- 1 Rule 613. Witness's Prior Statement.
- 2 (a) Showing or Disclosing the Statement During Examination. When examining a
- 3 witness about the witness's prior statement, a party need not show it or disclose its
- 4 contents to the witness. But the party must, on request, show it or disclose its contents to
- 5 an adverse party's attorney.
- 6 (b) Extrinsic Evidence of a Prior Inconsistent Statement. <u>Unless the court orders</u>
- 7 <u>otherwise</u>, <u>Ee</u>xtrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent statement <u>may not be</u>
- 8 <u>admitted until after is admissible only if</u> the witness is given an opportunity to explain
- 9 or deny the statement and an adverse party is given an opportunity to examine the
- 10 witness about it, or if justice so requires. This subdivision paragraph (b) does not apply
- 11 to an opposing party's statement under Rule 801(d)(2).
- 12 *Effective: --/--/----*
- 13
- 14 <u>2024 Advisory Committee Note.</u> The language of paragraph (b) has been amended in
- 15 <u>conformity with recent amendments to the federal rule.</u>
- 16 **2011 Advisory Committee Note.** The language of this rule has been amended as part of
- 17 the restyling of the Evidence Rules to make them more easily understood and to make
- 18 class and terminology consistent throughout the rules. These changes are intended to be
- 19 stylistic only. There is no intent to change any result in any ruling on evidence
- 20 admissibility. This rule is the federal rule, verbatim.
- 21 **Original Advisory Committee Note.** This rule is the federal rule, verbatim. Subsection
- 22 (a) abandons the position in Queens Case, 129 English Reports 976 (1820), requiring that
- 23 the cross-examiner, prior to examining a witness about his written statement, must first
- 24 show the statement to the witness and is comparable to the substance of Rule 22(a), Utah
- 25 Rules of Evidence (1971). The substance of Subsection (b) was formerly in Rule 22(b),
- 26 Utah Rules of Evidence (1971).