# MINUTES OF THE SUPREME COURT'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

Matheson Courthouse 450 So. State Salt Lake City, UT May 16, 2005 4:30 p.m.

#### **ATTENDEES**

#### **EXCUSED/ABSENT**

None

Robert Burton, chair Judge Stephen Roth Gary Chrystler **Gary Sackett** Judge Royal Hansen Stuart Schultz Nayer Honavar Paula Smith John Soltis Judge Fred Howard Steven Johnson Billy Walker Judge Paul Maughan Earl Wunderli Kent Roche Matty Branch

### 1. <u>WELCOME AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES</u>

Mr. Burton welcomed the members of the committee. Mr. Wunderli requested the following corrections to the minutes of the February 23, 2005, meeting

- (a) as to Rule 1.12(c) )(12), the phrase "privilege of this rule" should be changed to "provisions of this rule."
- (b) as to Rule 5.4, quotation marks should be added at the end of the first sentence.

Mr. Soltis also pointed out that he had attended the February 23 meeting, but this was not reflected in the minutes. Subject to the above-described corrections, Mr. Wunderli moved to approve the minutes. Ms. Honarvar seconded the motion, and the minutes were approved unanimously.

#### 2. PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO PRO HAC VICE RULE

Mr. Chrystler advised that, after review of the relevant materials, he and Judge Howard recommended that Utah's pro hac vice rule, Rule 11-302(d) of the Supreme Court Rules of Professional Practice, be amended to allow waiver of the fee for out-of-state attorneys who are employees of the federal government representing the United States of America. Mr. Chrystler indicated that their recommendation was based upon the following:

1. The questionable constitutionality under the supremacy clause of the current rule.

- 2. The minimal loss of revenue to the Utah State Bar should the federal government attorney exemption be added.
- 3. The Utah State Bar's desire that an amendment be made to the existing rule to provide such an exemption.

The subcommittee also recommended that the current pro hac vice rule be amended to exempt out-of-state attorneys representing indigent clients on a pro bono basis from the fee. Judge Howard moved that Rule 11-302(d) be amended to add the following language:

"Attorneys who are employees of and representing the United States of America or any of its departments or agencies and attorneys representing indigent clients on a pro bono basis shall be exempt from the fee."

Ms. Honarvar seconded the motion, and it passed unanimously.

# 3. PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT TO REQUIRE UTAH STANDARDS OF PROFESSIONALISM AND CIVILITY TO BE SENT TO CLIENTS WITH ENGAGEMENT LETTERS

Judge Hansen advised the committee that the Supreme Court's Advisory Committee on Professionalism, upon the recommendation of its liaisons, wanted this committee to consider an amendment to the Rules of Professional Conduct that would require the Utah Standards of Professionalism and Civility to be sent to clients with the engagement letters. Judge Hansen said he had conferred with Judge Maughan, Billy Walker, and Gary Sackett, and it was their view that such a requirement should not be included in a rule but might be referred to in a comment to a rule. Judge Hansen recommended that the following language be added as a final comment to Rule 1.2, Scope of Representation:

"Lawyers are encouraged to advise clients that their representations are guided by the Utah Standards of Professionalism and Civility and to provide a copy to their clients."

Judge Maughan moved that the proposed language be added as the final comment to Rule 1.2. Mr. Johnson seconded the motion, and it passed 14 in favor, 1 opposed.

Mr. Walker questioned whether language referring to the Standards of Professionalism and Civility should be added to the Comment to Rule 8.4(d). Mr. Burton stated that since Rule 8.4 deals with misconduct, it seemed inappropriate to make a reference to the Standards which are aspirational in nature.

## 4. <u>COMMENTS RECEIVED AS TO PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT</u>

Ms. Branch distributed copies of all comments submitted to date to each member of the committee. She stated that the comment period extends through June 6, 2005, and that she will provide committee members with copies of any further comments received. Mr. Burton asked each subcommittee to review any comments submitted that relate to a rule the subcommittee worked on, and to come to the June 20<sup>th</sup> meeting prepared to respond to the comments.

### 5. <u>NEXT MEETING</u>

Monday, June 20, 2005, 4:30 p.m., Law and Justice Center.

5/16/05 Professione Conduct Approved subject to charles Check Rde 1. 12(c) 2 check with privation and John add John Sottis as atterly Earl offered corrections wholese mor -Protectice Rule Bar(Fox) would like Gan Chrystler Bar(Fox) would like rule to be charged recombined adoption for 3 reasons street souls occurs in com care possible further awaker & selan exert ant-of-oute Present Ham Roth I some states fearet - Model Robe dets Rocke Sachet Burton worker Smitn all or any gita exercis or departments Howar Chrysler include us attorp; proboro

J. Hondal movel; Nayer Searded
wanter nove Johan waller/ Schultz

I. Harsen recommendation from S. (+)

Remotter on Purposin Cuilib feet place ( lotty rules gre nt plan In Streat Ade 1.2 -comment help give no mardatos dos Standard witer distribution" awareness Solfs ade rekel about mitreatment/nevilab to fact witnesses gan Chryster-world be before it you have a difficult client- my provide as out the clients Why do we need comment - since candogine Chrystler-Rule 8.4d) connents - Billy Waller raisel isten of adding long rate comments

buton 8.4 - ded with niscalat so dedn't think aspended language shouldn't for

futher converts distribute to all