- 1 Rule 26. General provisions governing disclosure and discovery.
- *Effective*: 5/7/20255/4/2022

- 3 (a) Disclosure. This rule applies unless changed or supplemented by a rule governing
- 4 disclosure and discovery in a practice area.
- **(1) Initial disclosures.** Except in cases exempt under paragraph (a)(3), a party must, without waiting for a discovery request, serve on the other parties:
- 7 (A) the name and, if known, the address and telephone number of:
 - (i) each individual likely to have discoverable information supporting its claims or defenses, unless solely for impeachment, identifying the subjects of the information; and
 - (ii) each fact witness the party may call in its case-in-chief and, except for an adverse party, a summary of the expected testimony;
 - (B) a copy of all documents, data compilations, electronically stored information, and tangible things in the possession or control of the party that the party may offer in its case-in-chief, except charts, summaries, and demonstrative exhibits that have not yet been prepared and must be disclosed in accordance with paragraph (a)(5);
 - (C) a computation of any damages claimed and a copy of all discoverable documents or evidentiary material on which such computation is based, including materials about the nature and extent of injuries suffered;
 - (D) a copy of any agreement under which any person may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment; and
 - (E) a copy of all documents to which a party refers in its pleadings.
- **(2) Timing of initial disclosures.** The disclosures required by paragraph (a)(1) must be served on the other parties:

27 28	(A) by a plaintiff within 14 days after the filing of the first answer to that plaintiff's complaint; and
29	(B) by a defendant within 42 days after the filing of that defendant's first answer
30	to the complaint.
31	(3) Exemptions.
32	(A) Unless otherwise ordered by the court or agreed to by the parties, the
33	requirements of paragraph (a)(1) do not apply to actions:
34	(i) for judicial review of adjudicative proceedings or rule making proceedings
35	of an administrative agency;
36	(ii) governed by Rule <u>65B</u> or Rule <u>65C</u> ;
37	(iii) to enforce an arbitration award; or
38	(iv) for water rights general adjudication under <u>Utah Code</u> <u>Title 73, Chapter</u>
39	4. Determination of Water Rights.
40	(B) In an exempt action, the matters subject to disclosure under paragraph (a)(1)
41	are subject to discovery under paragraph (b).
42	(4) Expert testimony.
43	(A) Disclosure of retained expert testimony. A party must, without waiting for a
14	discovery request, serve on the other parties the following information regarding
45	any person who may be used at trial to present evidence under Rule 702 of the
46	Utah Rules of Evidence and who is retained or specially employed to provide
1 7	expert testimony in the case or whose duties as an employee of the party
48	regularly involve giving expert testimony: (i) the expert's name and
19	qualifications, including a list of all publications authored within the preceding
50	10 years, and a list of any other cases in which the expert has testified as an
51	expert at trial or by deposition within the preceding four years, (ii) a brief
52	summary of the opinions to which the witness is expected to testify, (iii) the facts,

data, and other information specific to the case that will be relied upon by the witness in forming those opinions, and (iv) the compensation to be paid for the witness's study and testimony.

(B) Limits on expert discovery. Further discovery may be obtained from an expert witness either by deposition or by written report. A deposition must not exceed four hours and the party taking the deposition must pay the expert's reasonable hourly fees for attendance at the deposition. A report must be signed by the expert and must contain a complete statement of all opinions the expert will offer at trial and the basis and reasons for them. Such an expert may not testify in a party's case-in-chief concerning any matter not fairly disclosed in the report. The party offering the expert must pay the costs for the report.

(C) Timing for expert discovery.

- (i) The party who bears the burden of proof on the issue for which expert testimony is offered must serve on the other parties the information required by paragraph (a)(4)(A) within 14 days after the close of fact discovery. Within 14 days thereafter, the party opposing the expert may serve notice electing either a deposition of the expert pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(B) and Rule 30, or a written report pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(B). The deposition must occur, or the report must be served on the other parties, within 42 days after the election is served on the other parties. If no election is served on the other parties, then no further discovery of the expert must be permitted.
- (ii) The party who does not bear the burden of proof on the issue for which expert testimony is offered must serve on the other parties the information required by paragraph (a)(4)(A) within 14 days after the later of (A) the date on which the disclosure under paragraph (a)(4)(C)(i) is due, or (B) service of the written report or the taking of the expert's deposition pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(C)(i). Within 14 days thereafter, the party opposing the expert may serve notice electing either a deposition of the expert pursuant to

paragraph (a)(4)(B) and Rule 30, or a written report pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(B). The deposition must occur, or the report must be served on the other parties, within 42 days after the election is served on the other parties. If no election is served on the other parties, then no further discovery of the expert must be permitted.

(iii) If the party who bears the burden of proof on an issue wants to designate rebuttal expert witnesses, it must serve on the other parties the information required by paragraph (a)(4)(A) within 14 days after the later of (A) the date on which the election under paragraph (a)(4)(C)(ii) is due or (B) service of the written report or the taking of the expert's deposition pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(C)(ii). Within 14 days thereafter, the party opposing the expert may serve notice electing either a deposition of the expert pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(B) and Rule 30, or a written report pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(B). The deposition must occur, or the report must be served on the other parties, within 42 days after the election is served on the other parties. If no election is served on the other parties, then no further discovery of the expert must be permitted. The court may preclude an expert disclosed only as a rebuttal expert from testifying in the case in chief.

- **(D) Multiparty actions.** In multiparty actions, all parties opposing the expert must agree on either a report or a deposition. If all parties opposing the expert do not agree, then further discovery of the expert may be obtained only by deposition pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(B) and Rule <u>30</u>.
- **(E) Summary of non-retained expert testimony.** If a party intends to present evidence at trial under Rule <u>702</u> of the Utah Rules of Evidence from any person other than an expert witness who is retained or specially employed to provide testimony in the case or a person whose duties as an employee of the party regularly involve giving expert testimony, that party must serve on the other parties a written summary of the facts and opinions to which the witness is

expected to testify in accordance with the deadlines set forth in paragraph (a)(4)(C). Such a witness cannot be required to provide a report pursuant to paragraph (a)(4)(B). A deposition of such a witness may not exceed four hours and, unless manifest injustice would result, the party taking the deposition must pay the expert's reasonable hourly fees for attendance at the deposition.

(5) Pretrial disclosures.

- (A) A party must, without waiting for a discovery request, serve on the other parties:
 - (i) the name and, if not previously provided, the address and telephone number of each witness, unless solely for impeachment, separately identifying witnesses the party will call and witnesses the party may call;
 - (ii) the name of witnesses whose testimony is expected to be presented by transcript of a deposition;
 - (iii) designations of the proposed deposition testimony; and
 - (iv) a copy of each exhibit, including charts, summaries, and demonstrative exhibits, unless solely for impeachment, separately identifying those which the party will offer and those which the party may offer.
- (B) Disclosure required by paragraph (a)(5)(A) must be served on the other parties at least 28 days before trial. Disclosures required by paragraph (a)(5)(A)(i) and (a)(5)(A)(ii) must also be filed on the date that they are served. At least 14 days before trial, a party must serve any counter designations of deposition testimony and any objections and grounds for the objections to the use of any deposition, witness, or exhibit if the grounds for the objection are apparent before trial. Other than objections under Rules <u>402</u> and <u>403</u> of the Utah Rules of Evidence, other objections not listed are waived unless excused by the court for good cause.

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

(6) Form of disclosure and discovery production. Rule 34 governs the form in which all documents, data compilations, electronically stored information, tangible things, and evidentiary material should be produced under this Rule. (b) Discovery scope. (1) In general. Parties may discover any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the claim or defense of any party if the discovery satisfies the standards of proportionality set forth below. (2) Privileged matters. (A)Privileged matters that are not discoverable or admissible in any proceeding of any kind or character include: (i) all information in any form provided during and created specifically as part of a request for an investigation, the investigation, findings, or conclusions of peer review, care review, or quality assurance processes of any organization of health care providers as defined in Utah Code Title 78B, Chapter 3, Part 4, Utah Health Care Malpractice Act, for the purpose of evaluating care provided to reduce morbidity and mortality or to improve the quality of medical care, or for the purpose of peer review of the ethics, competence, or professional conduct of any health care provider; and (ii) except as provided in paragraph (b)(2)(C), (D), or (E), all communications, materials, and information in any form specifically created for or during a medical candor process under Utah Code Title 78B, Chapter 3, Part 4a, Utah Medical Candor Act, including any findings or conclusions from the investigation and any offer of compensation. (B) Disclosure or use in a medical candor process of any communication, material, or information in any form that contains any information described in paragraph (b)(2)(A)(i) does not waive any privilege or protection against

admissibility or discovery of the information under paragraph (b)(2)(A)(i).

162	(C) Any communication, material, or information in any form that is made or
163	provided in the ordinary course of business, including a medical record or a
164	business record, that is otherwise discoverable or admissible and is not created
165	for or during a medical candor process is not privileged by the use or disclosure
166	of the communication, material or information during a medical candor process.
167	(D) (i) Any information that is required to be documented in a patient's medical
168	record under state or federal law is not privileged by the use or disclosure of the
169	information during a medical candor process.
170	(ii) Information described in paragraph (b)(2)(D)(i) does not include an
171	individual's mental impressions, conclusions, or opinions that are formed
172	outside the course and scope of the patient's care and treatment and are used
173	or disclosed in a medical candor process.
174	(E) (i) Any communication, material or information in any form that is provided
175	to an affected party before the affected party's written agreement to participate
176	in a medical candor process is not privileged by the use or disclosure of the
177	communication, material, or information during a medical candor process.
178	(ii) Any communication, material, or information described in paragraph
179	(b)(2)(E)(i) does not include a written notice described in Utah Code section
180	78B-3-452.
181	(F) The terms defined in Utah Code section 78B-3-450 apply to paragraphs
182	(b)(2)(A)(ii), (B), (C), (D), and (E).
183	(G) Nothing in this paragraph (b)(2) shall prevent a party from raising any other
184	privileges provided by law or rule as to the admissibility or discovery of any
185	communication, information, or material described in paragraph (b)(2)(A), (B),
186	(C), (D), or (E).
187	(3) Proportionality. Discovery and discovery requests are proportional if:

188	(A) the discovery is reasonable, considering the needs of the case, the amount in
189	controversy, the complexity of the case, the parties' resources, the importance of
190	the issues, and the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues;
191	(B) the likely benefits of the proposed discovery outweigh the burden or expense;
192	(C) the discovery is consistent with the overall case management and will further
193	the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of the case;
194	(D) the discovery is not unreasonably cumulative or duplicative;
195	(E) the information cannot be obtained from another source that is more
196	convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive; and
197	(F) the party seeking discovery has not had sufficient opportunity to obtain the
198	information by discovery or otherwise, taking into account the parties' relative
199	access to the information.
200	(4) Burden. The party seeking discovery always has the burden of showing
201	proportionality and relevance. To ensure proportionality, the court may enter orders
202	under Rule <u>37</u> .
203	(5) Electronically stored information. A party claiming that electronically stored
204	information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost must
205	describe the source of the electronically stored information, the nature and extent of
206	the burden, the nature of the information not provided, and any other information
207	that will enable other parties to evaluate the claim.
208	
209	(6) Trial preparation materials. A party may obtain otherwise discoverable
207	(6) Trial preparation materials. A party may obtain otherwise discoverable documents and tangible things prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial by or
210	
	documents and tangible things prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial by or
210	documents and tangible things prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial by or for another party or by or for that other party's representative (including the party's
210211	documents and tangible things prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial by or for another party or by or for that other party's representative (including the party's attorney, consultant, surety, indemnitor, insurer, or agent) only upon a showing that

215 disclosure of the mental impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal theories of an 216 attorney or other representative of a party. 217 (7) Statement previously made about the action. A party may obtain without the 218 showing required in paragraph (b)(5) a statement concerning the action or its subject 219 matter previously made by that party. Upon request, a person not a party may 220 obtain without the required showing a statement about the action or its subject 221 matter previously made by that person. If the request is refused, the person may 222 move for a court order under Rule 37. A statement previously made is (A) a written 223 statement signed or approved by the person making it, or (B) a stenographic, 224 mechanical, electronic, or other recording, or a transcription thereof, which is a 225 substantially verbatim recital of an oral statement by the person making it and 226 contemporaneously recorded. 227 (8) Trial preparation; experts. 228 (A) Trial-preparation protection for draft reports or disclosures. Paragraph 229 (b)(6) protects drafts of any report or disclosure required under paragraph (a)(4), 230 regardless of the form in which the draft is recorded. 231 (B) Trial-preparation protection for communications between a party's 232 attorney and expert witnesses. Paragraph (b)(6) protects communications 233 between the party's attorney and any witness required to provide disclosures 234 under paragraph (a)(4), regardless of the form of the communications, except to 235 the extent that the communications: 236 (i) relate to compensation for the expert's study or testimony; 237 (ii) identify facts or data that the party's attorney provided and that the expert 238 considered in forming the opinions to be expressed; or 239 (iii) identify assumptions that the party's attorney provided and that the 240 expert relied on in forming the opinions to be expressed.

241	(C) Expert employed only for trial preparation. Ordinarily, a party may not, by
242	interrogatories or otherwise, discover facts known or opinions held by an expert
243	who has been retained or specially employed by another party in anticipation of
244	litigation or to prepare for trial and who is not expected to be called as a witness
245	at trial. A party may do so only:
246	(i) as provided in Rule <u>35(b)</u> ; or
247	(ii) on showing exceptional circumstances under which it is impracticable for
248	the party to obtain facts or opinions on the same subject by other means.
249	(9) Claims of privilege or protection of trial preparation materials.
250	(A) Information withheld. If a party withholds discoverable information by
251	claiming that it is privileged or prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial,
252	the party must make the claim expressly and must describe the nature of the
253	documents, communications, or things not produced in a manner that, without
254	revealing the information itself, will enable other parties to evaluate the claim.
255	(B) Information produced. If a party produces information that the party claims
256	is privileged or prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial, the producing
257	party may notify any receiving party of the claim and the basis for it. After being
258	notified, a receiving party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the
259	specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the
260	information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present
261	the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the
262	receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take
263	reasonable steps to retrieve it. The producing party must preserve the
264	information until the claim is resolved.
265	(C) Information disclosed in legislative audit. If a party is an entity that is
266	subject to an audit by the legislative auditor general under Utah Constitution,
267	Article VI, Section 33, and information that is privileged or prepared in

268 anticipation of litigation or for trial is disclosed to the legislative auditor general 269 or an arbitrator as described in Utah Code section 36-12-15, the disclosure to the 270 legislative auditor general or the arbitrator does not make the information 271 discoverable or prevent the party from claiming that the information is 272 privileged and prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial. 273 (c) Methods, sequence, and timing of discovery; tiers; limits on standard discovery; 274 extraordinary discovery. 275 (1) Methods of discovery. Parties may obtain discovery by one or more of the 276 following methods: depositions upon oral examination or written questions; written 277 interrogatories; production of documents or things or permission to enter upon land 278 or other property, for inspection and other purposes; physical and mental 279 examinations; requests for admission; and subpoenas other than for a court hearing 280 or trial. 281 (2) Sequence and timing of discovery. Methods of discovery may be used in any 282 sequence, and the fact that a party is conducting discovery must not delay any other 283 party's discovery. Except for cases exempt under paragraph (a)(3), a party may not 284 seek discovery from any source before that party's initial disclosure obligations are 285 satisfied. 286 (3) **Definition of tiers for standard discovery.** Actions claiming \$50,000 or less in 287 damages are permitted standard discovery as described for Tier 1. Actions claiming 288 more than \$50,000 and less than \$300,000 in damages are permitted standard 289 discovery as described for Tier 2. Actions claiming \$300,000 or more in damages are 290 permitted standard discovery as described for Tier 3. Absent an accompanying 291 damage claim for more than \$300,000, actions claiming non-monetary relief are 292 permitted standard discovery as described for Tier 2. Domestic relations actions are 293 permitted standard discovery as described for Tier 4. 294 (4) **Definition of damages.** For purposes of determining standard discovery, the 295 amount of damages includes the total of all monetary damages sought (without

duplication for alternative theories) by all parties in all claims for relief in the original pleadings.

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

(5) Limits on standard fact discovery. Standard fact discovery per side (plaintiffs collectively, defendants collectively, and third-party defendants collectively) in each tier is as follows. The days to complete standard fact discovery are calculated from the date the first defendant's first disclosure is due and do not include expert discovery under paragraphs (a)(4)(C) and (D).

Tier	Amount of	Total Fact	Rule 33	Rule 34	Rule 36	Days to
	Damages	Deposition	Interrogatories	Requests for	Requests for	Complete
		Hours	including all	Production	Admission	Standard
			discrete subparts			Fact
						Discovery
1	\$50,000 or less	3	0	5	5	120
2	More than \$50,000 and less than \$300,000 or non- monetary relief	15	10	10	10	180
3	\$300,00 or more	30	20	20	20	210
4	Domestic relations actions	4	10	10	10	90

303	
304	(6) Extraordinary discovery. To obtain discovery beyond the limits established in
305	paragraph (c)(5), a party must:
306	(A) before the close of standard discovery and after reaching the limits of
307	standard discovery imposed by these rules, file a stipulated statement that
308	extraordinary discovery is necessary and proportional under paragraph (b)(2)
309	and, for each party represented by an attorney, a statement that the attorney
310	consulted with the client about the request for extraordinary discovery;
311	(B) before the close of standard discovery and after reaching the limits of
312	standard discovery imposed by these rules, file a request for extraordinary
313	discovery under Rule <u>37(a)</u> <u>or</u>
314	(C) obtain an expanded discovery schedule under Rule 100A.
315	(d) Requirements for disclosure or response; disclosure or response by an
316	organization; failure to disclose; initial and supplemental disclosures and responses.
317	(1) A party must make disclosures and responses to discovery based on the
318	in Connection then I women an accomplished to the month
	information then known or reasonably available to the party.
319	(2) If the party providing disclosure or responding to discovery is a corporation,
319 320	
	(2) If the party providing disclosure or responding to discovery is a corporation,
320	(2) If the party providing disclosure or responding to discovery is a corporation, partnership, association, or governmental agency, the party must act through one or
320 321	(2) If the party providing disclosure or responding to discovery is a corporation, partnership, association, or governmental agency, the party must act through one or more officers, directors, managing agents, or other persons, who must make
320 321 322	(2) If the party providing disclosure or responding to discovery is a corporation, partnership, association, or governmental agency, the party must act through one or more officers, directors, managing agents, or other persons, who must make disclosures and responses to discovery based on the information then known or
320 321 322 323	(2) If the party providing disclosure or responding to discovery is a corporation, partnership, association, or governmental agency, the party must act through one or more officers, directors, managing agents, or other persons, who must make disclosures and responses to discovery based on the information then known or reasonably available to the party.
320 321 322 323 324	(2) If the party providing disclosure or responding to discovery is a corporation, partnership, association, or governmental agency, the party must act through one or more officers, directors, managing agents, or other persons, who must make disclosures and responses to discovery based on the information then known or reasonably available to the party.(3) A party is not excused from making disclosures or responses because the party
320 321 322 323 324 325	(2) If the party providing disclosure or responding to discovery is a corporation, partnership, association, or governmental agency, the party must act through one or more officers, directors, managing agents, or other persons, who must make disclosures and responses to discovery based on the information then known or reasonably available to the party.(3) A party is not excused from making disclosures or responses because the party has not completed investigating the case, the party challenges the sufficiency of

discovery, that party may not use the undisclosed witness, document, or material at

330	any hearing or trial unless the failure is harmless or the party shows good cause for
331	the failure.
332	(5) If a party learns that a disclosure or response is incomplete or incorrect in some
333	important way, the party must timely serve on the other parties the additional or
334	correct information if it has not been made known to the other parties. The
335	supplemental disclosure or response must state why the additional or correct
336	information was not previously provided.
337	(e) Signing discovery requests, responses, and objections. Every disclosure, request
338	for discovery, response to a request for discovery, and objection to a request for
339	discovery must be in writing and signed by at least one attorney of record or by the
340	party if the party is not represented. The signature of the attorney or party is a
341	certification under Rule <u>11</u> . If a request or response is not signed, the receiving party
342	does not need to take any action with respect to it. If a certification is made in violation
343	of the rule, the court, upon motion or upon its own initiative, may take any action
344	authorized by Rule <u>11</u> or Rule <u>37(b)</u> .
345	(f) Filing. Except as required by these rules or ordered by the court, a party must not
346	file with the court a disclosure, a request for discovery, or a response to a request for
347	discovery, but must file only the certificate of service stating that the disclosure, request
348	for discovery, or response has been served on the other parties and the date of service.
349	
350	Advisory Committee Notes
351	Note Adopted 2011
352	Disclosure requirements and timing. Rule 26(a)(1).
353	Not all information will be known at the outset of a case. If discovery is serving its
354	proper purpose, additional witnesses, documents, and other information will be
355	identified. The scope and the level of detail required in the initial Rule 26(a)(1)
356	disclosures should be viewed in light of this reality. A party is not required to interview

357 every witness it ultimately may call at trial in order to provide a summary of the 358 witness's expected testimony. As the information becomes known, it should be 359 disclosed. No summaries are required for adverse parties, including management level 360 employees of business entities, because opposing lawyers are unable to interview them 361 and their testimony is available to their own counsel. For uncooperative or hostile 362 witnesses any summary of expected testimony would necessarily be limited to the 363 subject areas the witness is reasonably expected to testify about. For example, defense 364 counsel may be unable to interview a treating physician, so the initial summary may 365 only disclose that the witness will be questioned concerning the plaintiff's diagnosis, 366 treatment and prognosis. After medical records have been obtained, the summary may 367 be expanded or refined. 368 Subject to the foregoing qualifications, the summary of the witness's expected testimony 369 should be just that- a summary. The rule does not require prefiled testimony or detailed 370 descriptions of everything a witness might say at trial. On the other hand, it requires 371 more than the broad, conclusory statements that often were made under the prior 372 version of Rule 26(a)(1)(e.g., "The witness will testify about the events in question" or 373 "The witness will testify on causation."). The intent of this requirement is to give the 374 other side basic information concerning the subjects about which the witness is 375 expected to testify at trial, so that the other side may determine the witness's relative 376 importance in the case, whether the witness should be interviewed or deposed, and 377 whether additional documents or information concerning the witness should be 378 sought. See RJW Media Inc. v. Heath, 2017 UT App 34, ¶¶ 23-25, 392 P.3d 956. This 379 information is important because of the other discovery limits contained in Rule 26. 380 Likewise, the documents that should be provided as part of the Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures 381 are those that a party reasonably believes it may use at trial, understanding that not all 382 documents will be available at the outset of a case. In this regard, it is important to 383 remember that the duty to provide documents and witness information is a continuing

384 one, and disclosures must be promptly supplemented as new evidence and witnesses 385 become known as the case progresses. 386 Early disclosure of damages information is important. Among other things, it is a 387 critical factor in determining proportionality. The committee recognizes that damages 388 often require additional discovery, and typically are the subject of expert testimony. The 389 Rule is not intended to require expert disclosures at the outset of a case. At the same 390 time, the subject of damages should not simply be deferred until expert discovery. 391 Parties should make a good faith attempt to compute damages to the extent it is 392 possible to do so and must in any event provide all discoverable information on the 393 subject, including materials related to the nature and extent of the damages. 394 The penalty for failing to make timely disclosures is that the evidence may not be used 395 in the party's case-in-chief. To make the disclosure requirement meaningful, and to 396 discourage sandbagging, parties must know that if they fail to disclose important 397 information that is helpful to their case, they will not be able to use that information at 398 trial. The courts will be expected to enforce them unless the failure is harmless or the 399 party shows good cause for the failure. 400 The purpose of early disclosure is to have all parties present the evidence they expect to 401 use to prove their claims or defenses, thereby giving the opposing party the ability to 402 better evaluate the case and determine what additional discovery is necessary and 403 proportional. 404 Expert disclosures and timing. Rule 26(a)(3). Disclosure of the identity and subjects of expert opinions and testimony is automatic under Rule 26(a)(3) and parties are not 405 406 required to serve interrogatories or use other discovery devices to obtain this 407 information. 408 Experts frequently will prepare demonstrative exhibits or other aids to illustrate the 409 expert's testimony at trial, and the costs for preparing these materials can be substantial. 410 For that reason, these types of demonstrative aids may be prepared and disclosed later, 411 as part of the Rule 26(a)(4) pretrial disclosures when trial is imminent. 412 If a party elects a written report, the expert must provide a signed report containing a 413 complete statement of all opinions the expert will express and the basis and reasons for 414 them. The intent is not to require a verbatim transcript of exactly what the expert will 415 say at trial; instead the expert must fairly disclose the substance of and basis for each 416 opinion the expert will offer. The expert may not testify in a party's case in chief 417 concerning any matter that is not fairly disclosed in the report. To achieve the goal of 418 making reports a reliable substitute for depositions, courts are expected to enforce this 419 requirement. If a party elects a deposition, rather than a report, it is up to the party to 420 ask the necessary questions to "lock in" the expert's testimony. But the expert is 421 expected to be fully prepared on all aspects of his/her trial testimony at the time of the 422 deposition and may not leave the door open for additional testimony by qualifying 423 answers to deposition questions. 424 There are a number of difficulties inherent in disclosing expert testimony that may be 425 offered from fact witnesses. First, there is often not a clear line between fact and expert 426 testimony. Many fact witnesses have scientific, technical or other specialized 427 knowledge, and their testimony about the events in question often will cross into the 428 area of expert testimony. The rules are not intended to erect artificial barriers to the 429 admissibility of such testimony. Second, many of these fact witnesses will not be within 430 the control of the party who plans to call them at trial. These witnesses may not be 431 cooperative, and may not be willing to discuss opinions they have with counsel. Where 432 this is the case, disclosures will necessarily be more limited. On the other hand, 433 consistent with the overall purpose of the 2011 amendments, a party should receive 434 advance notice if their opponent will solicit expert opinions from a particular witness so 435 they can plan their case accordingly. In an effort to strike an appropriate balance, the 436 rules require that such witnesses be identified and the information about their 437 anticipated testimony should include that which is required under Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(ii),

438	which should include any opinion testimony that a party expects to elicit from them at
439	trial. If a party has disclosed possible opinion testimony in its Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(ii)
440	disclosures, that party is not required to prepare a separate Rule 26 (a)(4)(E) disclosure
441	for the witness. And if that disclosure is made in advance of the witness's deposition,
442	those opinions should be explored in the deposition and not in a separate expert
443	deposition. Otherwise, the timing for disclosure of non-retained expert opinions is the
444	same as that for retained experts under Rule 26(a)(4)(C) and depends on whether the
445	party has the burden of proof or is responding to another expert.
446	Scope of discovery – Proportionality. Rule 26(b). Proportionality is the principle
447	governing the scope of discovery. Simply stated, it means that the cost of discovery
448	should be proportional to what is at stake in the litigation.
449	In the past, the scope of discovery was governed by "relevance" or the "likelihood to
450	lead to discovery of admissible evidence." These broad standards may have secured
451	just results by allowing a party to discover all facts relevant to the litigation. However,
452	they did little to advance two equally important objectives of the rules of civil
453	procedure – the speedy and inexpensive resolution of every action. Accordingly, the
454	former standards governing the scope of discovery have been replaced with the
455	proportionality standards in subpart (b)(1).
456	The concept of proportionality is not new. The prior rule permitted the Court to limit
457	discovery methods if it determined that "the discovery was unduly burdensome or
458	expensive, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy,
459	limitations on the parties' resources, and the importance of the issues at stake in the
460	litigation." The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure contains a similar provision. See Fed.
461	R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2) (C).
462	Any system of rules which permits the facts and circumstances of each case to inform
463	procedure cannot eliminate uncertainty. Ultimately, the trial court has broad discretion
464	in deciding whether a discovery request is proportional. The proportionality standards
465	in subpart (b)(2) and the discovery tiers in subpart (c) mitigate uncertainty by guiding

466 that discretion. The proper application of the proportionality standards will be defined 467 over time by trial and appellate courts. 468 Standard and extraordinary discovery. Rule 26(c). As a counterpart to requiring more 469 detailed disclosures under Rule 26(a), the 2011 amendments place new limitations on 470 additional discovery the parties may conduct. Because the committee expects the 471 enhanced disclosure requirements will automatically permit each party to learn the 472 witnesses and evidence the opposing side will offer in its case-in-chief, additional 473 discovery should serve the more limited function of permitting parties to find 474 witnesses, documents, and other evidentiary materials that are harmful, rather than 475 helpful, to the opponent's case. 476 Parties are expected to be reasonable and accomplish as much as they can during 477 standard discovery. A statement of discovery issues may result in additional discovery 478 and sanctions at the expense of a party who unreasonably fails to respond or otherwise 479 frustrates discovery. After the expiration of the applicable time limitation, a case is 480 presumed to be ready for trial. Actions for nonmonetary relief, such as injunctive relief, 481 are subject to the standard discovery limitations of Tier 2, absent an accompanying 482 monetary claim of \$300,000 or more, in which case Tier 3 applies. 483 Consequences of failure to disclose. Rule 26(d). If a party fails to disclose or to 484 supplement timely its discovery responses, that party cannot use the undisclosed 485 witness, document, or material at any hearing or trial, absent proof that non-disclosure 486 was harmless or justified by good cause. More complete disclosures increase the 487 likelihood that the case will be resolved justly, speedily, and inexpensively. Not being 488 able to use evidence that a party fails properly to disclose provides a powerful incentive 489 to make complete disclosures. This is true only if trial courts hold parties to this 490 standard. Accordingly, although a trial court retains discretion to determine how 491 properly to address this issue in a given case, the usual and expected result should be 492 exclusion of the evidence.

494 Note adopted 2012 495 S.J.R. 15 496 (1) The amended language in paragraph (b)(1) is intended to incorporate long-standing 497 protections against discovery and admission into evidence of privileged matters 498 connected to medical care review and peer review into the Utah Rules of Civil 499 Procedure. These privileges, found in both Utah common law and statute, include 500 Sections 26-25-3, 58-13-4, and 58-13-5, UCA, 1953. The language is intended to ensure 501 the confidentiality of peer review, care review, and quality assurance processes and to 502 ensure that the privilege is limited only to documents and information created 503 specifically as part of the processes. It does not extend to knowledge gained or 504 documents created outside or independent of the processes. The language is not 505 intended to limit the court's existing ability, if it chooses, to review contested documents 506 in camera in order to determine whether the documents fall within the privilege. The 507 language is not intended to alter any existing law, rule, or regulation relating to the 508 confidentiality, admissibility, or disclosure of proceedings before the Utah Division of 509 Occupational and Professional Licensing. The Legislature intends that these privileges 510 apply to all pending and future proceedings governed by court rules, including 511 administrative proceedings regarding licensing and reimbursement. 512 (2) The Legislature does not intend that the amendments to this rule be construed to 513 change or alter a final order concerning discovery matters entered on or before the 514 effective date of this amendment. 515 (3) The Legislature intends to give the greatest effect to its amendment, as legally 516 permissible, in matters that are pending on or may arise after the effective date of this 517 amendment, without regard to when the case was filed. 518 Effective date. Upon approval by a constitutional two-thirds vote of all members elected 519 to each house. [March 6, 2012]

520 The May 7, 2025 effective date is upon approval by a constitutional two-thirds vote of 521 all members elected to each house.