding it Constitutional in a circumstance where counsel made a comment denigrating the presiding judge's physical appearance and alleged sexual orientation. The Chair asks for Committee opinions on the Colorado case vs. the rule proposed by this Committee. Mr. Johnson highlights some of the differences between the Colorado rule and our proposed rule. Mr. Sackett suggests we adopt a version of the Colorado rule, slightly modified, to be sure that our proposal passes Constitutional muster. Mr. Johnson and Judge Nelson suggest the Committee's proposed 8.4(g) should be maintained and not altered to match the Colorado standard.

The Chair turns to 8.4(h) and discusses egregious and/or repeated violations of the standards set forth therein, that would constitute violation of the standards of professional conduct. Can the Supreme Court limit attorney speech by these standards? Chair Cantarero asks each Committee member to give their thoughts. Vigorous discussion ensues.

Ms. Venti asks whether we are in a position to vote today or whether this discussion will continue until next month's meeting. The Chair suggests that we not vote today but instead the Subcommittee be reconstituted to (1) identify the state interests (i.e. the Utah Supreme Court's interests) at play and whether that it's compelling and (2) considering the options proposed in light of the Colorado Rule and Colorado Supreme Court decision. Mr. Bondy will remain Chair but Ms. Venti and Ms. Nelson are added to the subcommittee and Mr. Thorley is released from this assignment with the thanks of the Committee. Mr. Lowry is asked to invite Professor Volokh to meet with the subcommittee if possible to offer his thoughts on this matter.

#### **3. Rule 5.5:** (Ms. Jones)

Ms. Jones moves to adopt the changes to rule 5.5 as shown on tab 4 of the packet. Judge Gardner seconds the Motion. Mr. Sackett asks for a clarification of "public facing" and is directed to the definition in the materials which describes it as a defined term. A question is raised as to why the word "shall" is changed to "must" in this Rule. Mr. Sackett objects to this usage and urges it remain "shall" for consistently. Mr. Hansen discusses the Supreme Court's direction that "must" is a modernization of "shall" and reflects modern usage for non-lawyer understanding. After the discussion the Motion remains the same and the Committee is asked to vote.

The Motion passes unanimously.

The remainder of the agenda is tabled until September's meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 19:05. The next meeting will be held on September 13, 2021.

# Tab 2

1	Rule	15	Fees
_	Nuic	1.0.	TCC5.

2	(a)	Reasonableness	of	attorney	fees	and	expenses.	Α	lawyer	<del>shall</del> must	not	make an	

- 3 agreement for, charge, or collect an unreasonable fee or an unreasonable amount for
- 4 expenses. The factors to be considered in determining the reasonableness of a fee
- 5 include the following:

9

11

12

13

- 6 (1) the time and labor required, the novelty and difficulty of the questions
- 7 involved and the skill requisite to perform the legal service properly;
- 8 (2) the likelihood, if apparent to the client, that the acceptance of the particular
  - employment will preclude other employment by the lawyer;
- 10 (3) the fee customarily charged in the locality for similar legal services;
  - (4) the amount involved and the results obtained;
  - (5) the time limitations imposed by the client or by the circumstances;
    - (6) the nature and length of the professional relationship with the client;
- 14 (7) the experience, reputation and ability of the lawyer or lawyers performing the
- services; and
- 16 (8) whether the fee is fixed or contingent.
- 17 (b) Communication to the client. The scope of the representation and the basis or rate
  - of the fee and expenses for which the client will be responsible shallmust be
- 19 communicated to the client, preferably in writing, before or within a reasonable time
- 20 after commencing the representation, except when the lawyer will charge a regularly
- 21 represented client on the same basis or rate. Any changes in the basis or rate of the fee
- or expenses shallmust also be communicated to the client.
- 23 (c) Permitted contingency fees. A fee may be contingent on the outcome of the matter
- 24 for which the service is rendered, except in a matter in which a contingent fee is
- 25 prohibited by paragraph (d) or other law. A contingent fee agreement shallmust be in a
- 26 writing signed by the client and shallmust state the method by which the fee is to be

determined, including the percentage or percentages that shallmust accrue to the 27 lawyer in the event of settlement, trial or appeal; litigation and other expenses to be 28 deducted from the recovery; and whether such expenses are to be deducted before or 29 after the contingent fee is calculated. The agreement must clearly notify the client of any 30 expenses for which the client will be liable whether or not the client is the prevailing 31 32 party. Upon conclusion of a contingent fee matter, the lawyer shallmust provide the client with a written statement stating the outcome of the matter and, if there is a 33 34 recovery, showing the remittance to the client and the method of its determination. 35 (d) Prohibited contingency fees. A lawyer shallmust not enter into an arrangement for, charge, or collect: 36 (1) any fee in a domestic relations matter, the payment or amount of which is 37 contingent upon the securing of a divorce or upon the amount of alimony or 38 support, or property settlement in lieu thereof; or 39 (2) a contingent fee for representing a defendant in a criminal case. 40 (e) Referral fee restrictions. Referral fees paid to a non-lawyer or paid to a lawyer who 41 does not represent the client in the referred matter must: 42 43 (1) not be paid until an attorney fee is payable to the lawyer representing the client in the referred matter; 44 45 (2) not be passed directly to the client; and 46 (3) be subject to the client giving informed consent confirmed in writing to the 47 terms of the referral fee arrangement. A referring party is not prohibited from charging reasonable fees directly to the client 48 49 for services actually provided by the referring party, whether related to the claim or not. (f) No referral fees to potential witnesses. No referral fee may be paid, directly or 50 51 indirectly, to a potential witness in the referred case. Even if the lawyer does not intend

to call the person as a witness, if it is foreseeable that an opposing party or third party

may do so, a referral fee violates this rule. Potential witnesses may include treating 53 providers, eyewitnesses, and family and friends of the client. 54 (g) Reasonableness of referral fee. Any referral fee payable in the case must be 55 reasonable in proportion to the total attorney fees that may ultimately be 56 earned obtained. The factors to be considered in determining the reasonableness of a 57 referral fee include the following: 58 (1) the time and labor required, the novelty and difficulty of the questions 59 involved and the skill requisite to perform the legal service properly; 60 (2) the likelihood, if apparent to the client, that the acceptance of the particular 61 62 employment will preclude other employment by the lawyer; (3) the fee customarily charged in the locality for similar legal services; 63 (4) the amount of attorney fees involved and the results that may ultimately be 64 65 earned; (5) the time limitations imposed by the client or by the circumstances; 66 (6) the nature and length of the professional relationship with the client; 67 (7) the experience, reputation and ability of the lawyer or lawyers performing the 68 services; and 69 70 (8) whether the attorney fee is fixed or contingent. 71 (eh) A licensed paralegal practitioner may not enter into a contingent fee agreement with a client. 72 (fi) Before providing any services, a licensed paralegal practitioner must provide the 73 74 client with a written agreement that: (1) states the purpose for which the licensed paralegal practitioner has been 75 76 retained; 77 (2) identifies the services to be performed;

**Commented [NS1]:** What about a contingency fee case where case isn't successful?

What about leaving this to the market?

Commented [NS2]: Is this the right word to use?

Commented [NS3]: Include relevant factors here.

78	(3) ide	entifies t	he ra	te or fe	e for t	he s	ervices to be	perfe	ormed	and w	hether and	to
79	what	extent	the	client	will	be	responsible	for	any	costs,	expenses	or
80	disbursements in the course of the representation;											

- (4) includes a statement printed in 12-point boldface type that the licensed paralegal practitioner is not an attorney and is limited to practice in only those areas in which the licensed paralegal practitioner is licensed;
- (5) includes a provision stating that the client may report complaints relating to a licensed paralegal practitioner or the unauthorized practice of law to the Office of Professional Conduct, including a toll-free number and Internet website;
- (6) describes the document to be prepared;
- (7) describes the purpose of the document;
- (8) describes the process to be followed in preparing the document;
- 90 (9) states whether the licensed paralegal practitioner will be filing the document 91 on the client's behalf; and
  - (10) states the approximate time necessary to complete the task.
  - (gj) A licensed paralegal practitioner may not make an oral or written statement guaranteeing or promising an outcome, unless the licensed paralegal practitioner has some basis in fact for making the guarantee or promise.

#### Comment

#### Reasonableness of Fee and Expenses

[1] Paragraph (a) requires that lawyers charge fees that are reasonable under the circumstances. The factors specified in (a)(1) through (a)(8) are not exclusive. Nor will each factor be relevant in each instance. Paragraph (a) also requires that expenses for which the client will be charged must be reasonable. A lawyer may seek reimbursement for the cost of services performed in-house, such as copying, or for other expenses incurred in-house, such as telephone charges, either by charging a reasonable amount to

which the client has agreed in advance or by charging an amount that reasonably reflects the cost incurred by the lawyer.

#### Basis or Rate of Fee

- [2] When the lawyer has regularly represented a client, they ordinarily will have evolved an understanding concerning the basis or rate of the fee and the expenses for which the client will be responsible. In a new client-lawyer relationship, however, an understanding as to fees and expenses must be promptly established. Generally, it is desirable to furnish the client with at least a simple memorandum or copy of the lawyer's customary fee arrangements that states the general nature of the legal services to be provided, the basis, rate or total amount of the fee and whether and to what extent the client will be responsible for any costs, expenses or disbursements in the course of the representation. A written statement concerning the terms of the engagement reduces the possibility of misunderstanding.
- [3] Contingent fees, like any other fees, are subject to the reasonableness standard of paragraph (a) of this Rule. In determining whether a particular contingent fee is reasonable, or whether it is reasonable to charge any form of contingent fee, a lawyer must consider the factors that are relevant under the circumstances. Applicable law may impose limitations on contingent fees, such as a ceiling on the percentage allowable, or may require a lawyer to offer clients an alternative basis for the fee. Applicable law also may apply to situations other than a contingent fee, for example, government regulations regarding fees in certain tax matters.

#### Terms of Payment

[4] A lawyer may require advance payment of a fee but is obligated to return any unearned portion. See Rule1.16(d). A lawyer may accept property in payment for services, such as an ownership interest in an enterprise, providing this does not involve acquisition of a proprietary interest in the cause of action or subject matter of the litigation contrary to Rule 1.8(i). However, a fee paid in property instead of money may

- be subject to the requirements of Rule 1.8(a) because such fees often have the essential qualities of a business transaction with the client.
- 133 [5] An agreement may not be made whose terms might induce the lawyer improperly to
- 134 curtail services for the client or perform them in a way contrary to the client's interest.
- 135 For example, a lawyer should not enter into an agreement whereby services are to be
  - provided only up to a stated amount when it is foreseeable that more extensive services
- 137 probably will be required, unless the situation is adequately explained to the client.
- 138 Otherwise, the client might have to bargain for further assistance in the midst of a
- 139 proceeding or transaction. However, it is proper to define the extent of services in light
- 140 of the client's ability to pay. A lawyer should not exploit a fee arrangement based
- primarily on hourly charges by using wasteful procedures.

#### **Prohibited Contingent Fees**

- 143 [6] Paragraph (d) prohibits a lawyer from charging a contingent fee in a domestic
  - relations matter when payment is contingent upon the securing of a divorce or upon the
- amount of alimony or support or property settlement to be obtained. This provision
- does not preclude a contract for a contingent fee for legal representation in connection
- 147 with the recovery of post-judgment balances due under support, alimony or other
- financial orders because such contracts do not implicate the same policy concerns.

#### 149 Referral Fees

136

142

- 150 [7] Paragraph (e) prohibits lawyers from paying referral fees to persons making
- 151 referrals to them until such time as the lawyer who represents the client in the matter is
- entitled to be paid attorney fees. In the case of a contingent fee matter, the lawyer may
- not pay the referral fee to the referring person until such time as the lawyer who
- 154 actually represents the client in the matter is entitled to receive the contingent fee,
- 155 which may be at the conclusion of the matter. A lawyer should only refer a matter to a
- 156 lawyer whom the referring lawyer reasonably believes is competent to handle the
- matter diligently. See Rules 1.1 and 1.3. Paragraph (e)(2) prohibits passing along the
- 158 referral fee to the client either as a cost or an increase of the total fee. A referral fee for

purposes of paragraph (e) is any exchange of value, whether in cash or in kind, bestowing an economic benefit to the referring party beyond what would be considered marginal or of minimal value for accounting and tax purposes under applicable tax law. For the definitions of "informed consent" and "confirmed in writing", see Rule 1.0(b) and (f).

[8] Referral fees to a non-lawyer who is a potential witness may create a conflict of interest between the client and the potential witness referring party. Additionally, the payment of a referral fee to a witness may create such a pervasive and serious appearance of impropriety to the trier of fact that a client's case may be significantly compromised. Before entering into an agreement to pay a referral fee, the lawyer must evaluate whether the person requesting the referral fee could potentially testify to facts or issues that might be relevant if the anticipated claim should proceed to trial. Even if the lawyer does not intend to call the person as a witness, if it is foreseeable that an opposing party or third party may do so a referral fee violates this rule and is prohibited under paragraph (f). Potential witnesses may include treating providers, eyewitnesses, and family and friends of the client. This rule does not prohibit the referring party from charging reasonable fees directly to the client for services actually provided by the referring party, whether related to the claim or not.

[9] To the extent that the factors in (1)(a) are applicable, they may provide some guidance on the reasonableness referenced in paragraph (g).

#### Disputes over Fees

 [79] If a procedure has been established for resolution of fee disputes, such as an arbitration or mediation procedure established by the Bar, the lawyer must comply with the procedure when it is mandatory, and, even when it is voluntary, the lawyer should conscientiously consider submitting to it. Law may prescribe a procedure for determining a lawyer's fee, for example, in representation of an executor or administrator, a class or a person entitled to a reasonable fee as part of the measure of

**Commented [NS4]:** This is defining a referral fee. This needs to be in the rule that defines terms, not in the comment here.

Proposed solution:

The struck definition was moved up to the beginning of paragraph (e).

**Commented [NS5]:** This seems to be rule-like language.

Proposed solution:

The struck language was added to paragraphs (f) and (g).

Commented [NS6]: Put this in the rule.

Proposed solution: added relevant factors to paragraph (g).

damages. The lawyer entitled to such a fee and a lawyer representing another party concerned with the fee should comply with the prescribed procedure.

- 188  $[8\underline{10}]$  This rule differs from the ABA model rule.
- 189 [8a10a] This rule differs from the ABA Model Rule by including certain restrictions on
- 190 licensed paralegal practitioners.

### 1 Rule 5.4. Professional Independence of a Lawyer

- 2 (a) A lawyer may provide legal services pursuant to this Rule only if there is at all times
- 3 no interference with the lawyer's:
- 4 (1) professional independence of judgment,
- 5 (2) duty of loyalty to a client, and
- 6 (3) protection of client confidences.
- 7 (b) A lawyer may permit a person to recommend, retain, or pay the lawyer to render legal
- 8 services for another.
- 9 (c) A lawyer or law firm may share legal fees with pay a referral fee to a nonlawyer only
- 10 if the referral fee complies with Rule 1.5.
- 11 (1) the fee to be shared is reasonable and the fee-sharing arrangement has been
- 12 authorized as required by Utah Supreme Court Standing Order No. 15;
- 13 (2) the lawyer or law firm provides written notice to the affected client and, if
- 14 applicable, to any other person paying the legal fees;
- 15 (3) the written notice describes the relationship with the nonlawyer, including the
- 16 fact of the fee-sharing arrangement; and
- 17 (4) the lawyer or law firm provides the written notice before accepting
- 18 representation or before sharing fees from an existing client.
- 19 (d) A lawyer may practice law with nonlawyers, or in an organization, including a
- 20 partnership, in which a financial interest is held or managerial authority is exercised by
- 21 one or more persons who are nonlawyers, provided that the nonlawyers or the
- organization has been authorized as required by Utah Supreme Court Standing Order
- No. 15 and provided the lawyer shall:
- 24 (1) before accepting a representation, provide written notice to a prospective client
- 25 that one or more nonlawyers holds a financial interest in the organization in which

- the lawyer practices or that one or more nonlawyers exercises managerial authority over the lawyer; and
  - (2) set forth in writing to a client the financial and managerial structure of the organization in which the lawyer practices.

#### Comments

28

29

- [1] The provisions of this Rule are to protect the lawyer's professional independence of 31 judgment, to assure that the lawyer is loyal to the needs of the client, and to protect clients 32 from the disclosure of their confidential information. Where someone other than the 33 client pays the lawyer's fee or salary, manages the lawyer's work, or recommends 34 retention of the lawyer, that arrangement does not modify the lawyer's obligation to the 35 client. As stated in paragraph (a), such arrangements must not interfere with the lawyer's 36 professional judgment. See also Rule 1.8(f) (lawyer may accept compensation from a third 37 party as long as there is no interference with the lawyer's independent professional 38 judgment and the client gives informed consent). This Rule does not lessen a lawyer's 39 obligation to adhere to the Rules of Professional Conduct and does not authorize a 40 nonlawyer to practice law by virtue of being in a business relationship with a lawyer. It 41 may be impossible for a lawyer to work in a firm where a nonlawyer owner or manager 42 has a duty to disclose client information to third parties, as the lawyer's duty to maintain 43 client confidences would be compromised. 44
- 15 [2] The Rule also expresses traditional limitations on permitting a third party to direct or 16 regulate the lawyer's professional judgment in rendering legal services to another. See 17 also Rule 1.8(f) (lawyer may accept compensation from a third party as long as there is 18 no interference with the lawyer's independent professional judgment and the client gives 19 informed consent).
- [3] Paragraph (c) permits individual lawyers or law firms to pay <u>nonlawyers</u> for client referrals in accordance with Rule 1.5. Other fee sharing arrangements with non-lawyers besides referral fee arrangements are governed by Supreme Court Standing Order No.

- 15., share fees with nonlawyers, or allow third party retention. In each of these instances, 53 54 the financial arrangement must be reasonable, authorized as required under Supreme Court Standing Order No. 15, and disclosed in writing to the client before engagement 55 and before fees are shared. Whether in accepting or paying for referrals, or fee-sharing, 56 57 the lawyer must protect the lawyer's professional judgment, ensure the lawyer's loyalty 58 to the client, and protect client confidences. 59 [4] Paragraph (d) permits individual lawyers or law firms to enter into business or employment relationships with nonlawyers, whether through nonlawyer ownership or 60 investment in a law practice, joint venture, or through employment by a nonlawyer 61 owned entity. In each instance, the nonlawyer owned entity must be approved by the 62 Utah Supreme Court for authorization under Standing Order No. 15. 63
- [5] This rule differs from the ABA model rule.