Draft: August 2020

RULE 4.1

Political and Campaign	Activities	of Judges	and .	Judicial
Candidates* in General				

- (A) Except as permitted in this Canon, a judge or a judicial candidate shall not:
 - (1) act as a leader in, or hold an office in, a political organization;*
 - (2) make speeches on behalf of a political organization;
 - (3) publicly endorse or oppose a candidate for any public office;
- (4) solicit funds for, pay an assessment to, or make a contribution* to a political organization or a candidate for public office;
 - (5) attend or purchase tickets for dinners or other events sponsored by a political organization or a candidate for public office;
- (6) publicly identify himself or herself as a member of a political organization, except as necessary to vote in an election;
- 16 (7) seek, accept, or use endorsements from a political organization;
 - (8) use court staff or make excessive use of court facilities or other court resources in seeking judicial office;
 - (9) knowingly,* or with reckless disregard for the truth, make any false or misleading statement in seeking judicial office;
 - (10) make any statement that would reasonably be expected to affect the outcome or impair the fairness of a matter pending* or impending* in any court; or
 - (11) make pledges, promises, or commitments other than the faithful, impartial and diligent performance of judicial duties.

27 (B) A judicial candidate shall comply with paragraphs (A)(7), (A)(9), and (A)(11).

- (B <u>C</u>) A judge or judicial candidate shall take reasonable measures to ensure that other persons do not undertake, on behalf of the judge or judicial candidate, any activities prohibited under this Canon.
- (C) Prior to confirmation, a non-judge judicial candidate is not required to comply with Subsections (A)(1) or (A)(6).
 - COMMENT

- General Considerations
- [1] Even when subject to public election, a judge plays a role different from that of a legislator or executive branch official. Rather than making decisions based upon the expressed views or preferences of the electorate, a judge makes decisions based upon the law and the facts of every case. Therefore, in furtherance of this interest, judges and judicial candidates must, to the greatest extent possible, be free and appear to be free from political influence and political pressure. This Canon imposes narrowly tailored restrictions upon the political and campaign activities of all judges and judicial candidates.
 - Participation in Political Activities.
- [2] Public confidence in the independence and impartiality of the judiciary is eroded if judges or judicial candidates are perceived to be subject to political influence.
- [3] Although members of the families of judges and judicial candidates are free to engage in their own political activity, including running for public office, there is no "family exception" to the prohibition in paragraph (A)(3) against a judge or candidate publicly endorsing candidates for public office. A judge or judicial candidate must not become involved in, or publicly associated with, a family member's political activity or campaign for public office. To avoid public misunderstanding, judges and judicial candidates should take, and should urge members of their families to take, reasonable

steps to avoid any implication that they endorse any family member's candidacy or other political activity.

[4] Judges and judicial candidates retain the right to participate in the political process as voters in both primary and general elections.

Statements and Comments Made During a Campaign for Judicial Office.

- [5] Judicial candidates Judges and judicial candidates must be scrupulously fair and accurate in all statements made by them and by their campaign committees. Paragraph (A)(9) obligates judicial candidates, and judges, and their committees to refrain from making statements that are false or misleading, or that omit facts necessary to make the communication considered as a whole not materially misleading.
- [6] Judicial candidates Judges and judicial candidates are sometimes the subject of false, misleading, or unfair allegations made by third parties or the media. For example, false or misleading statements might be made regarding the identity, present position, experience, or qualifications, of a judge or judicial candidate, or judicial rulings of a candidate judge. In other situations, false or misleading allegations may be made that bear upon a candidate's integrity or judge or judicial candidate's fitness for judicial office. As long as the judge or judicial candidate does not violate other provisions of this Canon, the judge or judicial candidate may make a factually accurate public response.
- [7] Subject to the provisions of this Canon, a judge or judicial candidate is permitted to respond directly to false, misleading, or unfair allegations made against him or her the judge or judicial candidate while seeking retention or appointment to judicial office, although it is preferable for someone else to respond if the allegations relate to a pending case.
- [8] Paragraph (A)(10) prohibits judicial candidates judges from making comments that might impair the fairness of pending or impending judicial proceedings. This provision does not restrict arguments or statements to the court or jury by a lawyer who is a judicial candidate, or rulings, statements, or instructions by a judge that may appropriately affect the outcome of a matter.

Pledges, Promises, or Commitments

- [9] The role of a judge is different from that of a legislator or executive branch official, even when the judge is subject to public election. Campaigns for judicial office must be conducted differently from campaigns for other offices.
- [10] Paragraph (A)(11) makes applicable to both judges and judicial candidates the prohibition that applies to judges in Rule 2.10(B), relating to pledges, promises, or commitments that are inconsistent with the impartial performance of the adjudicative duties of the judicial office.
- [11] The making of a pledge, promise, or commitment is not dependent upon, or limited to, the use of any specific words or phrases; instead, the totality of the statement must be examined to determine if a reasonable person would believe that the <u>judge or judicial</u> candidate for judicial office has specifically undertaken to reach a particular result.
- [12] A judge or judicial candidate may make promises related to judicial organization, administration, and court management, such as a promise to dispose of a backlog of cases, start court sessions on time, or avoid favoritism in appointments and hiring. A judge or judicial candidate may also pledge to take action outside the courtroom, such as working toward an improved jury selection system, or advocating for more funds to improve the physical plant and amenities of the courthouse.