RPC08.04. Amend

1

Rule 8.4. Misconduct.

It is professional misconduct for a lawyer to: 2 (a) violate or attempt to violate the Rules of Professional Conduct, knowingly assist 3 or induce another to do so, or do so through the acts of another; 4 (b) commit a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, 5 trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects; 6 7 (c) engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation, except that a lawyer may participate in lawful investigatory activities employing 8 deception for the purpose of detecting ongoing violations of law; 9 (d) engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice; 10 (e) state or imply an ability to influence improperly a government agency or official 11 or to achieve results by means that violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or 12 other law; or 13 (f) knowingly assist a judge or judicial officer in conduct that is a violation of 14 applicable rules of judicial conduct or other law. 15 Comment 16 [1] Lawyers are subject to discipline when they violate or attempt to violate the Rules of 17 Professional Conduct or knowingly assist or induce another to do so through the acts of 18 another, as when they request or instruct an agent to do so on the lawyer's behalf. 19 Paragraph (a), however, does not prohibit a lawyer from advising a client concerning 20 action the client is legally entitled to take. 21 [1a] An act of professional misconduct under Rule 8.4(b), (c), (d), (e), or (f) cannot be 22 counted as a separate violation of Rule 8.4(a) for the purpose of determining sanctions. 23 Conduct that violates other Rules of Professional Conduct, however, may be a violation 24

of Rule 8.4(a) for the purpose of determining sanctions.

Redline

[2] Many kinds of illegal conduct reflect adversely on fitness to practice law, such as 26 offenses involving fraud and the offense of willful failure to file an income tax return. 27 However, some kinds of offenses carry no such implication. Traditionally, the 28 29 distinction was drawn in terms of offenses involving "moral turpitude." That concept can be construed to include offenses concerning some matters of personal morality, 30 31 such as adultery and comparable offenses, that have no specific connection to fitness for 32 the practice of law. Although a lawyer is personally answerable to the entire criminal 33 law, a lawyer should be professionally answerable only for offenses that indicate lack of those characteristics relevant to law practice. Offenses involving violence, dishonesty, 34 breach of trust or serious interference with the administration of justice are in that 35 category. A pattern of repeated offenses, even ones of minor significance when 36 considered separately, can indicate indifference to legal obligation. 37 [2a] Paragraph (c) provides a safe harbor for attorneys who engage in lawful covert 38 operations, often in criminal investigations or investigations involving suspected 39 violations of constitutional rights or civil law. Examples covered by this rule are 40 governmental "sting" operations; use of testers in fair-housing cases to determine 41 whether landlords or real estate agents discriminate against protected classes of 42 applicants; and gathering evidence of copyright violations. These are legitimate 43 activities that benefit the common good and that courts and commentators have long 44 45 recognized do not violate ethics rules. The safe harbor does not apply when a lawyer 46 uses deception to violate others' constitutional rights or directs others to do so, and it 47 does not change the lawyer's obligations for candor and fairness under Rules 3.3 and 48 3.4.

[3] A lawyer who, in the course of representing a client, knowingly manifests by words
or conduct bias or prejudice based upon race, sex, religion, national origin, disability,
age, sexual orientation, or socioeconomic status, violates paragraph (d) when such
actions are prejudicial to the administration of justice. Legitimate advocacy respecting
the foregoing factors does not violate paragraph (d). A trial judge's finding that

- 54 peremptory challenges were exercised on a discriminatory basis does not alone55 establish a violation of this rule.
- 56 [3a] The Standards of Professionalism and Civility approved by the Utah Supreme
- 57 Court are intended to improve the administration of justice. An egregious violation or a
- 58 pattern of repeated violations of the Standards of Professionalism and Civility may
- 59 support a finding that the lawyer has violated paragraph (d).
- 60 [4] A lawyer may refuse to comply with an obligation imposed by law upon a good
- 61 faith belief that no valid obligation exists. The provisions of Rule 1.2(d) concerning a
- 62 good faith challenge to the validity, scope, meaning or application of the law apply to
- 63 challenges of legal regulation of the practice of law.
- 64 [5] Lawyers holding public office assume legal responsibilities going beyond those of
- other citizens. A lawyer's abuse of public office can suggest an inability to fulfill the
- 66 professional role of lawyers. The same is true of abuse of positions of private trust such
- 67 as trustee, executor, administrator, guardian, agent and officer, director or manager of a
- 68 corporation or other organization.
- 69 [6] This rule differs from ABA Model Rule 8.4.