MINUTES OF THE SUPREME COURT'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

Law and Justice Center 645 South 200 East Salt Lake City, UT June 1, 2009 5:00 pm

<u>ATTENDEES</u> <u>EXCUSED</u>

Robert Burton, Chair

Judge Stephen Roth

Billy Walker

Matty Branch
Gary Chrystler
Nayer Honarvar
Steve Johnson

Gary Sackett
Stuart Schultz
John Soltis
Paula Smith

Judge Paul Maughan Judge Mark May Leslie Van Frank Paul Veasy

Judge Mark Ma Kent Roche

Earl Wunderli

1. WELCOME AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Burton welcomed the members of the committee. He asked if there were any corrections to the minutes of the December 15, 2008, meeting. Mr. Wunderli moved to approve the minutes. Mr. Roche seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

2. COMMITTEE MEMBERS DISCLOSURE OF NATURE OF LEGAL PRACTICE

Pursuant to the requirement of Rule 11-101(4) of the Supreme Court Rules of Professional Practice, and since the June 1, 2009, committee meeting was the committee's first meeting in 2009, Mr. Burton asked each member to briefly disclose the general nature of his or her legal practice. Each member present at the meeting made such a disclosure.

3. <u>REVIEW AND DISCUSSION OF COMMENTS RECEIVED AS TO PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO RULE 14-510</u>

Mr. Burton advised the committee that he, Billy Walker, and Art Berger, chair of the Ethics and Discipline Committee, met with the Supreme Court on February 11, 2009, to present the proposed amendments to Rule 14-510. At that time, the Court approved publication of the amendments for comment.

Ms. Branch advised that only two comments were received following publication of the proposed amendments to Rule 14-510. Comments were received from David Pena and Susan Rose.

Mr. Burton said that Mr. Pena's objection to the proposed amendments was that the complainant should be given the right to take exception to a screening panel recommendation. Mr. Sackett said that giving the complainant such a right would turn the system upside down, and that it would be comparable to giving the victim in a criminal case the right to appeal if he or she did not like the court's ruling in the criminal case. Judge Roth said he believed Mr. Pena raised a legitimate complaint but that the right of review needs to be limited or the process becomes too burdensome. Ms. Honarvar said she had worked for OPC in the past, and during that time, somewhere around 25% of the complaints were frivolous, and that permitting complainants who submitted frivolous complaints to take an exception would definitely be burdensome. Judge Maughan made the motion that no changes be made to the rule based upon Mr. Pena's comment. Mr. Sackett seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

Mr. Burton asked the committee to review Ms. Rose's comments. Mr. Sackett said that her comments concerning 14-510(a)(2), (3) and (4) should not be considered because they dealt with aspects of the rule that the committee had not even addressed. Mr. Roche said that he thought Ms. Rose's comments were not balanced and should be rejected out of hand. Mr. Sackett said that he thought the only comment having any merit was the one concerning whether it was a conflict for OPC to act as secretary to the Ethics and Discipline Committee. After discussion, Mr. Johnson moved that the Committee take no action as to this comment. Mr. Roche seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

Mr. Burton said that he agreed with Ms. Rose's suggestion that the 21 days notice provision of (b)(2) be changed to 30 days, and he moved that the provision be amended accordingly. Mr. Johnson seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

The Committee discussed Ms. Rose's suggestion that the standard in attorney discipline cases should be clear and convincing. Judge Roth said that the Committee had considered the issue in its earlier deliberations and had rejected such a standard. Judge Maughan made the motion that other than the change in subsection (b)(2) from 21 days to 30 days, Rule 14-510 as published for comment should be recommended to the Supreme Court for adoption. Mr. Johnson seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

4. OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Burton said there were no assignments currently pending before the committee, and that committee members would be notified when a future meeting needed to be scheduled.

6/1/09 Rules y Professional Conduct Excused
Billy walker Phesent Kent Roche B&b Buton Paula Smith Earl Wunderl, Stere Johns Leslie VanFrank gary Sackett Paul Wasy Gen Chryste Jose May Judy Marghan Nayer Honara Judge Roth Strant Schultz Soltis Carpbel ith requirements y new Memaky Earl word to gepow Such Kent Rocke Officed manimons

Bub next with Billy Waller, Art Berger West with Court in OPC have right approved versom without night a speed -Then Jim 6.15m contacted Bib about for's openhal rever a orc Paril Pera Use to be attry who agains y OPC
Thinks cay land shall have right to talk except a screening fund recommendation quasi commed-peron defety the Screeny panel necommendate
Viction doesn't get to appel in com

car it doesn't like giving confaint eight to alview would fun system ys. He down ford thinks has a legitimate clarm can by

bry malp look air or folicy issue applarance issue Poth rised legit mate anglaint - committees
but thinks need some limitating in it
changes nature or process too enucl

Nager worker for OPC years ago 25% of captants were very Privotory - it all the feelle can fed i'm count Creptes Dunden for cont Maughan - most pumpting irregularly that is what to ug beenlois Sax Susan Pose has perdy othing issues Maryhan Morca not make as chaze to rule bush upn tina's comment sicht seur Un ani mous

Susan Rose comment Committee didn't deel vite (a)(1)
(a)(2), (2),
(4) pouisin Wasn't part of change Rock-net a bolared comment. Junks we Shared reject Sacrett of me comment might have ment / OPC is Sec. to Ethics + pisciplue Countle thought but not proposing they well haved a mis Stree John moved don't act up that commo manimons - disnegare

But m me p exhl soap (bxz). B Sters. Second Manihor: Chay to 30 fm Mayta move to trule as and adopti with 30 day change Stredomin seconde note Unanimous granoved no other bysines
- not sud usignate Will cutat as to firm may scholar