1 Rule 1.2. Scope of representation and allocation of authority between client and

2 lawyer. Licensed paralegal practitioner notice to be displayed.

3

- 4 (a) Subject to paragraphs (c) and (d), a lawyer shall abide by a client's decisions
- 5 concerning the objectives of representation and, as required by <u>Rule 1.4</u>, shall consult
- 6 with the client as to the means by which they are to be pursued. A lawyer may take
- 7 such action on behalf of the client as is impliedly authorized to carry out the
- 8 representation. A lawyer shall abide by a client's decision whether to settle a matter. In
- 9 a criminal case, the lawyer shall abide by the client's decision, after consultation with
- the lawyer, as to a plea to be entered, whether to waive jury trial and whether the client
- 11 will testify.
- 12 (b) A lawyer's representation of a client, including representation by appointment, does
- 13 not constitute an endorsement of the client's political, economic, social or moral views
- or activities.
- 15 (c) A lawyer may limit the scope of the representation if the limitation is reasonable
- under the circumstances and the client gives informed consent.
- 17 (d) A lawyer shall not counsel a client to engage, or assist a client, in conduct that the
- 18 lawyer knows is criminal or fraudulent, but a lawyer may discuss the legal
- 19 consequences of any proposed course of conduct with a client and may counsel or assist
- 20 a client to make a good faith effort to determine the validity, scope, meaning or
- 21 application of the law.
- 22 (e) A licensed paralegal practitioner shall conspicuously display in the licensed
- paralegal practitioner's office a notice that shall be at least 12 by 20 inches with boldface
- 24 <u>type or print with each character at least one inch in height and width that contains a</u>
- 25 <u>statement that the licensed paralegal practitioner is not a lawyer licensed to provide</u>
- 26 <u>legal services without limitation.</u>
- 27 Comment
- 28 Allocation of Authority between Client and Lawyer

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

[1] Paragraph (a) confers upon the client the ultimate authority to determine the purposes to be served by legal representation, within the limits imposed by law and the lawyer's professional obligations. The decisions specified in paragraph (a), such as whether to settle a civil matter, must also be made by the client. See Rule 1.4(a)(1) for the lawyer's duty to communicate with the client about such decisions. With respect to the means by which the client's objectives are to be pursued, the lawyer shall consult with the client as required by $\underline{\text{Rule } 1.4(a)(2)}$ and may take such action as is impliedly authorized to carry out the representation. [2] On occasion, however, a lawyer and a client may disagree about the means to be used to accomplish the client's objectives. Clients normally defer to the special knowledge and skill of their lawyer with respect to the means to be used to accomplish their objectives, particularly with respect to technical, legal and tactical matters. Conversely, lawyers usually defer to the client regarding such questions as the expense to be incurred and concern for third persons who might be adversely affected. Because of the varied nature of the matters about which a lawyer and client might disagree and because the actions in question may implicate the interests of a tribunal or other persons, this Rule does not prescribe how such disagreements are to be resolved. Other law, however, may be applicable and should be consulted by the lawyer. The lawyer should also consult with the client and seek a mutually acceptable resolution of the disagreement. If such efforts are unavailing and the lawyer has a fundamental disagreement with the client, the lawyer may withdraw from the representation. See Rule 1.16(b)(4). Conversely, the client may resolve the disagreement by discharging the lawyer. See Rule 1.16(a)(3). [3] At the outset of a representation, the client may authorize the lawyer to take specific action on the client's behalf without further consultation. Absent a material change in circumstances and subject to Rule 1.4, a lawyer may rely on such an advance authorization. The client may, however, revoke such authority at any time. [4] In a case in which the client appears to be suffering diminished capacity, the lawyer's duty to abide by the client's decisions is to be guided by reference to <u>Rule 1.14</u>.

Independence from Client's Views or Activities

[5] Legal representation should not be denied to people who are unable to afford legal services or whose cause is controversial or the subject of popular disapproval. By the same token, representing a client does not constitute approval of the client's views or activities.

Agreements Limiting Scope of Representation

[6] The scope of services to be provided by a lawyer may be limited by agreement with the client or by the terms under which the lawyer's services are made available to the client. When a lawyer has been retained by an insurer to represent an insured, for example, the representation may be limited to matters related to the insurance coverage. A limited representation may be appropriate because the client has limited objectives for the representation. In addition, the terms upon which representation is undertaken may exclude specific means that might otherwise be used to accomplish the client's objectives. Such limitations may exclude actions that the client thinks are too costly or that the lawyer regards as repugnant or imprudent.

[7] Although this Rule affords the lawyer and client substantial latitude to limit the representation, the limitation must be reasonable under the circumstances. If, for example, a client's objective is limited to securing general information about the law the client needs in order to handle a common and typically uncomplicated legal problem, the lawyer and client may agree that the lawyer's services will be limited to a brief telephone consultation. Such a limitation, however, would not be reasonable if the time allotted were not sufficient to yield advice upon which the client could rely. Although an agreement for a limited representation does not exempt a lawyer from the duty to provide competent representation, the limitation is a factor to be considered when determining the legal knowledge, skill, thoroughness and preparation reasonably necessary for the representation. See Rule 1.1.

[8] All agreements concerning a lawyer's representation of a client must accord with the Rules of Professional Conduct and other law. See, e.g., <u>Rules 1.1</u>, <u>1.8</u> and <u>5.6</u>.

Criminal, Fraudulent and Prohibited Transactions

[9] Paragraph (d) prohibits a lawyer from knowingly counseling or assisting a client 87 to commit a crime or fraud. This prohibition, however, does not preclude the lawyer 88 from giving an honest opinion about the actual consequences that appear likely to result 89 from a client's conduct. Nor does the fact that a client uses advice in a course of action 90 that is criminal or fraudulent of itself make a lawyer a party to the course of action. 91 92 There is a critical distinction between presenting an analysis of legal aspects of 93 questionable conduct and recommending the means by which a crime or fraud might 94 be committed with impunity. [10] When the client's course of action has already begun and is continuing, the 95 lawyer's responsibility is especially delicate. The lawyer is required to avoid assisting 96 the client, for example, by drafting or delivering documents that the lawyer knows are 97 fraudulent or by suggesting how the wrongdoing might be concealed. A lawyer may 98 not continue assisting a client in conduct that the lawyer originally supposed was 99 legally proper but then discovers is criminal or fraudulent. The lawyer must, therefore, 100 withdraw from the representation of the client in the matter. See Rule 1.16(a). In some 101 cases, withdrawal alone might be insufficient. It may be necessary for the lawyer to give 102 notice of the fact of withdrawal and to disaffirm any opinion, document, affirmation or 103 the like. See Rule 4.1. 104 [11] Where the client is a fiduciary, the lawyer may be charged with special 105 obligations in dealings with a beneficiary. 106 107 [12] Paragraph (d) applies whether or not the defrauded party is a party to the 108 transaction. Hence, a lawyer must not participate in a transaction to effectuate criminal or fraudulent avoidance of tax liability. Paragraph (d) does not preclude undertaking a 109 criminal defense incident to a general retainer for legal services to a lawful enterprise. 110 The last clause of paragraph (d) recognizes that determining the validity or 111 interpretation of a statute or regulation may require a course of action involving 112 disobedience of the statute or regulation or of the interpretation placed upon it by 113 governmental authorities. 114

RPC 0102 Amend. Redline.

<u>licensure status.</u>

Effective November 1, 2005

[13] If a lawyer comes to know or reasonably should know that a client expects
assistance not permitted by the Rules of Professional Conduct or other law or if the
lawyer intends to act contrary to the client's instructions, the lawyer must consult with
the client regarding the limitations on the lawyer's conduct. See $\underline{\text{Rule } 1.4(a)(5)}$.
[14] Lawyers are encouraged to advise their clients that their representations are
guided by the Utah Standards of Professionalism and Civility and to provide a copy to
their clients.
[14a] This rule differs from the ABA Model Rule by adding section (e) which
requires licensed paralegal practitioners to post a conspicuous notice of their limited

Draft: December 2, 2020.