Rule 2.4. Lawyer Serving as Third-Party Neutral.

- (a) A lawyer serves as a third-party neutral when the lawyer assists two or more persons who are not clients of the lawyer to reach a resolution of a dispute or other matter that has arisen between them. Service as a third-party neutral may include service as an arbitrator, a mediator or in such other capacity as will enable the lawyer to assist the parties to resolve the matter.
- (b) A lawyer serving as a third-party neutral shall inform unrepresented parties that the lawyer is not representing them. When the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that a party does not understand the lawyer's role in the matter, the lawyer shall explain the difference between the lawyer's role as a third-party neutral and a lawyer's role as one who represents a client.
- (c) A lawyer serving as a mediator in a mediation in which the parties have fully resolved all issues;
- (c)(1) may prepare formal documents that memorialize and implement the agreement reached in mediation;
- (c)(2) shall recommend that each party seek independent legal advice before executing the documents; and
- (c)(3) with the consent of all parties, may record or may file the documents in court, informing the court of the mediator's limited representation of the parties for the sole purpose of obtaining such legal approval as may be necessary.

Comment

[1] Alternative dispute resolution has become a substantial part of the civil justice system. Aside from representing clients in dispute-resolution processes, lawyers often serve as third-party neutrals. A third-party neutral is a person, such as a mediator, arbitrator, conciliator or evaluator, who assists the parties, represented or unrepresented, in the resolution of a dispute or in the arrangement of a transaction. Whether a third-party neutral serves primarily as a facilitator, evaluator or decisionmaker depends on the particular process that is either selected by the parties or mandated by a court.

- [2] The role of a third-party neutral is not unique to lawyers, although, in some court-connected contexts, only lawyers are allowed to serve in this role or to handle certain types of cases. In performing this role, the lawyer may be subject to court rules or other law that apply either to third-party neutrals generally or to lawyers serving as third-party neutrals. Lawyer-neutrals may also be subject to various codes of ethics, such as the Code of Ethics for Arbitration in Commercial Disputes prepared by a joint committee of the American Bar Association and the American Arbitration Association or the Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators jointly prepared by the American Bar Association, the American Arbitration Association and the Society of Professionals in Dispute Resolution.
- [3] Unlike nonlawyers who serve as third-party neutrals, lawyers serving in this role may experience unique problems as a result of differences between the role of a third-party neutral and a lawyer's service as a client representative. The potential for confusion is significant when the parties are unrepresented in the process. Thus, paragraph (b) requires a lawyer-neutral to inform unrepresented parties that the lawyer is not representing them. For some parties, particularly parties who frequently use dispute-resolution processes, this information will be sufficient. For others, particularly those who are using the process for the first time, more information will be required. Where appropriate, the lawyer should inform unrepresented parties of the important differences between the lawyer's role as third-party neutral and a lawyer's role as a client representative, including the inapplicability of the attorney-client evidentiary privilege. The extent of disclosure required under this paragraph will depend on the particular parties involved and the subject matter of the proceeding, as well as the particular features of the dispute-resolution process selected.
- [4] A lawyer who serves as a third-party neutral subsequently may be asked to serve as a lawyer representing a client in the same matter. The conflicts of interest that arise for both the individual lawyer and the lawyer's law firm are addressed in Rule 1.12.
- [5] Lawyers who represent clients in alternative dispute-resolution processes are governed by the Rules of Professional Conduct. When the dispute-resolution process takes place before a tribunal, as in binding arbitration (see Rule 1.0(m)), the lawyer's duty of candor is governed by

Rule 3.3. Otherwise, the lawyer's duty of candor toward both the third-party neutral and other parties is governed by Rule 4.1.

[5a] Rule 2.4(c) is intended to permit a lawyer-mediator for parties who have successfully resolved all issues between them to draft a legally binding agreement and, to the extent necessary or appropriate, record or file related papers or pleadings with an appropriate tribunal. In so doing, the lawyer will be jointly representing the parties in their common goal of effecting proper legal filings or obtaining judicial approval of their fully resolved issues. Because the parties in this situation have fully resolved their issues, they are not considered "adverse" under Rule 1.7(a)(1). ABA Model Rule 2.4 does not address the lawyer's drafting of documents to implement the parties' agreement.

Rule 1.12. Former Judge, Arbitrator, Mediator or Other Third-Party Neutral.

- (a) Except as stated in paragraph (d) and in Rule 2.4 (c), a lawyer shall not represent anyone in connection with a matter in which the lawyer participated personally and substantially as a judge or other adjudicative officer or law clerk to such a person, or as an arbitrator, mediator or other third-party neutral, unless all parties to the proceeding give informed consent, confirmed in writing.
- (b) A lawyer shall not negotiate for employment with any person who is involved as a party or as lawyer for a party in a matter in which the lawyer is participating personally and substantially as a judge or other adjudicative officer or as an arbitrator, mediator or other third-party neutral. A lawyer serving as a law clerk to a judge or other adjudicative officer may negotiate for employment with a party or lawyer involved in a matter in which the clerk is participating personally and substantially, but only after the lawyer has notified the judge or other adjudicative officer.
- (c) If a lawyer is disqualified by paragraph (a), no lawyer in a firm with which that lawyer is associated may knowingly undertake or continue representation in the matter unless:
- (c)(1) the disqualified lawyer is timely screened from any participation in the matter and is apportioned no part of the fee from that matter; and
- (c)(2) written notice is promptly given to the parties and any appropriate tribunal.
- (d) An arbitrator selected as a partisan of a party in a multimember arbitration panel is not prohibited from subsequently representing that party.

Comment

[1] This Rule generally parallels Rule 1.11. The term "personally and substantially" signifies that a judge who was a member of a multimember court, and thereafter left judicial office to practice

law, is not prohibited from representing a client in a matter pending in the court, but in which the former judge did not participate. So also the fact that a former judge exercised administrative responsibility in a court does not prevent the former judge from acting as a lawyer in a matter where the judge had previously exercised remote or incidental administrative responsibility that did not affect the merits. Compare the Comment to Rule 1.11. The term "adjudicative officer" includes such officials as judges pro tempore, referees, special masters, hearing officers and other parajudicial officers, and also lawyers who serve as part-time judges. Compliance Canons A(2), B(2) and C of the Model Code of Judicial Conduct provide that a part-time judge, judge pro tempore or retired judge recalled to active service, may not "act as a lawyer in any proceeding in which he served as a judge or in any other proceeding related thereto." Although phrased differently from this Rule, those rules correspond in meaning.

- [2] Like former judges, lawyers who have served as arbitrators, mediators or other third-party neutrals may be asked to represent a client in a matter in which the lawyer participated personally and substantially. This Rule prohibits such representation unless all of the parties to the proceedings give their informed consent, confirmed in writing. See Rule 1.0(e) and (b). Other law or codes of ethics governing third-party neutrals may impose more stringent standards of personal or imputed disqualification. See Rule 2.4.
- [3] Although lawyers who serve as third-party neutrals do not have information concerning the parties that is protected under Rule 1.6, they typically owe the parties an obligation of confidentiality under law or codes of ethics governing third-party neutrals. Thus, paragraph (c) provides that conflicts of the personally disqualified lawyer will be imputed to other lawyers in a law firm unless the conditions of this paragraph are met.
- [4] Requirements for screening procedures are stated in Rule 1.0(k). Paragraph (c)(1) does not prohibit the screened lawyer from receiving a salary or partnership share established by prior independent agreement, but that lawyer may not receive compensation directly related to the matter in which the lawyer is disqualified.

[5] Notice, including a description of the screened lawyer's prior representation and of the screening procedures employed, generally should be given as soon as practicable after the need for screening becomes apparent.

Matty Branch - RE: Lawyer-Mediator Rules

From: "Nayer Honarvar" < nayerhonarvar@hotmail.com>

To: <gsackett@joneswaldo.com>, <bobb@burtonlumber.com>, <psmith@co.slc.ut.us>,

<JHOWARD@email.utcourts.gov>, <mattyb@email.utcourts.gov>,
pmaughan@email.utcourts.gov>, <rhansen@email.utcourts.gov>,

<sroth@email.utcourts.gov>, <EMWUNDERLI@MSN.COM>, <sjohnson@norbest.com>,

<KRoche@pblutah.com>, <sschultz@strongandhanni.com>, <JSOLTIS@utah.gov>,

<bwalker@utahbar.org>, <glc101@veracitycom.net>

Date: 3/20/06 4:12PM

Subject: RE: Lawyer-Mediator Rules

Gary:

With regards to the proposed language to Rule 2.4:

(c)(2) appears to be redundant in that, as a matter of practice, a mediator in his or her opening statement explains to the participants his or her limited role in the proceedings and the fact that the participants always have the option to stop the proceedings, consult with counsel, if they have one, or retain counsel; and

(c)(3) also seems unnecessary because, as a matter of practice, the attorney who prepares the final formal documents to be signed by the judge assigned to the case, states his or her role in the proceedings in three places: the opening paragraphs of the Stipulation; the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law; and in the cover letter which accompanies the formal documents.

We may want to consider adding a language which requires the attorneys who wants to do divorce mediation must complete a 30-40 hour Divorce and Domestic Abuse workshop offered through Kathy Elton's office.

From: "Gary Sackett" < gsackett@joneswaldo.com>

To: <bobb@burtonlumber.com>, <psmith@co.slc.ut.us>, < JHOWARD@email.utcourts.gov>,

<mattyb@email.utcourts.gov>,<pmaughan@email.utcourts.gov>, <rhansen@email.utcourts.gov>,<sroth@email.utcourts.gov>,
<nayerhonarvar@hotmail.com>,<EMWUNDERLI@MSN.COM>, <sjohnson@norbest.com>,<KRoche@pblutah.com>,

<sschultz@strongandhanni.com>,<ISOLTIS@utah.gov>,
,
bwalker@utahbar.org>,<glc101@veracitycom.net>

Subject: Lawyer-Mediator Rules

Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 09:09:58 -0700

>Committee:

>Here is another suggestion for implementing our lawyer-mediator decision. It springs from the Oregon approach to the situation and expands the concept somewhat to tidy up some loose ends. (1) It recognizes the post-mediation activity as a "representation." (2) It adds a comment to explain this is not inconsistent with Rule 1.7(a)(1), and that this is a variation from the Model Rule. (3) The new section (c) includes the requirement that the court be notified of the lawyer's post-mediation role.

From: To: "Bob Burton Jr." <bobb@burtonlumber.com>
"Matty Branch" <mattyb@email.utcourts.gov>

Date: Subject:

4/4/06 11:22AM RE: March Minutes

Matty,

The minutes look fine. Dare I say perfect?

Although I vacillated back and forth, ultimately I decided only to send the court the full opinion drafted by the Bar's Ethics Advisory Opinion Committee as well as minutes from our January 23, February 13, and March 20 meetings. Presumably the proposed rules will go out for comment. After the comment period is over, there may be much more information to send to the court including some of the committee's e-mails when the initial motion was voted upon.

Do you have clean copies of the 28 page opinion and the minutes? Mine are heavily annotated with my own scribbling.

Bob

----Original Message----

From: Matty Branch [mailto:mattyb@email.utcourts.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2006 11:05 AM

To: bobb@burtonlumber.com Subject: March Minutes

Attached are the proposed minutes for the March 20th committee meeting. Please remind me of what documents you want me to pull together as attachments to the letter you will be sending to the court as to the appellate/mediator issue. Thanks.

From:

"Stuart Schultz" <sschultz@strongandhanni.com>

To:

<nayerhonarvar@hotmail.com>, <mattyb@email.utcourts.gov>,

<body>

bobb@burtonlumber.com></br/>

Date:

3/9/06 9:51AM

Subject:

Mediator Rules

Nayer, Bob, and Matty:

I have so far found rules 1.12 and 2.4 that are the same as Utah's existing rules 1.12 and 2.4 which reference lawyers acting as "third-party neutrals" including being mediators in the following states: Arizona, Arkansas, Delaware, Idaho, Iowa, Indiana, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts (although Mass. may be slightly different), Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, New Jersey, North Carolina, Pennsylvanis, South Carolina, South Dakota.

Oregon is the only state that I have found so far that has modified rules 1.12 and more specifically 2.4 to provide for a lawyer mediator preparing documents for filing. I'm attaching copies of the Oregon rules.

I'll keep looking for other states that have actually provided a specific rule allowing preparation of papers by a mediator. Do any of you remember any such states being mentioned at our meetings? I thought some one said New Yor had such a rule, but I have not been able to find it yet.

Stuart H. Schultz STRONG & HANNI 3 Triad Center Suite 500 Salt Lake City, UT 84180 (801) 532-7080 (801) 596-1508 (fax) sschultz@strongandhanni.com www.strongandhanni.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This transmission (and/or documents accompanying it) is intended only for the use of the individuals or entities to whom it is addressed. This transmission contains information which may be privileged, confidential, or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this transmission is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us immediately at the telephone number listed above.

1 of 1 DOCUMENT

OREGON COURT RULES

*** THIS DOCUMENT IS CURRENT THROUGH FEBRUARY 1, 2006 ***

OREGON STATE BAR RULES OREGON RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT COUNSELOR

Ore. Code Prof. Resp. 2.4 (2006)

Rule 2.4 Lawyer Serving as Mediator

- (a) A lawyer serving as a mediator:
- (1) shall not act as a lawyer for any party against another party in the matter in mediation or in any related proceeding; and
 - (2) must clearly inform the parties of and obtain the parties' consent to the lawyer's role as mediator.
 - (b) A lawyer serving as a mediator:
 - (1) may prepare documents that memorialize and implement the agreement reached in mediation;
 - (2) shall recommend that each party seek independent legal advice before executing the documents; and
 - (3) with the consent of all parties, may record or may file the documents in court.
- (c) Notwithstanding Rule 1.10, when a lawyer is serving or has served as a mediator in a matter, a member of the lawyer's firm may accept or continue the representation of a party in the matter in mediation or in a related matter if all parties to the mediation give informed consent, confirmed in writing.
- (d) The requirements of Rule 2.4(a)(2) and (b)(2) shall not apply to mediation programs established by operation of law or court order.

HISTORY: Adopted and Effective January 1, 2005.

STUDY GUIDE AND QUICK REFERENCE TO OREGON RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT This guide and reference has been prepared by OSB General Counsel's office for education and discussion purposes only; the comparisons to the Oregon Code of Professional Responsibility and the ABA Model Rules are not part of the ORPC and are not binding authority.

Rule 2.4 Lawyer Serving as Mediator

Defined Terms (see Rule 1.0):

"Confirmed in writing"

"Informed consent"

"Firm"

"Matter"

"Writing"

Comparison to Oregon Code

This rule retains DR 5-106, except that the requirement in (c) for consent after full disclosure has been changed to require informed consent, confirmed in writing.

Comparison to ABA Model Rule

ABA Model Rule 2.4 applies to a lawyer serving as a "third-party neutral," including arbitrator, mediator or in "such other capacity as will enable the lawyer to assist the parties to resolve the matter." It requires that the lawyer inform unrepresented parties that the lawyer is not representing them and, when necessary, explain the difference in the role of a third-party neutral. The Model Rule does not address the lawyer's drafting of documents to implement the parties' agreement, or the circumstances in which a member of the lawyer's firm can represent a party.

1 of 1 DOCUMENT

OREGON COURT RULES

*** THIS DOCUMENT IS CURRENT THROUGH FEBRUARY 1, 2006 ***

OREGON STATE BAR RULES OREGON RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT CLIENT-LAWYER RELATIONSHIP

Ore. Code Prof. Resp. 1.12 (2006)

Rule 1.12 Former Judge, Arbitrator, Mediatoror Other Third-Party Neutral

- (a) Except as stated in Rule 2.4(b) and in paragraph (d), a lawyer shall not represent anyone in connection with a matter in which the lawyer participated personally and substantially as a judge or other adjudicative officer or law clerk to such a person or as an arbitrator, mediator or other third-party neutral, unless all parties to the proceeding give informed consent, confirmed in writing.
- (b) A lawyer shall not negotiate for employment with any person who is involved as a party or as lawyer for a party in a matter in which the lawyer is participating personally and substantially as a judge or other adjudicative officer or as an arbitrator, mediator or other third-party neutral. A lawyer serving as a law clerk or staff lawyer to or otherwise assisting in the official duties of a judge or other adjudicative officer may negotiate for employment with a party or lawyer involved in a matter in which the clerk is participating personally and substantially, but only after the lawyer has notified the judge or other adjudicative officer.
- (c) If a lawyer is disqualified by paragraph (a), no lawyer in a firm with which that lawyer is associated may knowingly undertake or continue representation in the matter unless:
- (1) the disqualified lawyer is timely screened from any participation in the matter substantially in accordance with the procedures set forth in Rule 1.10(c); and
- (2) written notice is promptly given to the parties and any appropriate tribunal to enable them to ascertain compliance with the provisions of this rule.
- (d) An arbitrator selected as a partisan of a party in a multimember arbitration panel is not prohibited from subsequently representing that party.

HISTORY: Adopted and Effective January 1, 2005.

From:

"Gary Sackett" < gsackett@joneswaldo.com>

To:

<bob@burtonlumber.com>, <psmith@co.slc.ut.us>,

<JHOWARD@email.utcourts.gov>, <mattyb@email.utcourts.gov>, <pmaughan@email.utcourts.gov>,

<rhansen@email.utcourts.gov>, <sroth@email.utcourts.gov>, <nayerhonarvar@hotmail.com>,

<EMWUNDERLI@MSN.COM>, <sjohnson@norbest.com>, <KRoche@pblutah.com>,

<sschultz@strongandhanni.com>, <JSOLTIS@utah.gov>, <bwalker@utahbar.org>,

<glc101@veracitycom.net>

Date:

3/20/06 9:10AM

Subject:

Lawyer-Mediator Rules

Committee:

Here is another suggestion for implementing our lawyer-mediator decision. It springs from the Oregon approach to the situation and expands the concept somewhat to tidy up some loose ends. (1) It recognizes the post-mediation activity as a "representation." (2) It adds a comment to explain this is not inconsistent with Rule 1.7(a)(1), and that this is a variation from the Model Rule. (3) The new section (c) includes the requirement that the court be notified of the lawyer's post-mediation role.

(Two formats * pdf and WordPerfect)
--Garv

UTAH RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

Rule 2.4. Lawyer Serving as Third-Party Neutral.

- (a) A lawyer serves as a third-party neutral when the lawyer assists two or more persons who are not clients of the lawyer to reach a resolution of a dispute or other matter that has arisen between them. Service as a third-party neutral may include service as an arbitrator, a mediator or in such other capacity as will enable the lawyer to assist the parties to resolve the matter.
- (b) A lawyer serving as a third-party neutral shall inform unrepresented parties that the lawyer is not representing them. When the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that a party does not understand the lawyer's role in the matter, the lawyer shall explain the difference between the lawyer's role as a third-party neutral and a lawyer's role as one who represents a client.
- (c) A lawyer serving as a mediator in a mediation in which the parties have fully resolved all issues;
- (1) may prepare formal documents that memorialize and implement the agreement reached in mediation.
- (2) shall recommend that each party seek independent legal advice before executing the documents; and
- (3) with the consent of all parties, may record or may file the documents in court, informing the court of the mediator's limited representation of the parties for the sole purpose of obtaining such legal approval as may be necessary.

New comment: [5a] Rule 2.4(c) is intended to permit a lawyer-mediator for parties who have successfully resolved all issues between them to draft a legally binding agreement and, to the extent necessary or appropriate, record or file related papers or pleadings with an appropriate tribunal. In so doing, the lawyer will be jointly representing the parties in their common goal of effecting proper legal filings or obtaining judicial approval of their fully resolved issues. Because the parties in this situation have fully resolved their issues, they are not considered "adverse" under Rule 1.7(a)(1). The ABA Model Rule 2.4 does not address the lawyer's drafting of documents to implement the parties' agreement.

Note: 2.4(a) and (b) are the current Utah (and ABA Model) Rule. <u>Single underscore</u> denotes the Oregon lawyer-mediator provision; <u>double underscore</u> denotes suggested additions to Oregon text.

[Note: The Oregon approach to the black-letter rule leaves open the question of whether the lawyer has a post-mediation attorney-client relationship with the parties or whether the lawyer is simply a "scrivener." Suggested addition to clause (3) and Comment [5a] indicate that the relationship is a representation with limited purpose.]

Rule 2.4. Lawyer Serving as Third-Party Neutral.

- (a) A lawyer serves as a third-party neutral when the lawyer assists two or more persons who are not clients of the lawyer to reach a resolution of a dispute or other matter that has arisen between them. Service as a third-party neutral may include service as an arbitrator, a mediator or in such other capacity as will enable the lawyer to assist the parties to resolve the matter.
- (b) A lawyer serving as a third-party neutral shall inform unrepresented parties that the lawyer is not representing them. When the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that a party does not understand the lawyer's role in the matter, the lawyer shall explain the difference between the lawyer's role as a third-party neutral and a lawyer's role as one who represents a client.
- (c) A lawyer serving as a mediator in a mediation in which the parties have fully resolved all issues:
- (1) may prepare formal documents that memorialize and implement the agreement reached in mediation;
- (2) shall recommend that each party seek independent legal advice before executing the documents; and
- (3) with the consent of all parties, may record or may file the documents in court, informing the court of the mediator's limited representation of the parties for the sole purpose of obtaining such legal approval as may be necessary.

New comment: [5a] Rule 2.4(c) is intended to permit a lawyer-mediator for parties who have successfully resolved all issues between them to draft a legally binding agreement and, to the extent necessary or appropriate, record or file related papers or pleadings with an appropriate tribunal. In so doing, the lawyer will be jointly representing the parties in their common goal of effecting proper legal filings or obtaining judicial approval of their fully resolved issues. Because the parties in this situation have fully resolved their issues, they are not considered "adverse" under Rule 1.7(a)(1). The ABA Model Rule 2.4 does not address the lawyer's drafting of documents to implement the parties' agreement.

Note: 2.4(a) and (b) are the current Utah (and ABA Model) Rule. <u>Single underscore</u> denotes the Oregon lawyer-mediator provision; <u>double underscore denotes suggested</u> additions to Oregon text.

[Note: The Oregon approach to the black-letter rule leaves open the question of whether the lawyer has a post-mediation attorney-client relationship with the parties or whether the lawyer is simply a "scrivener." Suggested addition to clause (3) and Comment [5a] indicate that the relationship is a representation with limited purpose.]

Matty Branch - Revised Rules re Lawyer Mediator Issue

From:

"Nayer Honarvar" <nayerhonarvar@hotmail.com>

To:

<mattyb@email.utcourts.gov>

Date:

3/8/06 10:00AM

Subject: Revised Rules re Lawyer Mediator Issue

CC:

<sschultz@strongandhanni.com>, <bobb@burtonlumber.com>

Matty:

Attached please find the various rules that may require revisions to address the Lawyer/mediator concerns. The proposed revisions are shown in bold text. Stuart and I divided the task. Stuart is looking up other jurisdiction to see how they are addressing the issue and I looked at the various URPC which may require revisions. In the interest of time, I am sending you what I have so it may be disseminated in a timely manner.

Nayer

Preamble: A Lawyer's Responsibilities.

[1] A lawyer is a representative of clients, an officer of the legal system and a public citizen having special responsibility for the quality of justice. Every lawyer is responsible to observe the law and the Rules of Professional Conduct, shall take the Attorney's Oath upon admission to the practice of law, and shall be subject to the Rules of Lawyer Discipline and Disability.

Attorney's Oath

"I do solemnly swear that I will support, obey and defend the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of Utah; that I will discharge the duties of attorney and counselor at law as an officer of the courts of this State with honesty and fidelity; and that I will strictly observe the Rules of Professional Conduct promulgated by the Supreme Court of the State of Utah."

[2] As a representative of clients, a lawyer performs various functions. As advisor, a lawyer provides a client with an informed understanding of the client's legal rights and obligations and explains their practical implications. As advocate, a lawyer zealously asserts the client's position under the rules of the adversary system. As negotiator, a lawyer seeks a result advantageous to the client but consistent with requirements of honest dealings with others. As an evaluator, a lawyer acts by examining a client's legal affairs and reporting about them to the client or to others. As divorce mediator, a lawyer acts as a neutral facilitator and reduces to writing the unrepresented parties' stipulated resolution of the terms of their divorce, prepares and files with the court all the necessary documents. A lawyer's representation of a client, including representation by appointment, does not constitute an endorsement of the client's political, economic, social or moral views or activities.

[3] In addition to these representational functions, a lawyer may serve as a third-party neutral, a nonrepresentational role helping the parties to resolve a dispute or other matter. Some of these Rules apply directly to lawyers who are or have served as third-party neutrals. See, e.g., Rules 1.12 and 2.4. In addition, there are rules that apply to lawyers who are not active in the practice of law or to practicing lawyers even when they are acting in a nonprofessional capacity. For example, a lawyer who commits fraud in the conduct of a business is subject to discipline for engaging in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation. See Rule 8.4.

[4] In all professional functions a lawyer should be competent, prompt and diligent. A lawyer should maintain communication with a client concerning the representation. A lawyer should keep in confidence information relating to representation of a client except so far as disclosure is required or permitted by the Rules of Professional Conduct or other law

[5] A lawyer's conduct should conform to the requirements of the law, both in professional service to clients and in the lawyer's business and personal affairs. A lawyer should use the law's procedures only for legitimate purposes and not to harass or intimidate others. A lawyer should demonstrate respect for the legal system and for those who serve it, including judges, other lawyers and public officials. While it is a lawyer's duty, when necessary, to challenge the rectitude of official action, it is also a lawyer's duty to uphold legal process.

[6] As a public citizen, a lawyer should seek improvement of the law, access to the legal system, the administration of justice and the quality of service rendered by the legal

profession. As a member of a learned profession, a lawyer should cultivate knowledge of the law beyond its use for clients, employ that knowledge in reform of the law and work to strengthen legal education. In addition, a lawyer should further the public's understanding of and confidence in the rule of law and the justice system because legal institutions in a constitutional democracy depend on popular participation and support to maintain their authority. A lawyer should be mindful of deficiencies in the administration of justice and of the fact that the poor, and sometimes persons who are not poor, cannot afford adequate legal assistance and therefore, all lawyers should devote professional time and resources and use civic influence in their behalf to ensure equal access to our system of justice for all those who because of economic or social barriers cannot afford or secure adequate legal counsel. A lawyer should aid the legal profession in pursuing these objectives and should help the Bar regulate itself in the public interest.

- [7] Many of a lawyer's professional responsibilities are prescribed in the Rules of Professional Conduct, as well as substantive and procedural law. However, a lawyer is also guided by personal conscience and the approbation of professional peers. A lawyer should strive to attain the highest level of skill, to improve the law and the legal profession and to exemplify the legal profession's ideals of public service.
- [8] A lawyer's responsibilities as a representative of clients, an officer of the legal system and a public citizen are usually harmonious. Thus, when an opposing party is well represented, a lawyer can be a zealous advocate on behalf of a client and at the same time assume that justice is being done. So also, a lawyer can be sure that preserving client confidences ordinarily serves the public interest because people are more likely to seek legal advice, and thereby heed their legal obligations, when they know their communications will be private.

Rule 1.2. Scope of Representation and Allocation of Authority Between Client and Lawyer.

- (a) Subject to paragraphs (c) and (d), a lawyer shall abide by a client's decisions concerning the objectives of representation and, as required by Rule 1.4, shall consult with the client as to the means by which they are to be pursued. A lawyer may take such action on behalf of the client as is impliedly authorized to carry out the representation. A lawyer shall abide by a client's decision whether to settle a matter. In a criminal case, the lawyer shall abide by the client's decision, after consultation with the lawyer, as to a plea to be entered, whether to waive jury trial and whether the client will testify.
- (b) A lawyer" representation of a client, including representation by appointment, does not constitute an endorsement of the client" political, economic, social or moral views or activities.
- (c) A lawyer may limit the scope of the representation, or in divorce cases limit services to that of a third-party neutral mediator, if the limitation is reasonable under the circumstances and the client gives informed consent.
- (d) A lawyer shall not counsel a client to engage, or assist a client, in conduct that the lawyer knows is criminal or fraudulent, but a lawyer may discuss the legal consequences of any proposed course of conduct with a client and may counsel or assist a client to make a good faith effort to determine the validity, scope, meaning or application of the law.

Comment

Allocation of Authority between Client and Lawyer

- [1] Paragraph (a) confers upon the client the ultimate authority to determine the purposes to be served by legal representation, within the limits imposed by law and the lawyer's professional obligations. The decisions specified in paragraph (a), such as whether to settle a civil matter, must also be made by the client. See Rule 1.4(a)(1) for the lawyer's duty to communicate with the client about such decisions. With respect to the means by which the client's objectives are to be pursued, the lawyer shall consult with the client as required by Rule 1.4(a)(2) and may take such action as is impliedly authorized to carry out the representation.
- [2] On occasion, however, a lawyer and a client may disagree about the means to be used to accomplish the client''s objectives. Clients normally defer to the special knowledge and skill of their lawyer with respect to the means to be used to accomplish their objectives, particularly with respect to technical, legal and tactical matters. Conversely, lawyers usually defer to the client regarding such questions as the expense to be incurred and concern for third persons who might be adversely affected. Because of the varied nature of the matters about which a lawyer and client might disagree and because the actions in question may implicate the interests of a tribunal or other persons, this Rule does not prescribe how such disagreements are to be resolved. Other law, however, may be applicable and should be consulted by the lawyer. The lawyer should also consult with the client and seek a mutually acceptable resolution of the disagreement. If such efforts are unavailing and the lawyer has a fundamental disagreement with the client, the lawyer may withdraw from the representation. See Rule 1.16(b)(4). Conversely, the client may resolve the disagreement by discharging the lawyer. See Rule 1.16(a)(3).

- [3] At the outset of a representation, the client may authorize the lawyer to take specific action on the client's behalf without further consultation. Absent a material change in circumstances and subject to Rule 1.4, a lawyer may rely on such an advance authorization. The client may, however, revoke such authority at any time.
- [4] In a case in which the client appears to be suffering diminished capacity, the lawyer's duty to abide by the client's decisions is to be guided by reference to Rule 1.14.

Independence from Client''s Views or Activities

[5] Legal representation should not be denied to people who are unable to afford legal services or whose cause is controversial or the subject of popular disapproval. By the same token, representing a client does not constitute approval of the client's views or activities.

Agreements Limiting Scope of Representation

- [6] The scope of services to be provided by a lawyer may be limited by agreement with the client or by the terms under which the lawyer's services are made available to the client. When a lawyer has been retained by an insurer to represent an insured, for example, the representation may be limited to matters related to the insurance coverage. A limited representation may be appropriate because the client has limited objectives for the representation. In addition, the terms upon which representation is undertaken may exclude specific means that might otherwise be used to accomplish the client''s objectives. Such limitations may exclude actions that the client thinks are too costly or that the lawyer regards as repugnant or imprudent. [THIS COMMENT APPEARS TO ALSO COVER THE PROPOSED REVISION]
- [7] Although this Rule affords the lawyer and client substantial latitude to limit the representation, the limitation must be reasonable under the circumstances. If, for example, a client''s objective is limited to securing general information about the law the client needs in order to handle a common and typically uncomplicated legal problem, the lawyer and client may agree that the lawyer's services will be limited to a brief telephone consultation. Such a limitation, however, would not be reasonable if the time allotted were not sufficient to yield advice upon which the client could rely. Although an agreement for a limited representation does not exempt a lawyer from the duty to provide competent representation, the limitation is a factor to be considered when determining the legal knowledge, skill, thoroughness and preparation reasonably necessary for the representation. See Rule 1.1.
- [8] All agreements concerning a lawyer's representation of a client must accord with the Rules of Professional Conduct and other law. See, e.g., Rules 1.1, 1.8 and 5.6.

Criminal, Fraudulent and Prohibited Transactions

[9] Paragraph (d) prohibits a lawyer from knowingly counseling or assisting a client to commit a crime or fraud. This prohibition, however, does not preclude the lawyer from giving an honest opinion about the actual consequences that appear likely to result from a client's conduct. Nor does the fact that a client uses advice in a course of action that is criminal or fraudulent of itself make a lawyer a party to the course of action. There is a critical distinction between presenting an analysis of legal aspects of questionable conduct and recommending the means by which a crime or fraud

might be committed with impunity.

- [10] When the client's course of action has already begun and is continuing, the lawyer's responsibility is especially delicate. The lawyer is required to avoid assisting the client, for example, by drafting or delivering documents that the lawyer knows are fraudulent or by suggesting how the wrongdoing might be concealed. A lawyer may not continue assisting a client in conduct that the lawyer originally supposed was legally proper but then discovers is criminal or fraudulent. The lawyer must, therefore, withdraw from the representation of the client in the matter. See Rule 1.16(a). In some cases, withdrawal alone might be insufficient. It may be necessary for the lawyer to give notice of the fact of withdrawal and to disaffirm any opinion, document, affirmation or the like. See Rule 4.1.
- [11] Where the client is a fiduciary, the lawyer may be charged with special obligations in dealings with a beneficiary.
- [12] Paragraph (d) applies whether or not the defrauded party is a party to the transaction. Hence, a lawyer must not participate in a transaction to effectuate criminal or fraudulent avoidance of tax liability. Paragraph (d) does not preclude undertaking a criminal defense incident to a general retainer for legal services to a lawful enterprise. The last clause of paragraph (d) recognizes that determining the validity or interpretation of a statute or regulation may require a course of action involving disobedience of the statute or regulation or of the interpretation placed upon it by governmental authorities.
- [13] If a lawyer comes to know or reasonably should know that a client expects assistance not permitted by the Rules of Professional Conduct or other law or if the lawyer intends to act contrary to the client's instructions, the lawyer must consult with the client regarding the limitations on the lawyer's conduct. See Rule 1.4(a)(5).
- [14] Lawyers are encouraged to advise their clients that their representations are guided by the Utah Standards of Professionalism and Civility and to provide a copy to their clients.

Rule 1.3. Diligence.

فسفر

A lawyer shall act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client.

Comment [KEEP THIS RULE AS IS AND ADD COMMENT #6]

- [1] A lawyer should pursue a matter on behalf of a client despite opposition, obstruction or personal inconvenience to the lawyer and take whatever lawful and ethical measures are required to vindicate a client's cause or endeavor. A lawyer must act with commitment and dedication to the interests of the client and with zeal in advocacy upon the client's behalf. A lawyer is not bound, however, to press for every advantage that might be realized for a client. For example, a lawyer may have authority to exercise professional discretion in determining the means by which a matter should be pursued. See Rule 1.2. The lawyer's duty to act with reasonable diligence does not require the use of offensive tactics or preclude the treating of all persons involved in the legal process with courtesy and respect.
- [2] A lawyer"s work load must be controlled so that each matter can be handled competently.
- [3] Perhaps no professional shortcoming is more widely resented than procrastination. A client's interests often can be adversely affected by the passage of time or the change of conditions; in extreme instances, as when a lawyer overlooks a statute of limitations, the client's legal position may be destroyed. Even when the client's interests are not affected in substance, however, unreasonable delay can cause a client needless anxiety and undermine confidence in the lawyer's trustworthiness. A lawyer's duty to act with reasonable promptness, however, does not preclude the lawyer from agreeing to a reasonable request for a postponement that will not prejudice the lawyer's client.
- [4] Unless the relationship is terminated as provided in Rule1.16, a lawyer should carry through to conclusion all matters undertaken for a client. If a lawyer's employment is limited to a specific matter, the relationship terminates when the matter has been resolved. If a lawyer has served a client over a substantial period in a variety of matters, the client sometimes may assume that the lawyer will continue to serve on a continuing basis unless the lawyer gives notice of withdrawal. Doubt about whether a client-lawyer relationship still exists should be clarified by the lawyer, preferably in writing, so that the client will not mistakenly suppose the lawyer is looking after the client's affairs when the lawyer has ceased to do so. For example, if a lawyer has handled a judicial or administrative proceeding that produced a result adverse to the client and the lawyer and the client have not agreed that the lawyer will handle the matter on appeal, the lawyer must consult with the client about the possibility of appeal before relinquishing responsibility for the matter. See Rule 1.4(a)(2). Whether the lawyer is obligated to prosecute the appeal for the client depends on the scope of the representation the lawyer has agreed to provide to the client. See Rule 1.2.
- [5] To prevent neglect of client matters in the event of a sole practitioner's death or disability, the duty of diligence may require that each sole practitioner prepare a plan, in conformity with applicable rules, that designates another competent lawyer to review client files, notify each client of the lawyer's death or disability, and determine whether there is a need for immediate protective action. Cf. Rule 27 of the Utah Rules for Lawyer Discipline and Disability (providing for court appointment of a lawyer to inventory files and take other protective action in absence of a plan providing for another lawyer to protect the interests of the clients of a deceased or disabled lawyer).

[6] A lawyer who serves as divorce mediator is required to adhere to the applicable rules governing Alternative Dispute Resolution.

Rule 1.4. Communication.

- (a) A lawyer shall:
- (a)(1) promptly inform the client of any decision or circumstance with respect to which the client's informed consent, as defined in Rule 1.0(e), is required by these Rules;
- (a)(2) reasonably consult with the client about the means by which the client's objectives are to be accomplished;
- (a)(3) keep the client reasonably informed about the status of the matter;
- (a)(4) promptly comply with reasonable requests for information; and
- (a)(5) consult with the client about any relevant limitation on the lawyer's conduct when the lawyer knows that the client expects assistance not permitted by the Rules of Professional Conduct or other law. [THIS LANGUAGE SEEMS TO COVER THE FULL DISCLOSURE AND INFORMED CONSENT REQUIREMENT. COMMENT #5 COULD BE REVISED TO INCLUDE THE BOLD TEXT]
- (b) A lawyer shall explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions regarding the representation.

Comment

[1] Reasonable communication between the lawyer and the client is necessary for the client effectively to participate in the representation.

Communicating with Client

- [2] If these Rules require that a particular decision about the representation be made by the client, paragraph (a)(1) requires that the lawyer promptly consult with and secure the client's consent prior to taking action unless prior discussions with the client have resolved what action the client wants the lawyer to take. For example, a lawyer who receives from opposing counsel an offer of settlement in a civil controversy or a proffered plea bargain in a criminal case must promptly inform the client of its substance unless the client has previously indicated that the proposal will be acceptable or unacceptable or has authorized the lawyer to accept or to reject the offer. See Rule 1.2(a).
- [3] Paragraph (a)(2) requires the lawyer to reasonably consult with the client about the means to be used to accomplish the client's objectives. In some situations depending on both the importance of the action under consideration and the feasibility of consulting with the client this duty will require consultation prior to taking action. In other circumstances, such as during a trial when an immediate decision must be made, the exigency of the situation may require the lawyer to act without prior consultation. In such cases the lawyer must nonetheless act reasonably to inform the client of actions the lawyer has taken on the client's behalf. Additionally, paragraph (a)(3) requires that the lawyer keep the client reasonably informed about the status of the matter, such as significant developments affecting the timing or the substance of the representation.
- [4] A lawyer's regular communication with clients will minimize the occasions on which a client

will need to request information concerning the representation. When a client makes a reasonable request for information, however, paragraph (a)(4) requires prompt compliance with the request, or if a prompt response is not feasible, that the lawyer, or a member of the lawyer's staff, acknowledge receipt of the request and advise the client when a response may be expected. Client telephone calls should be promptly returned or acknowledged.

Explaining Matters

- [5] The client should have sufficient information to participate intelligently in decisions concerning the objectives of the representation and the means by which they are to be pursued, to the extent the client is willing and able to do so. Adequacy of communication depends in part on the kind of advice or assistance that is involved. For example, when there is time to explain a proposal made in a negotiation, the lawyer should review all important provisions with the client before proceeding to an agreement. In litigation a lawyer should explain the general strategy and prospects of success and ordinarily should consult the client on tactics that are likely to result in significant expense or to injure or coerce others. On the other hand, a lawyer ordinarily will not be expected to describe trial or negotiation strategy in detail. The guiding principle is that the lawyer should fulfill reasonable client expectations for information consistent with the duty to act in the client's best interests and the client's overall requirements as to the character of representation. In certain circumstances, such as when a lawyer asks a client to consent to a representation affected by a conflict of interest, or when a lawyer serves as a third-party neutral mediator in a divorce action, the client/parties must give informed consent, as defined in Rule 1.0(e).
- [6] Ordinarily, the information to be provided is that appropriate for a client who is a comprehending and responsible adult. However, fully informing the client according to this standard may be impracticable, for example, where the client is a child or suffers from diminished capacity. See Rule 1.14. When the client is an organization or group, it is often impossible or inappropriate to inform every one of its members about its legal affairs; ordinarily, the lawyer should address communications to the appropriate officials of the organization. See Rule 1.13. Where many routine matters are involved, a system of limited or occasional reporting may be arranged with the client. Withholding Information
- [7] In some circumstances, a lawyer may be justified in delaying transmission of information when the client would be likely to react imprudently to an immediate communication. Thus, a lawyer might withhold a psychiatric diagnosis of a client when the examining psychiatrist indicates that disclosure would harm the client. A lawyer may not withhold information to serve the lawyer's own interest or convenience or the interests or convenience of another person. Rules or court orders governing litigation may provide that information supplied to a lawyer may not be disclosed to the client. Rule 3.4(c) directs compliance with such rules or orders.

Rule 1.6. Confidentiality of Information.

- (a)(1) A lawyer shall not reveal information relating to the representation of a client unless the client gives informed consent, the disclosure is impliedly authorized in order to carry out the representation or the disclosure is permitted by paragraph (b).
- (a)(2) A lawyer who provides services as a third-party neutral mediator in a divorce action shall not reveal information regarding one party to the other party without the prior consent of the revealing party.
- (b) A lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation of a client to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary:
- (b)(1) to prevent reasonably certain death or substantial bodily harm;
- (b)(2) to prevent the client from committing a crime or fraud that is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the financial interest or property of another and in furtherance of which the client has used the lawyer's services;
- (b)(3) to prevent, mitigate or rectify substantial injury to the financial interests or property of another that is reasonably certain to result or has resulted from the client's commission of a crime or fraud and in furtherance of which the client has used the lawyer's services;
- (b)(4) to secure legal advice about the lawyer's compliance with these Rules;
- (b)(5) to establish a claim or defense on behalf of the lawyer in a controversy between the lawyer and the client, to establish a defense to a criminal charge or civil claim against the lawyer based upon conduct in which the client was involved, or to respond to allegations in any proceeding concerning the lawyer's representation of the client; or
- (b)(6) to comply with other law or a court order.
- (c) For purposes of this rule, representation of a client includes counseling a lawyer about the need for or availability of treatment for substance abuse or psychological or emotional problems by members of the Utah State Bar serving on an Utah State Bar endorsed lawyer assistance program.

COMMENT [RENUMBER COMMENTS AFTER COMMENT #6]

Authorized Disclosure

- [5] Except to the extent that the client's instructions or special circumstances limit that authority, a lawyer is impliedly authorized to make disclosures about a client when appropriate in carrying out the representation. In some situations, for example, a lawyer may be impliedly authorized to admit a fact that cannot properly be disputed or to make a disclosure that facilitates a satisfactory conclusion to the matter. Lawyers in a firm may, in the course of the firm's practice, disclose to each other information relating to a client of the firm, unless the client has instructed that particular information be confined to specified lawyers.
- [6] A lawyer who provides services as a third-party neutral mediator in a divorce action must not reveal information regarding one party to the other party in the

mediation process or to a third party without prior consent of the revealing party. The duty to maintain parties' confidences extends beyond the resolution of the disputed issues and the lawyer/mediator may not be called by either party to mediation to testify in a subsequent proceeding.

Rule 1.7. Conflict of Interest: Current Clients.

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:
- (a)(1) The representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or
- (a)(2) There is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by a personal interest of the lawyer.
- (b) Notwithstanding the existence of a concurrent conflict of interest under paragraph (a), a lawyer may represent a client if:
- (b)(1) the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client;
- (b)(2) the representation is not prohibited by law;
- (b)(3) the representation does not involve the assertion of a claim by one client against another client represented by the lawyer in the same litigation or other proceeding before a tribunal; and
- (b)(4) each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing.
- (b)(5) the lawyer is providing services as a neutral mediator in a divorce action.

COMMENT [ADD #8 AND RENUMBER THE COMMENTS]

Identifying Conflicts of Interest: Directly Adverse

[8] A lawyer who provides services as a third-party neutral mediator in a divorce action is required to fully disclose the role of a mediator in detail and with such clarity that an unrepresented party may understand the lawyer's function in the mediation, and shall obtain the parties informed consent in writing.

Rule 1.14. Client with Diminished Capacity.

- (a) When a client's capacity to make adequately considered decisions in connection with a representation is diminished, whether because of minority, mental impairment or for some other reason, the lawyer shall, as far as reasonably possible, maintain a normal client-lawyer relationship with the client.
- (b) When the lawyer reasonably believes that the client has diminished capacity, is at risk of substantial physical, financial or other harm unless action is taken and cannot adequately act in the client's own interest, the lawyer may take reasonably necessary protective action, including consulting with individuals or entities that have the ability to take action to protect the client and, in appropriate cases, seeking the appointment of a guardian ad litem, conservator or guardian.
- (c) Information relating to the representation of a client with diminished capacity is protected by Rule 1.6. When taking protective action pursuant to paragraph (b), the lawyer is impliedly authorized under Rule 1.6(a) to reveal information about the client, but only to the extent reasonably necessary to protect the client's interests.
- (d) When a lawyer/mediator reasonably believes that one of the parties has diminished capacity, is at risk of substantial physical, financial or other harm unless action is taken and cannot adequately act in the party's own interest, the mediator may take reasonably necessary protective action, including consulting with individuals or entities that have the ability to take action to protect the party.

Comment

- [1] The normal client-lawyer relationship is based on the assumption that the client, when properly advised and assisted, is capable of making decisions about important matters. When the client is a minor or suffers from a diminished mental capacity, however, maintaining the ordinary client-lawyer relationship may not be possible in all respects. In particular, a severely incapacitated person may have no power to make legally binding decisions. Nevertheless, a client with diminished capacity often has the ability to understand, deliberate upon and reach conclusions about matters affecting the client's own well-being. For example, children as young as five or six years of age, and certainly those of ten or twelve, are regarded as having opinions that are entitled to weight in legal proceedings concerning their custody. So also, it is recognized that some persons of advanced age can be quite capable of handling routine financial matters while needing special legal protection concerning major transactions.
- [2] The fact that a client suffers a disability does not diminish the lawyer's obligation to treat the client with attention and respect. Even if the person has a legal representative, the lawyer should as far as possible accord the represented person the status of client, particularly in maintaining communication.
- [3] The client may wish to have family members or other persons participate in discussions with the lawyer. When necessary to assist in the representation, the presence of such persons generally does not affect the applicability of the attorney-client evidentiary privilege. Nevertheless, the lawyer must keep the client's interests foremost and, except for protective action authorized under paragraph (b),

must look to the client, and not family members, to make decisions on the client"s behalf.

- [4] If a legal representative has already been appointed for the client, the lawyer should ordinarily look to the representative for decisions on behalf of the client. In matters involving a minor, whether the lawyer should look to the parents as natural guardians may depend on the type of proceeding or matter in which the lawyer is representing the minor. If the lawyer represents the guardian as distinct from the ward, and is aware that the guardian is acting adversely to the ward's interest, the lawyer may have an obligation to prevent or rectify the guardian's misconduct. See Rule 1.2(d). Taking Protective Action
- [5] If a lawyer reasonably believes that a client is at risk of substantial physical, financial or other harm unless action is taken, and that a normal client-lawyer relationship cannot be maintained as provided in paragraph (a) because the client lacks sufficient capacity to communicate or to make adequately considered decisions in connection with the representation, then paragraph (b) permits the lawyer to take protective measures deemed necessary. Such measures could include: consulting with family members, using a reconsideration period to permit clarification or improvement of circumstances, using voluntary surrogate decision-making tools such as durable powers of attorney or consulting with support groups, professional services, adult-protective agencies or other individuals or entities that have the ability to protect the client. In taking any protective action, the lawyer should be guided by such factors as the wishes and values of the client to the extent known, the client''s best interests and the goals of intruding into the client''s decision-making autonomy to the least extent feasible, maximizing client capacities and respecting the client''s family and social connections.
- [6] In determining the extent of the client's diminished capacity, the lawyer should consider and balance such factors as: the client's ability to articulate reasoning leading to a decision, variability of state of mind and ability to appreciate consequences of a decision; the substantive fairness of a decision; and the consistency of a decision with the known long-term commitments and values of the client. In appropriate circumstances, the lawyer may seek guidance from an appropriate diagnostician.
- [7] If a legal representative has not been appointed, the lawyer should consider whether appointment of a guardian ad litem, conservator or guardian is necessary to protect the client's interests. Thus, if a client with diminished capacity has substantial property that should be sold for the client's benefit, effective completion of the transaction may require appointment of a legal representative. In addition, rules of procedure in litigation sometimes provide that minors or persons with diminished capacity must be represented by a guardian or next friend if they do not have a general guardian. In many circumstances, however, appointment of a legal representative may be more expensive or traumatic for the client than circumstances in fact require. Evaluation of such circumstances is a matter entrusted to the professional judgment of the lawyer. In considering alternatives, however, the lawyer should be aware of any law that requires the lawyer to advocate the least restrictive action on behalf of the client.

Disclosure of the Client's Condition

[8] Disclosure of the client's diminished capacity could adversely affect the client's interests. For example, raising the question of diminished capacity could, in some circumstances, lead to

proceedings for involuntary commitment. Information relating to the representation is protected by Rule 1.6. Therefore, unless authorized to do so, the lawyer may not disclose such information. When taking protective action pursuant to paragraph (b), the lawyer is impliedly authorized to make the necessary disclosures, even when the client directs the lawyer to the contrary. Nevertheless, given the risks of disclosure, paragraph (c) limits what the lawyer may disclose in consulting with other individuals or entities or seeking the appointment of a legal representative. At the very least, the lawyer should determine whether it is likely that the person or entity consulted with will act adversely to the client''s interests before discussing matters related to the client. The lawyer''s position in such cases is an unavoidably difficult one.

Emergency Legal Assistance

[9] In an emergency where the health, safety or a financial interest of a person with seriously diminished capacity is threatened with imminent and irreparable harm, a lawyer may take legal action on behalf of such a person even though the person is unable to establish a client-lawyer relationship or to make or express considered judgments about the matter, when the person or another acting in good faith on that person's behalf has consulted with the lawyer. Even in such an emergency, however, the lawyer should not act unless the lawyer reasonably believes that the person has no other lawyer, agent or other representative available. The lawyer should take legal action on behalf of the person only to the extent reasonably necessary to maintain the status quo or otherwise avoid imminent and irreparable harm. A lawyer who undertakes to represent a person in such an exigent situation has the same duties under these Rules as the lawyer would with respect to a client.

[10] A lawyer who acts on behalf of a person with seriously diminished capacity in an emergency should keep the confidences of the person as if dealing with a client, disclosing them only to the extent necessary to accomplish the intended protective action. The lawyer should disclose to any tribunal involved and to any other counsel involved the nature of his or her relationship with the person. The lawyer should take steps to regularize the relationship or implement other protective solutions as soon as possible. Normally, a lawyer would not seek compensation for such emergency actions taken.

Rule 1.16. Declining or terminating representation.

- (a) Except as stated in paragraph (c), a lawyer shall not represent a client or, where representation has commenced, shall withdraw from the representation of a client if:
- (a)(1) the representation will result in violation of the rules of professional conduct or other law;
- (a)(2) the lawyer's physical or mental condition materially impairs the lawyer's ability to represent the client; or
- (a)(3) the lawyer is discharged.
- (b) Except as stated in paragraph (c), a lawyer may withdraw from representing a client if:
- (b)(1) withdrawal can be accomplished without material adverse effect on the interests of the client .
- (b)(2) the client persists in a course of action involving the lawyer's services that the lawyer reasonably believes is criminal or fraudulent;
- (b)(3) the client has used the lawyer's services to perpetrate a crime or fraud;
- (b)(4) the client insists upon taking action that the lawyer considers repugnant or with which the lawyer has a fundamental disagreement;
- (b)(5) the client fails substantially to fulfill an obligation to the lawyer regarding the lawyer's services and has been given reasonable warning that the lawyer will withdraw unless the obligation is fulfilled;
- (b)(6) the representation will result in an unreasonable financial burden on the lawyer or has been rendered unreasonably difficult by the client; or
- (b)(7) other good cause for withdrawal exists.
- (c) A lawyer must comply with applicable law requiring notice to or permission of a tribunal when terminating a representation. When ordered to do so by a tribunal, a lawyer shall continue representation notwithstanding good cause for terminating the representation.
- (d) Upon termination of representation, a lawyer shall take steps to the extent reasonably practicable to protect a client's interests, such as giving reasonable notice to the client, allowing time for employment of other counsel, surrendering papers and property to which the client is entitled and refunding any advance payment of fee or expense that has not been earned or incurred. The lawyer must provide, upon request, the client's file to the client. The lawyer may reproduce and retain copies of the client file at the lawyer's expense.
- (e) A lawyer who is providing services as a third-party neutral mediator in a divorce action may terminate services in accordance with the applicable rules governing Alternative Dispute Resolution.

Comment

Rule 2.4. Lawyer Serving as Third-Party Neutral.

- (a) A lawyer serves as a third-party neutral when the lawyer assists two or more persons who are not clients of the lawyer to reach a resolution of a dispute or other matter that has arisen between them. Service as a third-party neutral may include service as an arbitrator, a mediator or in such other capacity as will enable the lawyer to assist the parties to resolve the matter.
- (b) A lawyer serving as a third-party neutral shall inform unrepresented parties that the lawyer is not representing them. When the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that a party does not understand the lawyer's role in the matter, the lawyer shall explain the difference between the lawyer's role as a third-party neutral and a lawyer's role as one who represents a client.
- (c) A lawyer who provides services as a third-party neutral mediator in a divorce action may, after the parties reach a full and final settlement of issues in their divorce action, prepare and file with the court the final documents necessary to conclude the divorce action.

Comment

- [1] Alternative dispute resolution has become a substantial part of the civil justice system. Aside from representing clients in dispute-resolution processes, lawyers often serve as third-party neutrals. A third-party neutral is a person, such as a mediator, arbitrator, conciliator or evaluator, who assists the parties, represented or unrepresented, in the resolution of a dispute or in the arrangement of a transaction. Whether a third-party neutral serves primarily as a facilitator, evaluator or decisionmaker depends on the particular process that is either selected by the parties or mandated by a court.
- [2] The role of a third-party neutral is not unique to lawyers, although, in some court-connected contexts, only lawyers are allowed to serve in this role or to handle certain types of cases. In performing this role, the lawyer may be subject to court rules or other law that apply either to third-party neutrals generally or to lawyers serving as third-party neutrals. Lawyer-neutrals may also be subject to various codes of ethics, such as the Code of Ethics for Arbitration in Commercial Disputes prepared by a joint committee of the American Bar Association and the American Arbitration Association or the Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators jointly prepared by the American Bar Association, the American Arbitration Association and the Society of Professionals in Dispute Resolution.
- [3] Unlike nonlawyers who serve as third-party neutrals, lawyers serving in this role may experience unique problems as a result of differences between the role of a third-party neutral and a lawyer's service as a client representative. The potential for confusion is significant when the parties are unrepresented in the process. Thus, paragraph (b) requires a lawyer-neutral to inform unrepresented parties that the lawyer is not representing them. For some parties, particularly parties who frequently use dispute-resolution processes, this information will be sufficient. For others, particularly those who are using the process for the first time, more information will be required. Where appropriate, the lawyer should inform unrepresented parties of the important differences between the lawyer's role as third-party neutral and a lawyer's role as a client representative, including the inapplicability of the attorney-client evidentiary privilege. The extent of disclosure required under this paragraph will depend on the particular parties involved and the subject matter of the proceeding, as well as the particular features of the dispute-resolution process selected.

- [4] A lawyer who serves as a third-party neutral subsequently may be asked to serve as a lawyer representing a client in the same matter. The conflicts of interest that arise for both the individual lawyer and the lawyer's law firm are addressed in Rule 1.12.
- [5] Lawyers who represent clients in alternative dispute-resolution processes are governed by the Rules of Professional Conduct. When the dispute-resolution process takes place before a tribunal, as in binding arbitration (see Rule 1.0(m)), the lawyer's duty of candor is governed by Rule 3.3. Otherwise, the lawyer's duty of candor toward both the third-party neutral and other parties is governed by Rule 4.1.
- [6] As a matter of public policy, to expedite the process, reduce expenses to the parties and comply with the societies preference toward mediation and away from litigation, a lawyer who provides services as a mediator in a divorce action to unrepresented parties may prepare and file with the court the final documents consistent with the terms of the final settlement arrived at by the parties to the divorce action.

Rule 3.7. Lawyer as Witness.

- (a) A lawyer shall not act as advocate at a trial in which the lawyer is likely to be a necessary witness unless:
- (a)(1) the testimony relates to an uncontested issue;
- (a)(2) the testimony relates to the nature and value of legal services rendered in the case; or
- (a)(3) disqualification of the lawyer would work substantial hardship on the client.
- (b) A lawyer may act as advocate in a trial in which another lawyer in the lawyer's firm is likely to be called as a witness unless precluded from doing so by Rule 1.7 or Rule 1.9.
- (c) A lawyer who serves as a third-party neutral mediator in a divorce action shall not act as a witness in a subsequent proceeding involving the same parties.

Comment

[1] Combining the roles of advocate and witness can prejudice the tribunal and the opposing party and can also involve a conflict of interest between the lawyer and client.

Advocate-Witness Rule

- [2] The tribunal has proper objection when the trier of fact may be confused or misled by a lawyer serving as both advocate and witness. The opposing party has proper objection where the combination of roles may prejudice that party's rights in the litigation. A witness is required to testify on the basis of personal knowledge, while an advocate is expected to explain and comment on evidence given by others. It may not be clear whether a statement by an advocate-witness should be taken as proof or as an analysis of the proof.
- [3] To protect the tribunal, paragraph (a) prohibits a lawyer from simultaneously serving as advocate and necessary witness except in those circumstances specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3). Paragraph (a)(1) recognizes that if the testimony will be uncontested, the ambiguities in the dual role are purely theoretical. Paragraph (a)(2) recognizes that where the testimony concerns the extent and value of legal services rendered in the action in which the testimony is offered, permitting the lawyers to testify avoids the need for a second trial with new counsel to resolve that issue. Moreover, in such a situation the judge has firsthand knowledge of the matter in issue; hence, there is less dependence on the adversary process to test the credibility of the testimony.
- [4] Apart from these two exceptions, paragraph (a)(3) recognizes that a balancing is required between the interests of the client and those of the tribunal and the opposing party. Whether the tribunal is likely to be misled or the opposing party is likely to suffer prejudice depends on the nature of the case, the importance and probable tenor of the lawyer's testimony, and the probability that the lawyer's testimony will conflict with that of other witnesses. Even if there is risk of such prejudice, in determining whether the lawyer should be disqualified, due regard must be given to the effect of disqualification on the lawyer's client. It is relevant that one or both parties could reasonably foresee that the lawyer would probably be a witness. The conflict of interest principles stated in Rules 1.7, 1.9 and 1.10 have no application to this aspect of the problem.