## Agenda

## Supreme Court's Advisory Committee on the Rules of Professional Conduct

May 18, 2020 5:00 to 7:00 p.m.

### Via Zoom Conferencing

Welcome and approval of minutes	Tab 1	Simón Cantarero, Chair
Chairman's report		Cincéa Cantagaga
<ul><li>Supreme Court Conference</li><li>Other business</li></ul>		Simón Cantarero
Rule 14-301:		
<ul> <li>"expressions of discrimination"</li> </ul>		Cincén Contagono Nonco Coloratos
<ul><li>toward "others"</li></ul>	Tab 2	Simón Cantarero, Nancy Sylvester
<ul> <li>"law-related activities"</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Keeping "standards"</li> </ul>		
Rule 6.5: Review of subcommittee		
proposal		
Brief discussion and assignment to		
subcommittee (Hon. Michael		
Edwards (Chair), Phillip Lowry,		Simón Cantarero, Nancy Sylvester
Vanessa Ramos, Joni Jones, and	Tab 3	
Katherine Venti)		
<ul> <li>Defining "short-term limited legal services"</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Bringing exemplary comments up into rule?</li> </ul>		
Regulatory Reform:		
Overview of <u>comments</u> thus far		
(comment period closes July 23)		
<ul> <li>Assignment to Rule 5.4</li> </ul>		Cory Talbot, Simón Cantarero
subcommittee (Cory Talbot		Cory Tailou, Simon Cantarero
(Chair), Judge Gardner, Simón		
Cantarero, Gary Sackett, Tim		
Conde, and Steve Johnson)		

### 2020 Meeting Schedule:

June 15

August 17

September 21

October 19

November 16

Committee Webpage: <a href="http://www.utcourts.gov/committees/RulesPC/">http://www.utcourts.gov/committees/RulesPC/</a>

# Tab 1

### MINUTES OF THE SUPREME COURT'S

### ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

April 20, 2020

The meeting commenced at 5:05 p.m. via Zoom meeting

### **Committee Members Attending:**

Simón Cantarero, Chair

Adam Bondy

Daniel Brough

Tim Conde

Hon. Michael Edwards

Hon. James Gardner

Steven G. Johnson (Emeritus)

Joni Jones

Philip Lowry

Alyson Carter McAllister

Vanessa Ramos

**Austin Riter** 

Cristie Roach

Gary Sackett (Emeritus)

Cory Talbot

Billy Walker

### **Not Present**

Hon. Trent Nelson (Emeritus)

Amy Oliver

Padma Veeru-Collings

Katherine Venti

### **Staff:**

Nancy Sylvester

### **Recording Secretary:**

Jurhee Rice

Committee Webpage: <a href="http://www.utcourts.gov/committees/RulesPC/">http://www.utcourts.gov/committees/RulesPC/</a>

### I. Welcome and Approval of Minutes

Simón Cantarero determined quorum and welcomed the committee.

### **Motion:**

Vanessa Ramos moved to approve the minutes from the February 03, 2020 meeting with minor changes. Alyson McAllister seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

## II. Rules 1.5, 5.4A, 5.4B, 7.1-7.5, and Standing Order 15: Report from Simón Cantarero & Steven Johnson

Simón Cantarero reported on his meeting with the Court in March 2020.

The Court changed language in Rule 5.4(A)(c), from "employ" to "retain' based on an employment case. The Court has adopted the Rules and will make them public for a 90-day comment period. Standing Order 15 has also been approved and will be made available to the public along with Rules 5.4 and 1.5.

Simón Cantarero recommended the Rules of Professional Conduct Committee acquaint themselves with incoming website comments so such observations may be fully addressed. Simón Cantarero also recommended Committee members provide pertinent formal and informal feedback obtained outside of the formal website comment avenue to Nancy Sylvester and/or Simón Cantarero for compilation and data analysis.

### III. Rule 8.4 and 14-301: Report from Subcommittee: "person" vs. "participant" in 14-301(3): discuss the use of "Rules" versus "Standards."

Adam Bondy reported on proposals of the Rule 8.4 subcommittee. The subcommittee recommended the following proposed changes to Rule 8.4:

- a. The subcommittee proposes changing Standard 14-301 into a Rule under Rule 8.4(h), making it an extension of the Rules of Professional Conduct prohibiting discriminatory conduct.
- b. In order to reconcile the comment and rule, the subcommittee agreed and recommends to change the word "participant" to "person" under Standard 14-301(3).

### **Motion**:

Alyson McAllister moved to approve Rule 8.4(h) based on the agenda changing the word standard to rule and moved to approve 14-301(3) under 8.4(h). Joni Jones seconded the motion. The motion to change the word standard to rules passed in the majority.

### IV. Rule 6.5: Review of subcommittee proposal

Hon. Michael Edwards reported on the proposals of the Rule 6.5 subcommittee. The subcommittee recommended removal of language limiting expansion of legal services. The subcommittee's recommendation would allow the expansion of short-

term legal services to both low-bono and pro-bono services. The subcommittee recommended the following changes to Rule 6.5:

- a. Rule 6.5 will cover one-time interactions like limited representation not covered under attorney-client
- b. Removal of the first sentence of Rule 6.5, Comment 2, as providing informed consent is presumed.
- c. Addition of Comment 6 to Rule 6.5 to clarify this rule differs from ABA Model Rule 6.5 to the extent that it changes the title, changes paragraph (a), adds new paragraph (c), modifies comments [1] and [2], and contains comment [6].

### **Motion**:

Cristie Roach moved to approve the motion on Rule 6.5 regarding short term legal services. Hon, James Gardner seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

## V. LPP rule changes accompanying regulatory reform rules: 5.4, 1.5, 7.1-7.5: Report from Steve Johnson

Steven Johnson reported on the application of regulatory reform rules to LPPs Steven Johnson recommended the following:

- a. At least one LPP be a member of the RPC committee:
- b. RPC should suggest LPP rules be changed when attorney rules are changed
- c. Court needs to decide on exact procedure for LPP rule approval.

With the adoption of Rules 8.4(h) and 5.4(b), LPPs may be able to provide more legal services through the regulatory sandbox, expanding to areas of practice above Rule 14-802.

### VI. Other business

None.

### VII. Scheduling of Future Meetings

May 18, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. June 15, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. July 20-canceled August 17, 2020 at 5:00 p.m.

### VIII. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 6:14 p.m.

# Tab 2

### 14-301(3) Proposal Presented to the Court:

3. Lawyers shall not, without an adequate factual basis, attribute to other counsel or the court improper motives, purpose, or conduct. Neither written submissions nor oral presentations should disparage the integrity, intelligence, morals, ethics, or personal behavior of any person unless such matters are directly relevant under controlling substantive law.

Lawyers shall avoid hostile, demeaning, humiliating, or discriminatory conduct when interacting with any other counsel, parties, judges, court personnel, witnesses, and others. Discriminatory conduct includes all expressions of discrimination against protected classes as enumerated in the Utah Antidiscrimination Act of 1965, Utah Code section 34A-5-106(1)(a), and federal statutes, as amended from time to time.

**Comment**: Lawyers should refrain from expressing scorn, superiority, or disrespect. Legal process should not be issued merely to annoy, humiliate, intimidate, or harass. Special care should be taken to protect witnesses, especially those who are disabled or under the age of 18, from harassment or undue contention. Lawyers should refrain from acting upon or manifesting bigotry, discrimination, or prejudice toward any person in the legal process, even if a client requests it.

Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. Preamble [5]; R. Prof. Cond. 3.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.5; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4; R. Civ. P. 10(h); R. Civ. P. 12(f); R. App. P. 24(k); R. Crim. P. 33(a); Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f).

### 14-301(3) Proposal Based on Court Discussions:

3. Lawyers shall not, without an adequate factual basis, attribute to other counsel or the court improper motives, purpose, or conduct. Neither written submissions nor oral presentations ehould-shall disparage the integrity, intelligence, morals, ethics, or personal behavior of any person unless such matters are directly relevant under controlling substantive law.

Lawyers shall avoid hostile, demeaning, humiliating, or discriminatory conduct when interacting with in law-related activities toward any other-counsel legal practitioners, parties, judges, court personnel, witnesses, and others. Discriminatory conduct includes all expressions of discrimination against protected classes as those classes are enumerated in the Utah Antidiscrimination Act of 1965, Utah Code section 34A-5-106(1)(a), and federal statutes, as amended from time to time.

**Comment:** Lawyers should refrain from expressing scorn, superiority, or disrespect. Legal process should not be issued merely to annoy, humiliate, intimidate, or harass. Special care should be taken to protect witnesses, especially those who are disabled or under the age of 18, from harassment or undue contention. Lawyers should refrain from acting upon or manifesting bigotry, discrimination, or prejudice toward any person in the legal process, even if a client requests it.

Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. Preamble [5]; R. Prof. Cond. 3.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.5; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4; R. Civ. P. 10(h); R. Civ. P. 12(f); R. App. P. 24(k); R. Crim. P. 33(a); Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f).

Comment [NS1]: Who are the others?

**Comment [NS2]:** free speech, due process concerns. Issues of notice re the term "expressions of discrimination"

Discriminatory conduct means discrimination against the protected classes enumerated.....

**Comment [NS3]:** What does this term, "law-related activities," encompass?

**Comment [NS4]:** Our intention is to say, "Don't discriminate against protected classes, whether in an employment setting or not." Does this do that?

### CODITION (Clandardo to Tail

### Rule 14-301. Standards Rules of Professionalism and Civility.

#### Preamble

A lawyer's conduct should be characterized at all times by personal courtesy and professional integrity in the fullest sense of those terms. In fulfilling a duty to represent a client vigorously as lawyers, we must be mindful of our obligations to the administration of justice, which is a truth-seeking process designed to resolve human and societal problems in a rational, peaceful, and efficient manner. We must remain committed to the rule of law as the foundation for a just and peaceful society.

Conduct that may be characterized as uncivil, abrasive, abusive, hostile, or obstructive impedes the fundamental goal of resolving disputes rationally, peacefully, and efficiently. Such conduct tends to delay and often to deny justice.

Lawyers should exhibit courtesy, candor and cooperation in dealing with the public and participating in the legal system. The following standardsrules are designed to encourage lawyers to meet their obligations to each other, to litigants and to the system of justice, and thereby achieve the twin goals of civility and professionalism, both of which are hallmarks of a learned profession dedicated to public service.

Lawyers should educate themselves on the potential impact of using digital communications and social media, including the possibility that communications intended to be private may be republished or misused. Lawyers should understand that digital communications in some circumstances may have a widespread and lasting impact on their clients, themselves, other lawyers, and the judicial system.

We expect judges and lawyers will make mutual and firm commitments to these standardsrules. Adherence is expected as part of a commitment by all participants to improve the administration of justice throughout this State. We further expect lawyers to educate their clients regarding these standardsrules and judges to reinforce this whenever clients are present in the courtroom by making it clear that such tactics may hurt the client's case.

Although for ease of usage the term "court" is used throughout, these standardsrules should be followed by all judges and lawyers in all interactions with each other and in any proceedings in this State. Copies may be made available to clients to reinforce our obligation to maintain and foster these standardsrules. Nothing in these standardsrules supersedes or detracts from existing disciplinary codes or standards-rules of conduct.

Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. Preamble [1], [13]; R. Civ. P. 1; R. Civ. P. 65B(b)(5); R. Crim. P. 1(b); R. Juv. P. 1(b); R. Third District Court 10-1-306; Fed. R. Civ. P. 1; DUCivR 83-1.1(g).

1. Lawyers shall advance the legitimate interests of their clients, without reflecting any ill-will that clients may have for their adversaries, even if called upon to do so by another. Instead, lawyers shall treat all other counsel, parties, judges, witnesses, and other participants in all proceedings in a courteous and dignified manner.

**Comment**: Lawyers should maintain the dignity and decorum of judicial and administrative proceedings, as well as the esteem of the legal profession. Respect for the court includes lawyers' dress

Draft: May 15, 2020

and conduct. When appearing in court, lawyers should dress professionally, use appropriate language, and maintain a professional demeanor. In addition, lawyers should advise clients and witnesses about proper courtroom decorum, including proper dress and language, and should, to the best of their ability, prevent clients and witnesses from creating distractions or disruption in the courtroom.

The need for dignity and professionalism extends beyond the courtroom. Lawyers are expected to refrain from inappropriate language, maliciousness, or insulting behavior in depositions, meetings with opposing counsel and clients, telephone calls, email, and other exchanges. They should use their best efforts to instruct their clients and witnesses to do the same.

Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 1.4; R. Prof. Cond. 1.16(a)(1); R. Prof. Cond. 2.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 3.3(a)(1); R. Prof. Cond. 3.4; R. Prof. Cond. 3.5(d); R. Prof. Cond. 3.8; R. Prof. Cond. 3.9; R. Prof. Cond. 4.1(a); R. Prof. Cond. 4.4(a); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d); R. Civ. P. 10(h); R. Civ. P. 12(f); R. App. P. 24(k); R. Crim. P. 33(a); Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f).

2. Lawyers shall advise their clients that civility, courtesy, and fair dealing are expected. They are tools for effective advocacy and not signs of weakness. Clients have no right to demand that lawyers abuse anyone or engage in any offensive or improper conduct.

Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. Preamble [5]; R. Prof. Cond. 1.2(a); R. Prof. Cond. 1.2(d); R. Prof. Cond. 1.4(a)(5).

3. Lawyers shall not, without an adequate factual basis, attribute to other counsel or the court improper motives, purpose, or conduct. Neither written submissions nor oral presentations shall disparage the integrity, intelligence, morals, ethics, or personal behavior of any person unless such matters are directly relevant under controlling substantive law.

Lawyers should shall avoid hostile, demeaning, or humiliating, or discriminatory conduct in law related activities words in written and oral communications with toward other legal practitioners, parties, judges, court personnel, witnesses, and others. adversariesNeither written submissions nor oral presentations should disparage the integrity, intelligence, morals, ethics, or personal behavior of an adversary unless such matters are directly relevant under controlling substantive lDiscriminatory conduct includes all discrimination against protected classes as those classes are enumerated in the Utah Antidiscrimination Act of 1965, Utah Code section 34A-5-106(1)(a), and federal statutes, as amended from time to time.

Comment: Lawyers should refrain from expressing scorn, superiority, or disrespect. Legal process should not be issued merely to annoy, humiliate, intimidate, or harass. Special care should be taken to protect witnesses, especially those who are disabled or under the age of 18, from harassment or undue contention. Lawyers should refrain from acting upon or manifesting bigotry, discrimination, or prejudice toward any person in the legal process, even if a client requests it.

Hostile, demeaning, and humiliating communications include all expressions of discrimination on the basis of race, religion, gender, sexual orientation, age, handicap, veteran status, or national origin, or casting aspersions on physical traits or appearance. Lawyers should refrain from acting upon or manifesting bigotry, discrimination, or prejudice toward any participant in the legal process, even if a client

75

76

77 78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93 94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

requests it. Lawyers should refrain from expressing scorn, superiority, or disrespect. Legal process should not be issued merely to annoy, humiliate, intimidate, or harass. Special care should be taken to protect witnesses, especially those who are disabled or under the age of 18, from harassment or undue contention.

Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. Preamble [5]; R. Prof. Cond. 3.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.5; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4; R. Civ. P. 10(h); R. Civ. P. 12(f); R. App. P. 24(k); R. Crim. P. 33(a); Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f).

- 4. Lawyers shall never knowingly attribute to other counsel a position or claim that counsel has not taken or seek to create such an unjustified inference or otherwise seek to create a "record" that has not occurred.
- Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.3(a)(1); R. Prof. Cond. 3.5(a); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(c); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d).
- 5. Lawyers shall not lightly seek sanctions and will never seek sanctions against or disqualification of another lawyer for any improper purpose.
- Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(c); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d); R. Civ. P. 11(c); R. Civ. P. 16(d); R. Civ. P. 37(a); Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(c)(2).
- 6. Lawyers shall adhere to their express promises and agreements, oral or written, and to all commitments reasonably implied by the circumstances or by local custom.
- Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 1.1; R. Prof. Cond. 1.3; R. Prof. Cond. 1.4(a), (b); R. Prof. Cond. 1.6(a); R. Prof. Cond. 1.9; R. Prof. Cond. 1.13(a), (b); R. Prof. Cond. 1.14; R. Prof. Cond. 1.15; R. Prof. Cond. 1.16(d); R. Prof. Cond. 1.18(b), (c); R. Prof. Cond. 2.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 3.3; R. Prof. Cond. 3.4(c); R. Prof. Cond. 3.8; R. Prof. Cond. 5.1; R. Prof. Cond. 5.3; R. Prof. Cond. 8.3(a), (b); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(c); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d).
- 7. When committing oral understandings to writing, lawyers shall do so accurately and completely. They shall provide other counsel a copy for review, and never include substantive matters upon which there has been no agreement, without explicitly advising other counsel. As drafts are exchanged, lawyers shall bring to the attention of other counsel changes from prior drafts.

Comment: When providing other counsel with a copy of any negotiated document for review, a lawyer should not make changes to the written document in a manner calculated to cause the opposing party or counsel to overlook or fail to appreciate the changes. Changes should be clearly and accurately identified in the draft or otherwise explicitly brought to the attention of other counsel. Lawyers should be sensitive to, and accommodating of, other lawyers' inability to make full use of technology and should provide hard copy drafts when requested and a redline copy, if available.

- Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.4(a); R. Prof. Cond. 4.1(a); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(c); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d); R. App. P. 11(f).
- 8. When permitted or required by court rule or otherwise, lawyers shall draft orders that accurately and completely reflect the court's ruling. Lawyers shall promptly prepare and submit proposed orders to

- other counsel and attempt to reconcile any differences before the proposed orders and any objections are
- 112 presented to the court.
  - 113 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4; R. Civ. P. 7(f); R. Third District Court 10-1-
  - 114 306(6).
  - 9. Lawyers shall not hold out the potential of settlement for the purpose of foreclosing discovery,
  - delaying trial, or obtaining other unfair advantage, and lawyers shall timely respond to any offer of
  - settlement or inform opposing counsel that a response has not been authorized by the client.
  - 118 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 3.4(a); R. Prof. Cond. 4.1(a); R. Prof. Cond.
  - 119 8.4(c); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d).
  - 120 10. Lawyers shall make good faith efforts to resolve by stipulation undisputed relevant matters,
  - particularly when it is obvious such matters can be proven, unless there is a sound advocacy basis for not
- doing so.
- 123 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 3.4(d); R. Prof. Cond.
- 124 8.4(d); R. Third District Court 10-1-306 (1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(2)(C).
- 11. Lawyers shall avoid impermissible ex parte communications.
- 126 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 1.2; R. Prof. Cond. 2.2; R. Prof. Cond. 2.9; R. Prof. Cond. 3.5; R.
- 127 Prof. Cond. 5.1; R. Prof. Cond. 5.3; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(a); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d); R. Civ. P. 77(b); R. Juv.
- 128 P. 2.9(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 77(b).
- 12. Lawyers shall not send the court or its staff correspondence between counsel, unless such
- 130 correspondence is relevant to an issue currently pending before the court and the proper evidentiary
- foundations are met or as such correspondence is specifically invited by the court.
- 132 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.5(a); R. Prof. Cond. 3.5(b); R. Prof. Cond. 5.1; R. Prof. Cond.
- 133 5.3; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(a); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d).
- 13. Lawyers shall not knowingly file or serve motions, pleadings or other papers at a time calculated
- to unfairly limit other counsel's opportunity to respond or to take other unfair advantage of an opponent, or
- in a manner intended to take advantage of another lawyer's unavailability.
- 137 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(c); R. Juv. P. 19.
- 14. Lawyers shall advise their clients that they reserve the right to determine whether to grant
- accommodations to other counsel in all matters not directly affecting the merits of the cause or prejudicing
- the client's rights, such as extensions of time, continuances, adjournments, and admissions of facts.
- Lawyers shall agree to reasonable requests for extension of time and waiver of procedural formalities
- when doing so will not adversely affect their clients' legitimate rights. Lawyers shall never request an
- extension of time solely for the purpose of delay or to obtain a tactical advantage.
- 144 **Comment**: Lawyers should not evade communication with other counsel, should promptly
- 145 acknowledge receipt of any communication, and should respond as soon as reasonably possible.
- Lawyers should only use data-transmission technologies as an efficient means of communication and not
- to obtain an unfair tactical advantage. Lawyers should be willing to grant accommodations where the use

of technology is concerned, including honoring reasonable requests to retransmit materials or to provide

hard copies.

150

151

154

155

156157

158

159

160

161

162

163

166

167168

169

170

171

172

173174

175

176177

178

179

180

181

182

Lawyers should not request inappropriate extensions of time or serve papers at times or places calculated to embarrass or take advantage of an adversary.

152 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 1.2(a); R. Prof. Cond. 2.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4; 153 R. Juv. P. 54.

15. Lawyers shall endeavor to consult with other counsel so that depositions, hearings, and conferences are scheduled at mutually convenient times. Lawyers shall never request a scheduling change for tactical or unfair purpose. If a scheduling change becomes necessary, lawyers shall notify other counsel and the court immediately. If other counsel requires a scheduling change, lawyers shall cooperate in making any reasonable adjustments.

**Comment**: When scheduling and attending depositions, hearings, or conferences, lawyers should be respectful and considerate of clients' and adversaries' time, schedules, and commitments to others. This includes arriving punctually for scheduled appointments. Lawyers should arrive sufficiently in advance of trials, hearings, meetings, depositions, and other scheduled events to be prepared to commence on time. Lawyers should also advise clients and witnesses concerning the need to be punctual and prepared.

Lawyers who will be late for a scheduled appointment or are aware that another participant will be late, should notify the court, if applicable, and all other participants as soon as possible.

Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 3.4; R. Prof. Cond. 5.1; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(a); R. Juv. P. 20; R. Juv. P. 20A.

16. Lawyers shall not cause the entry of a default without first notifying other counsel whose identity is known, unless their clients' legitimate rights could be adversely affected.

Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 8.4; R. Civ. P. 55(a); Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(2).

17. Lawyers shall not use or oppose discovery for the purpose of harassment or to burden an opponent with increased litigation expense. Lawyers shall not object to discovery or inappropriately assert a privilege for the purpose of withholding or delaying the disclosure of relevant and non-protected information.

Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 3.4; R. Prof. Cond. 4.1; R. Prof. Cond. 4.4(a); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4; R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1); R. Civ. P. 26(b)(8)(A); R. Civ. P. 37(a)(1)(A), (D); R. Civ. P. 37(c); R. Crim. P. 16(b); R. Crim. P. 16(c); R. Crim. P. 16(d); R. Crim. P. 16(e); R. Juv. P. 20; R. Juv. P. 20A; R. Juv. P. 27(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(g)(1)(B)(ii), (iii).

18. During depositions lawyers shall not attempt to obstruct the interrogator or object to questions unless reasonably intended to preserve an objection or protect a privilege for resolution by the court. "Speaking objections" designed to coach a witness are impermissible. During depositions or conferences, lawyers shall engage only in conduct that would be appropriate in the presence of a judge.

### Rule 14-301. Standards of Professionalism and Civility.

#### Preamble

A lawyer's conduct should be characterized at all times by personal courtesy and professional integrity in the fullest sense of those terms. In fulfilling a duty to represent a client vigorously as lawyers, we must be mindful of our obligations to the administration of justice, which is a truth-seeking process designed to resolve human and societal problems in a rational, peaceful, and efficient manner. We must remain committed to the rule of law as the foundation for a just and peaceful society.

Draft: May 15, 2020

Conduct that may be characterized as uncivil, abrasive, abusive, hostile, or obstructive impedes the fundamental goal of resolving disputes rationally, peacefully, and efficiently. Such conduct tends to delay and often to deny justice.

Lawyers should exhibit courtesy, candor and cooperation in dealing with the public and participating in the legal system. The following standards are designed to encourage lawyers to meet their obligations to each other, to litigants and to the system of justice, and thereby achieve the twin goals of civility and professionalism, both of which are hallmarks of a learned profession dedicated to public service.

Lawyers should educate themselves on the potential impact of using digital communications and social media, including the possibility that communications intended to be private may be republished or misused. Lawyers should understand that digital communications in some circumstances may have a widespread and lasting impact on their clients, themselves, other lawyers, and the judicial system.

We expect judges and lawyers will make mutual and firm commitments to these standards.

Adherence is expected as part of a commitment by all participants to improve the administration of justice throughout this State. We further expect lawyers to educate their clients regarding these standards and judges to reinforce this whenever clients are present in the courtroom by making it clear that such tactics may hurt the client's case.

Although for ease of usage the term "court" is used throughout, these standards should be followed by all judges and lawyers in all interactions with each other and in any proceedings in this State. Copies may be made available to clients to reinforce our obligation to maintain and foster these standards. Nothing in these standards supersedes or detracts from existing disciplinary codes or standards of conduct.

Finally, the term "standard" has historically pointed to the aspirational nature of this rule. But Rule 8.4(h) now makes the provisions of this rule mandatory for all lawyers.

Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. Preamble [1], [13]; R. Civ. P. 1; R. Civ. P. 65B(b)(5); R. Crim. P. 1(b); R. Juv. P. 1(b); R. Third District Court 10-1-306; Fed. R. Civ. P. 1; DUCivR 83-1.1(g).

1. Lawyers shall advance the legitimate interests of their clients, without reflecting any ill-will that clients may have for their adversaries, even if called upon to do so by another. Instead, lawyers shall treat all other counsel, parties, judges, witnesses, and other participants in all proceedings in a courteous and dignified manner.

 **Comment**: Lawyers should maintain the dignity and decorum of judicial and administrative proceedings, as well as the esteem of the legal profession. Respect for the court includes lawyers' dress and conduct. When appearing in court, lawyers should dress professionally, use appropriate language, and maintain a professional demeanor. In addition, lawyers should advise clients and witnesses about proper courtroom decorum, including proper dress and language, and should, to the best of their ability, prevent clients and witnesses from creating distractions or disruption in the courtroom.

Draft: May 15, 2020

The need for dignity and professionalism extends beyond the courtroom. Lawyers are expected to refrain from inappropriate language, maliciousness, or insulting behavior in depositions, meetings with opposing counsel and clients, telephone calls, email, and other exchanges. They should use their best efforts to instruct their clients and witnesses to do the same.

Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 1.4; R. Prof. Cond. 1.16(a)(1); R. Prof. Cond. 2.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 3.3(a)(1); R. Prof. Cond. 3.4; R. Prof. Cond. 3.5(d); R. Prof. Cond. 3.8; R. Prof. Cond. 3.9; R. Prof. Cond. 4.1(a); R. Prof. Cond. 4.4(a); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d); R. Civ. P. 10(h); R. Civ. P. 12(f); R. App. P. 24(k); R. Crim. P. 33(a); Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f).

2. Lawyers shall advise their clients that civility, courtesy, and fair dealing are expected. They are tools for effective advocacy and not signs of weakness. Clients have no right to demand that lawyers abuse anyone or engage in any offensive or improper conduct.

Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. Preamble [5]; R. Prof. Cond. 1.2(a); R. Prof. Cond. 1.2(d); R. Prof. Cond. 1.4(a)(5).

3. Lawyers shall not, without an adequate factual basis, attribute to other counsel or the court improper motives, purpose, or conduct. Neither written submissions nor oral presentations shall disparage the integrity, intelligence, morals, ethics, or personal behavior of any person unless such matters are directly relevant under controlling substantive law.

Lawyers should shall avoid hostile, demeaning, or discriminatory conduct in law related activities words in written and oral communications with toward other legal practitioners, parties, judges, court personnel, witnesses, and others. adversariesNeither written submissions nor oral presentations should disparage the integrity, intelligence, morals, ethics, or personal behavior of an adversary unless such matters are directly relevant under controlling substantive IDiscriminatory conduct includes all discrimination against protected classes as those classes are enumerated in the Utah Antidiscrimination Act of 1965, Utah Code section 34A-5-106(1)(a), and federal statutes, as amended from time to time.

Comment: Lawyers should refrain from expressing scorn, superiority, or disrespect. Legal process should not be issued merely to annoy, humiliate, intimidate, or harass. Special care should be taken to protect witnesses, especially those who are disabled or under the age of 18, from harassment or undue contention. Lawyers should refrain from acting upon or manifesting bigotry, discrimination, or prejudice toward any person in the legal process, even if a client requests it.

Hostile, demeaning, and humiliating communications include all expressions of discrimination on the basis of race, religion, gender, sexual orientation, age, handicap, veteran status, or national origin, or

74 | 6 75 | f 76 | f 77 | f 78 | \( \)

 casting aspersions on physical traits or appearance. Lawyers should refrain from acting upon or manifesting bigotry, discrimination, or prejudice toward any participant in the legal process, even if a client requests it. Lawyers should refrain from expressing scorn, superiority, or disrespect. Legal process should not be issued merely to annoy, humiliate, intimidate, or harass. Special care should be taken to protect witnesses, especially those who are disabled or under the age of 18, from harassment or undue contention.

Draft: May 15, 2020

Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. Preamble [5]; R. Prof. Cond. 3.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.5; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4; R. Civ. P. 10(h); R. Civ. P. 12(f); R. App. P. 24(k); R. Crim. P. 33(a); Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f).

- 4. Lawyers shall never knowingly attribute to other counsel a position or claim that counsel has not taken or seek to create such an unjustified inference or otherwise seek to create a "record" that has not occurred.
- Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.3(a)(1); R. Prof. Cond. 3.5(a); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(c); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d).
- 5. Lawyers shall not lightly seek sanctions and will never seek sanctions against or disqualification of another lawyer for any improper purpose.
- Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(c); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d); R. Civ. P. 11(c); R. Civ. P. 16(d); R. Civ. P. 37(a); Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(c)(2).
- 6. Lawyers shall adhere to their express promises and agreements, oral or written, and to all commitments reasonably implied by the circumstances or by local custom.
- Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 1.1; R. Prof. Cond. 1.3; R. Prof. Cond. 1.4(a), (b); R. Prof. Cond. 1.6(a); R. Prof. Cond. 1.9; R. Prof. Cond. 1.13(a), (b); R. Prof. Cond. 1.14; R. Prof. Cond. 1.15; R. Prof. Cond. 1.16(d); R. Prof. Cond. 1.18(b), (c); R. Prof. Cond. 2.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 3.3; R. Prof. Cond. 3.4(c); R. Prof. Cond. 3.8; R. Prof. Cond. 5.1; R. Prof. Cond. 5.3; R. Prof. Cond. 8.3(a), (b); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(c); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d).
- 7. When committing oral understandings to writing, lawyers shall do so accurately and completely. They shall provide other counsel a copy for review, and never include substantive matters upon which there has been no agreement, without explicitly advising other counsel. As drafts are exchanged, lawyers shall bring to the attention of other counsel changes from prior drafts.

**Comment**: When providing other counsel with a copy of any negotiated document for review, a lawyer should not make changes to the written document in a manner calculated to cause the opposing party or counsel to overlook or fail to appreciate the changes. Changes should be clearly and accurately identified in the draft or otherwise explicitly brought to the attention of other counsel. Lawyers should be sensitive to, and accommodating of, other lawyers' inability to make full use of technology and should provide hard copy drafts when requested and a redline copy, if available.

Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.4(a); R. Prof. Cond. 4.1(a); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(c); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d); R. App. P. 11(f).

- 114 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4; R. Civ. P. 7(f); R. Third District Court 10-1-115 306(6).
  - 9. Lawyers shall not hold out the potential of settlement for the purpose of foreclosing discovery, delaying trial, or obtaining other unfair advantage, and lawyers shall timely respond to any offer of settlement or inform opposing counsel that a response has not been authorized by the client.
- 119 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 3.4(a); R. Prof. Cond. 4.1(a); R. Prof. Cond. 120 8.4(c); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d).
- 121 10. Lawyers shall make good faith efforts to resolve by stipulation undisputed relevant matters,
  122 particularly when it is obvious such matters can be proven, unless there is a sound advocacy basis for not
  123 doing so.
- 124 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 3.4(d); R. Prof. Cond. 125 8.4(d); R. Third District Court 10-1-306 (1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(2)(C).
- 11. Lawyers shall avoid impermissible ex parte communications.
- 127 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 1.2; R. Prof. Cond. 2.2; R. Prof. Cond. 2.9; R. Prof. Cond. 3.5; R.
- 128 Prof. Cond. 5.1; R. Prof. Cond. 5.3; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(a); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d); R. Civ. P. 77(b); R. Juv.
- 129 P. 2.9(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 77(b).

116

117

118

135

136

137

138

139

140

141142

143

144

145

146

- 130 12. Lawyers shall not send the court or its staff correspondence between counsel, unless such 131 correspondence is relevant to an issue currently pending before the court and the proper evidentiary 132 foundations are met or as such correspondence is specifically invited by the court.
- 133 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.5(a); R. Prof. Cond. 3.5(b); R. Prof. Cond. 5.1; R. Prof. Cond. 5.3; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(a); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(d).
  - 13. Lawyers shall not knowingly file or serve motions, pleadings or other papers at a time calculated to unfairly limit other counsel's opportunity to respond or to take other unfair advantage of an opponent, or in a manner intended to take advantage of another lawyer's unavailability.
  - Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(c); R. Juv. P. 19.
  - 14. Lawyers shall advise their clients that they reserve the right to determine whether to grant accommodations to other counsel in all matters not directly affecting the merits of the cause or prejudicing the client's rights, such as extensions of time, continuances, adjournments, and admissions of facts. Lawyers shall agree to reasonable requests for extension of time and waiver of procedural formalities when doing so will not adversely affect their clients' legitimate rights. Lawyers shall never request an extension of time solely for the purpose of delay or to obtain a tactical advantage.
  - **Comment**: Lawyers should not evade communication with other counsel, should promptly acknowledge receipt of any communication, and should respond as soon as reasonably possible.

150

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163164

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

hard copies.

Lawyers should only use data-transmission technologies as an efficient means of communication and not to obtain an unfair tactical advantage. Lawyers should be willing to grant accommodations where the use of technology is concerned, including honoring reasonable requests to retransmit materials or to provide

Draft: May 15, 2020

- Lawyers should not request inappropriate extensions of time or serve papers at times or places calculated to embarrass or take advantage of an adversary.
- 153 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 1.2(a); R. Prof. Cond. 2.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4; 154 R. Juv. P. 54.
  - 15. Lawyers shall endeavor to consult with other counsel so that depositions, hearings, and conferences are scheduled at mutually convenient times. Lawyers shall never request a scheduling change for tactical or unfair purpose. If a scheduling change becomes necessary, lawyers shall notify other counsel and the court immediately. If other counsel requires a scheduling change, lawyers shall cooperate in making any reasonable adjustments.
  - **Comment**: When scheduling and attending depositions, hearings, or conferences, lawyers should be respectful and considerate of clients' and adversaries' time, schedules, and commitments to others. This includes arriving punctually for scheduled appointments. Lawyers should arrive sufficiently in advance of trials, hearings, meetings, depositions, and other scheduled events to be prepared to commence on time. Lawyers should also advise clients and witnesses concerning the need to be punctual and prepared.
- Lawyers who will be late for a scheduled appointment or are aware that another participant will be late, should notify the court, if applicable, and all other participants as soon as possible.
- 167 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 3.4; R. Prof. Cond. 5.1; R. Prof. Cond. 8.4(a); 168 R. Juv. P. 20; R. Juv. P. 20A.
  - 16. Lawyers shall not cause the entry of a default without first notifying other counsel whose identity is known, unless their clients' legitimate rights could be adversely affected.
  - Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 8.4; R. Civ. P. 55(a); Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(2).
  - 17. Lawyers shall not use or oppose discovery for the purpose of harassment or to burden an opponent with increased litigation expense. Lawyers shall not object to discovery or inappropriately assert a privilege for the purpose of withholding or delaying the disclosure of relevant and non-protected information.
- 176 Cross-References: R. Prof. Cond. 3.1; R. Prof. Cond. 3.2; R. Prof. Cond. 3.4; R. Prof. Cond. 4.1; R.
- 177 Prof. Cond. 4.4(a); R. Prof. Cond. 8.4; R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1); R. Civ. P. 26(b)(8)(A); R. Civ. P. 37(a)(1)(A),
- 178 (D); R. Civ. P. 37(c); R. Crim. P. 16(b); R. Crim. P. 16(c); R. Crim. P. 16(d); R. Crim. P. 16(e); R. Juv. P.
- 20; R. Juv. P. 20A; R. Juv. P. 27(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(g)(1)(B)(ii), (iii).
- 180 18. During depositions lawyers shall not attempt to obstruct the interrogator or object to questions 181 unless reasonably intended to preserve an objection or protect a privilege for resolution by the court.
- "Speaking objections" designed to coach a witness are impermissible. During depositions or conferences,
- lawyers shall engage only in conduct that would be appropriate in the presence of a judge.

RPC08.04 Draft: February 3, 2020

### Rule 8.4. Misconduct.

It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to:

(a) violate or attempt to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct, knowingly assist or induce another to do so, or do so through the acts of another;

- (b) commit a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects;
  - (c) engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation;
  - (d) engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice;
- (e) state or imply an ability to influence improperly a government agency or official or to achieve results by means that violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or other law; er
- (f) knowingly assist a judge or judicial officer in conduct that is a violation of applicable rules of judicial conduct or other law:
- (g) engage in conduct that is an unlawful, discriminatory, or retaliatory employment practice under

  Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 or the Utah Antidiscrimination Act, except that for the purposes of
  this paragraph and in applying those statutes, "employer" shall mean any person or entity that employs
  one or more persons; or
- (h) egregiously violate, or engage in a pattern of repeated violations of Rule 14-301 if such violations harm the lawyer's client or another lawyer's client or are prejudicial to the administration of justice.

Comment

- [1] Lawyers are subject to discipline when they violate or attempt to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or knowingly assist or induce another to do so through the acts of another, as when they request or instruct an agent to do so on the lawyer's behalf. Paragraph (a), however, does not prohibit a lawyer from advising a client concerning action the client is legally entitled to take.
- [1a] An act of professional misconduct under Rule 8.4(b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), or (h) cannot be counted as a separate violation of Rule 8.4(a) for the purpose of determining sanctions. Conduct that violates other Rules of Professional Conduct, however, may be a violation of Rule 8.4(a) for the purpose of determining sanctions.
- [2] Many kinds of illegal conduct reflect adversely on fitness to practice law, such as offenses involving fraud and the offense of willful failure to file an income tax return. However, some kinds of offenses carry no such implication. Traditionally, the distinction was drawn in terms of offenses involving "moral turpitude." That concept can be construed to include offenses concerning some matters of personal morality, such as adultery and comparable offenses, that have no specific connection to fitness for the practice of law. Although a lawyer is personally answerable to the entire criminal law, a lawyer should be professionally answerable only for offenses that indicate lack of those characteristics relevant to law practice. Offenses involving violence, dishonesty, breach of trust or serious interference with the administration of justice are in that category. A pattern of repeated offenses, even ones of minor significance when considered separately, can indicate indifference to legal obligation.

[3] A lawyer who, in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests by words or conduct bias or prejudice based upon race, color; sex, pregnancy, childbirth, or pregnancy-related conditions; age, if the individual is 40 years of age or older; religion; national origin; disability; age, sexual orientation; gender identity; or genetic information socioeconomic status, may violate violates paragraph (d) when such actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice. The protected classes listed in this comment are consistent with those enumerated in the Utah Antidiscrimination Act of 1965, Utah Code Sec. 34A-5-106(1)(a) (2016), and in federal statutes and is not meant to be an exhaustive list as the statutes may be amended from time to time. Legitimate advocacy respecting the foregoing factors does not violate paragraph (d). A trial judge's finding that peremptory challenges were exercised on a discriminatory basis does not alone establish a violation of this rule.

[3a] The Standards of Professionalism and Civility approved by the Utah Supreme Court are intended to improve the administration of justice. An egregious violation or a pattern of repeated violations of the Standards of Professionalism and Civility may support a finding that the lawyer has violated paragraph (d).

[4] The substantive law of antidiscrimination and anti-harassment statutes and case law governs the application of paragraph (g), except that for purposes of determining a violation of paragraph (g), the size of a law firm or number of employees is not a defense. Paragraph (g) does not limit the ability of a lawyer to accept, decline, or, in accordance with Rule 1.16, withdraw from a representation, nor does paragraph (g) preclude legitimate advice or advocacy consistent with these rules. Discrimination or harassment does not need to be previously proven by a judicial or administrative tribunal or fact-finder in order to allege or prove a violation of paragraph (g). Lawyers may discuss the benefits and challenges of diversity and inclusion without violating paragraph (g). Unless otherwise prohibited by law, implementing or declining to implement initiatives aimed at recruiting, hiring, retaining, and advancing employees of diverse backgrounds or from historically underrepresented groups, or sponsoring diverse law student organizations, are not violations of paragraph (g).

[5] Paragraphs (g) and (h) do not apply to expression or conduct protected by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution or by Article I of the Utah Constitution.

[6] A lawyer does not violate paragraph (g) by limiting the scope or subject matter of the lawyer's practice or by limiting the lawyer's practice to members of underserved populations in accordance with these Rules and other law. A lawyer may charge and collect reasonable fees and expenses for a representation. Rule 1.5(a). Lawyers also should be mindful of their professional obligations under Rule 6.1 to provide legal services to those who are unable to pay and their obligation under Rule 6.2 not to avoid appointments from a tribunal except for good cause. See Rule 6.2(a), (b), and (c). A lawyer's representation of a client does not constitute an endorsement by the lawyer of the client's views or activities. See Rule 1.2(b).

80

81 82

[7][4] A lawyer may refuse to comply with an obligation imposed by law upon a good faith belief that
no valid obligation exists. The provisions of Rule 1.2(d) concerning a good faith challenge to the validity,
scope, meaning or application of the law apply to challenges of legal regulation of the practice of law.

[8] [5] Lawyers holding public office assume legal responsibilities going beyond those of other
citizens. A lawyer's abuse of public office can suggest an inability to fulfill the professional role of lawyers.
The same is true of abuse of positions of private trust such as trustee, executor, administrator, guardian,
agent and officer, director or manager of a corporation or other organization.

[9] This rule differs from ABA Model Rule 8.4 to the extent that it changes paragraph (g), adds new paragraph (h), and modifies the comments accordingly.

# Tab 3

RPC06.05. Amend. Draft: May 13, 2020

### Rule 6.5: Short-term Limited Legal Services Nonprofit & Court-Annexed Limited Legal Services Programs

(a) A lawyer who provides short-term limited legal services to a client, hormally through a one-time consultation or representation provided through a program sponsored by a nonprofit organization, a government agency, a law school, or a court, without expectation by either the lawyer or the client that the lawyer will provide continuing representation in the matter, under the auspices of a program sponsored by a nonprofit organization or court, provides short-term limited legal services a client without expectation by either the lawyer or the client that the lawyer will provide continuing representation in the matter:

**Comment [NS1]:** Is this meant to be exemplary? Short-term limited legal services should be defined.

- (1) is subject to Rules 1.7 and 1.9(a) only if the lawyer knows that the representation of the client involves a conflict of interest; and
- (2) is subject to Rule 1.10 only if the lawyer knows that another lawyer associated with the lawyer in a law firm is disgualified by Rule 1.7 or 1.9(a) with respect to the matter.
- 13 (b) Except as provided in paragraph (a)(2), Rule 1.10 is inapplicable to a representation governed by this Rule.
  - (c) Notwithstanding the above, other lawyers in a firm are not disqualified from representing clients whose interests are adverse to a client who received short-term limited legal services from a lawyer in the firm if
    - (c)(1) the lawyer who provided the services is timely screened from the adverse clients' matters and
- 19 (c)(2) receives no fees from those matters.

#### 20 Comments

- [1] Legal services organizations, courts and various nonprofit organizations have established programs through which lawyers provide short-term limited legal services such as advice, a court appearance, or the completion of legal forms that will assist persons to address their legal problems without further representation by a lawyer. In these programs, such as legal-advice hotlines, advice-only clinics or pro se counseling programs, a client-lawyer relationship is established, but there is no expectation that the lawyer's representation of the client will continue beyond the limited consultation. Such programs are normally operated under circumstances in which it is not feasible for a lawyer to systematically screen for conflicts of interest as is generally required before undertaking a representation. See, e.g., Rules 1.7, 1.9 and 1.10.
- [2] A lawyer who provides short-term limited legal services pursuant to this Rule must secure the client's informed consent to the limited scope of the representation. See Rule 1.2(c). If a short-term limited representation would not be reasonable under the circumstances, the lawyer may offer advice to the client but must also advise the client of the need for further assistance of counsel. Except as provided in this Rule, the Rules of Professional Conduct, including Rules 1.6 and 1.9(c), are applicable to the limited representation.
- [3] Because a lawyer who is representing a client in the circumstances addressed by this Rule ordinarily is not able to check systematically for conflicts of interest, paragraph (a) requires compliance with Rules 1.7 or 1.9(a) only if the lawyer knows that the representation presents a conflict of interest for the lawyer, and with Rule 1.10 only if the lawyer knows that another lawyer in the lawyer's firm is disqualified by Rules 1.7 or 1.9(a) in the matter.

**Comment [NS2]:** Bring some of this language up into the rule as a definition of short-term legal services.

RPC06.05. Amend. Draft: May 13, 2020

 [4] Because the limited nature of the services significantly reduces the risk of conflicts of interest with other matters being handled by the lawyer's firm, paragraph (b) provides that Rule 1.10 is inapplicable to a representation governed by this Rule except as provided by paragraph (a)(2). Paragraph (a)(2) requires the participating lawyer to comply with Rule 1.10 when the lawyer knows that the lawyer's firm is disqualified by Rules 1.7 or 1.9(a). By virtue of paragraph (b), however, a lawyer's participation in a short-term limited legal services program will not preclude the lawyer's firm from undertaking or continuing the representation of a client with interests adverse to a client being represented under the program's auspices. Nor will the personal disqualification of a lawyer participating in the program be imputed to other lawyers participating in the program.

- [5] If, after commencing a short-term limited representation in accordance with this Rule, a lawyer undertakes to represent the client in the matter on an ongoing basis, Rules 1.7, 1.9(a) and 1.10 become applicable.
- [6] This Rule differs from ABA Model Rule 6.5 to the extent that it changes the title, changes paragraph (a), adds new paragraph (c), modifies comments [1] and [2], and contains comment [6].