DRAFT: 10/22/2018

## 1 Rule 4-409. Council Approval of Problem Solving Courts.

2 Intent:

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

31

- 3 To establish criteria for the creation and operation of problem solving courts, and to create a
- 4 process for ongoing reporting from and evaluation of problem solving courts.
- 5 **Applicability:**
- 6 This rule applies to all trial courts.

## Statement of the Rule:

- 8 (1) **Definitions**.
- 9 (1)(A) Applicant. As used in this rule, an applicant is the problem solving court judge, 10 court executive, or other representative of the problem solving court as 11 designated by the problem solving court judge.
  - (1)(B) Problem solving court. As used in these this rules, a problem solving court is a targeted calendar of similar type cases that uses a collaborative approach involving the court, treatment providers, case management, frequent testing or monitoring and ongoing judicial supervision. Examples include drug courts, mental health courts and domestic violence courts.
  - (2) Initial application. Prior to beginning operations, each proposed problem solving court must be approved by the Judicial Council and <a href="mailto:must\_agree">must\_agree</a> to comply with <a href="mailto:any published standards">any published standards</a> the requirements of this rule. An application packet, approved by the Judicial Council, shall be made available by the Administrative Office of the Courts. This packet must be submitted to the Council for approval by the applicant at least 90 days in advance of the proposed operation of a new court.
  - (3) **Annual Report.** Existing problem solving courts must annually submit a completed annual report on a form provided by the Administrative Office of the Courts.
- 25 (3)(A) Each problem solving court shall annually report at least the following:
  26 (3)(A)(i) The number of participants admitted in the most recent year;
  27 (3)(A)(ii) The number of participants removed in the most recent year;
  28 (3)(A)(iii) The number of participants that graduated or completed the program
  29 in the most recent year; and
  30 (3)(A)(iv) Recidivism and relapse statistics for as long a period of time as is

available, but at least for one year. If the court has been in existence

32				for less than one year, then for the amount of time the court has been				
33				in existence.				
34	(4)	Grants. In addition to complying with the requirements of CJA Rule 3-411, an applicant						
35		shall no	tify the Judio	cial Council of any application for funds to operate a problem solving				
36		court, w	court, whether or not the court would be the direct recipient of the grant. This notification					
37		should be made before any application for funding is initiated.						
38	<u>(5)</u>	Requirements to Operate a Problem Solving Court. Operation of the problem						
39		solving	<del>court.</del> All p	roblem solving courts must shall be required to adhere to the following				
40		requirements, unless specifically waived by the Judicial Council:						
41		<u>(5)(A)</u>	Each probl	em solving court must adhere to the "Required Certification Criteria"				
42			outlined in	the respective Certification Checklist applicable to that problem				
43			solving cou	rt, as promulgated and amended and approved by the Judicial				
44			Council.					
45		<u>(5)(B)</u>	Each probl	em solving court must adhere to the "Presumed Certification Criteria"				
46			outlined in	the respective Certification Checklist applicable to that problem				
47			solving cou	rt, as promulgated and amended and approved by the Judicial				
48			Council, ur	<u>lless:</u>				
49			(5)(B)(i)	the program can show sufficient compensating measures; or				
50			(5)(B)(ii)	the Judicial Council specifically waives that requirement.				
51		<del>(5)(-)</del> ( <u>5)</u>	(C) To	commence participation in a problem solving court:				
52			<del>(5)(A)(i)</del> (5)	(C)(i) In a criminal proceeding, a plea must be entered before a				
53				person may participate in the court. Testing and orientation processes				
54				may be initiated prior to the plea, but no sanctions may be imposed				
55				until the plea is entered other than those which may be imposed in a				
56				criminal proceeding in which a person is released before trial. Prior to				
57				the acceptance of the plea, each participant must sign an agreement				
58				that outlines the expectations of the court and the responsibilities of				
59				the participant.				
60			<del>(5)(A)(ii)</del> (5)	(C)(ii) In juvenile dependency drug court, sanctions may not be				
61				imposed until the parent has signed an agreement that outlines the				
62				expectations of the court and the responsibilities of the participant.				
63		<del>(5)(B)</del>	Eligibility c	riteria must be written, and must include an assessment process that				
64			measures l	evels of addiction, criminality, and/or other appropriate criteria as a				
65			part of dete	ermining eligibility.				

	<del>(5)(C)</del>	The frequency of participation in judicial reviews will be based on the findings of
		the assessments. In rural areas, some allowance may be made for other
		appearances or administrative reviews when the judge is unavailable. Otherwise,
		judicial reviews should be conducted by the same judge each time.
	<del>(5)(D)</del>	Compliance testing must be conducted pursuant to a written testing protocol that
		ensures reliability of the test results.
	<del>(5)(E)</del>	Treatment must be provided by appropriately licensed or certified providers, as
		required by the Department of Human Services or other relevant licensure or
		certification entity.
	<del>(5)(F)</del>	Each problem solving court must have written policies and procedures that
		ensure confidentiality and security of participant information. These policies and
		procedures must conform to applicable state and federal laws, including the
		Government Records and Access Management Act, HIPAA, and 42 CFR 2.
	<del>(5)(G)</del>	Any fees assessed by the court must be pursuant to a fee schedule, must be
		disclosed to each participant and must be reasonably related to the costs of
		testing or other services.
	<del>(5)(H)</del>	Courts must conduct a staffing before each court session. At a minimum, the
		judge, a representative from treatment, prosecutor, defense attorney, and in
		dependency drug court a guardian ad litem, must be present at each court
		staffing.
	<del>(5)(I)</del>	At a minimum, the judge, a representative from treatment, prosecutor, defense
		attorney, and in dependency drug court a guardian ad litem, must be present at
		each court session.
	<del>(5)(J)</del>	Each court must be certified by the Judicial Council every two years. Certification
		requires all courts to meet the minimum requirements stated in this rule.
<u>(6)</u>	Certific	ation. Each problem solving court must be considered for certification by the
	<u>Judicial</u>	Council every two years. Each problem solving court shall cooperate with the
	<u>Judicial</u>	Council's certification review process.
	(6)(A)	Upon review, the Judicial Council may:
		(6)(A)(i) certify a problem solving court that adheres to all requirements as
		outlined in subsection (5) of this rule;
		(6)(A)(ii) de-certify a problem solving court that fails to adhere to one or more
		requirements as outlined in subsection (5) of this rule; or
	(6)	(5)(D) (5)(E) (5)(F) (5)(H) (5)(H) (5)(J) (6) Certific Judicial Judicial

99			(6)(A)(iii)	conditionally certify a problem solving court that fails to adhere to one		
100				or more requirements as outlined in subsection (5) of this rule;.		
101		(6)(B)	(6)(B) To de-certify or conditionally certify a problem solving court, the Judicial Council			
102			shall:			
103			(6)(B)(i)	inform the problem solving court of the requirement(s) that are not		
104				being adequately met; and		
105			(6)(B)(ii)	provide to the problem solving court an opportunity to respond		
106				regarding the requirement(s) that are not being adequately met.		
107		(6)(C)	In the eve	nt that the Judicial Council determines that the problem solving court		
108			should be	conditionally certified, the Judicial Council shall:		
109			(6)(C)(i)	outline specific conditions necessary for the problem solving court to		
110				meet in order to be certified; and		
111			(6)(C)(ii)	provide the problem solving court with a specific period of time in		
112				which to remedy any such deficiency.		
113		(6)(D)	In the eve	nt that a conditionally certified problem solving court fails to meet the		
114			conditions	outlined by the Judicial Council within the time allotted, the Judicial		
115			Council:			
116			(6)(D)(i)	shall de-certify the problem solving court; or		
117			(6)(D)(ii)	may extend the period of time to remedy any deficiency, for good		
118				cause shown.		
119	<del>(6)</del>	Evaluat	Evaluation and Reporting Requirements. Each problem solving court shall annually report			
120		at least the following:				
121		<del>(6)(A)</del>	The numb	per of participants admitted in the most recent year;		
122		<del>(6)(B)</del>	The numb	per of participants removed in the most recent year;		
123		<del>(6)(C)</del>	The numb	per of participants that graduated or completed the program in the most		
124			recent yea	<del>ar; and</del>		
125		<del>(6)(D)</del>	Recidivisr	n and relapse statistics for as long a period of time as is available, but		
126			at least fo	r one year. If the court has been in existence for less than one year,		
127			then for th	ne amount of time the court has been in existence.		
128	(7)	DUI Co	<b>urts.</b> The fo	ollowing courts are approved as DUI Courts: Riverdale Justice Court		
129		and other courts as may be approved by the Judicial Council in the future.				
130	(8)	Communications. A judge may initiate, permit, or consider communications, including ex				
131		parte co	ommunicati	ons, made as part of a case assigned to the judge in a problem-solving		
132		court, consistent with the signed agreement.				