1 Rule 1.11. Special Conflicts of Interest for Former and Current Government Employees. 2 (a) Except as law may otherwise expressly permit, a lawyer who has formerly served as a public 3 officer or employee of the government: 4 (a)(1) is subject to Rule 1.9(c); and 5 (a)(2) shall not otherwise represent a client in connection with a matter in which the lawyer 6 participated personally and substantially as a public officer or employee, unless the appropriate 7 government agency gives its informed consent, confirmed in writing, to the representation. 8 (b) When a lawyer is disqualified from representation under paragraph (a), no lawyer in a firm with 9 which that lawyer is associated may knowingly undertake or continue representation in such a matter 10 unless: (b)(1) the disqualified lawyer is timely screened from any participation in the matter and is 11 12 apportioned no part of the fee therefrom; and 13 (b)(2) written notice is promptly given to the appropriate government agency to enable it to 14 ascertain compliance with the provisions of this Rule. 15 (c) Except as law may otherwise expressly permit, a lawyer having information that the lawyer knows 16 is confidential government information about a person acquired when the lawyer was a public officer or 17 employee may not represent a private client whose interests are adverse to that person in a matter in 18 which the information could be used to the material disadvantage of that person. As used in this Rule, the 19 term "confidential government information" means information that has been obtained under 20 governmental authority and which at the time the Rule is applied, the government is prohibited by law 21 from disclosing to the public or has a legal privilege not to disclose and which is not otherwise available to 22 the public. A firm with which that lawyer is associated may undertake or continue representation in the 23 matter only if the disqualified lawyer is screened from any participation in the matter and is apportioned 24 no part of the fee therefrom. 25 (d) Except as law may otherwise expressly permit, a lawyer serving as a public officer or employee: 26 (d)(1) is subject to Rules 1.7 and 1.9; and 27 (d)(2) shall not: 28 (d)(2)(i) participate in a matter in which the lawyer participated personally and substantially 29 while in private practice or nongovernmental employment, unless the appropriate government 30 agency gives its informed consent, confirmed in writing; or 31 (d)(2)(ii) negotiate for private employment with any person who is involved as a party or as

(e) As used in this Rule, the term "matter" includes:

stated in Rule 1.12(b).

32

33

34

35

36

lawyer for a party in a matter in which the lawyer is participating personally and substantially.

negotiate for private employment as permitted by Rule 1.12(b) and subject to the conditions

except that a lawyer serving as a law clerk to a judge, other adjudicative officer or arbitrator may

(e)(1) any judicial or other proceeding, application, request for a ruling or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, investigation, charge, accusation, arrest or other particular matter involving a specific party or parties; and

(e)(2) any other matter covered by the conflict of interest rules of the appropriate government agency.

Comment

[1] A lawyer, who has served or is currently serving as a public office or employee is personally subject to the Rules of Professional Conduct, including the prohibition against concurrent conflicts of interest stated in Rule 1.7 In addition, such a lawyer may be subject to statutes and government regulations regarding conflicts of interest. Such statutes and regulations may circumscribe the extent to which the government agency may give consent under this Rule. See Rule 1.0(f) for the definition of informed consent.

[2] Paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2) and (d)(1) restate the obligations of an individual lawyer who has served or is currently serving as an officer or employee of the government toward a former government or private client. Rule 1.10 is not applicable to the conflicts of interest addressed by this Rule. Rather, paragraph (b) sets forth a special imputation rule for former government lawyers that provides for screening and notice. Because of the special problems raised by imputation within a government agency, paragraph (d) does not impute the conflicts of a lawyer currently serving as an officer or employee of the government to other associated government officers or employees, although ordinarily it will be prudent to screen such lawyers.

[3] Paragraphs (a)(2) and (d)(2) apply regardless of whether a lawyer is adverse to a former client and are thus designed not only to protect the former client, but also to prevent a lawyer from exploiting public office for the advantage of another client. For example, a lawyer who has pursued a claim on behalf of the government may not pursue the same claim on behalf of a later private client after the lawyer has left government service, except when authorized to do so by the government agency under paragraph (a). Similarly, a lawyer who has pursued a claim on behalf of a private client may not pursue the claim on behalf of the government, except when authorized to do so by paragraph (d). As with paragraphs (a)(1) and (d)(1), Rule 1.10 is not applicable to the conflicts of interest addressed by these paragraphs.

[4] This Rule represents a balancing of interests. On the one hand, where the successive clients are a government agency and another client, public or private, the risk exists that power or discretion vested in that agency might be used for the special benefit of the other client. A lawyer should not be in a position where benefit to the other client might affect performance of the lawyer's professional functions on behalf of the government. Also, unfair advantage could accrue to the other client by reason of access to confidential government information about the client's adversary obtainable only through the lawyer's government service. On the other hand, the rules governing lawyers presently or formerly employed by a government agency should not be so restrictive as to inhibit transfer of employment to and from the

government. The government has a legitimate need to attract qualified lawyers as well as to maintain high ethical standards. Thus a former government lawyer is disqualified only from particular matters in which the lawyer participated personally and substantially. The provisions for screening and waiver in paragraph (b) are necessary to prevent the disqualification rule from imposing too severe a deterrent against entering public service. The limitation of disqualification in paragraphs (a)(2) and (d)(2) to matters involving a specific party or parties, rather than extending disqualification to all substantive issues on which the lawyer worked, serves a similar function.

[5] When a lawyer has been employed by one government agency and then moves to a second government agency, it may be appropriate to treat that second agency as another client for purposes of this Rule, as when a lawyer is employed by a city and subsequently is employed by a federal agency. However, because the conflict of interest is governed by paragraph (d), the latter agency is not required to screen the lawyer as paragraph (b) requires a law firm to do. The question of whether two government agencies should be regarded as the same or different clients for conflict of interest purposes is beyond the scope of these Rules. See Rule 1.13 Comment [6].

[6] Paragraphs (b) and (c) contemplate a screening arrangement. See Rule 1.0(mo) (requirements for screening procedures). These paragraphs do not prohibit a lawyer from receiving a salary or partnership share established by prior independent agreement, but that lawyer may not receive compensation directly relating the attorney's compensation to the fee in the matter in which the lawyer is disqualified.

[7] Notice, including a description of the screened lawyer's prior representation and of the screening procedures employed, generally should be given as soon as practicable after the need for screening becomes apparent.

[8] Paragraph (c) operates only when the lawyer in question has knowledge of the information, which means actual knowledge; it does not operate with respect to information that merely could be imputed to the lawyer.

[9] Paragraphs (a) and (d) do not prohibit a lawyer from jointly representing a private party and a government agency when doing so is permitted by Rule 1.7 and is not otherwise prohibited by law.

[10] For purposes of paragraph (e) of this Rule, a "matter" may continue in another form. In determining whether two particular matters are the same, the lawyer should consider the extent to which the matters involve the same basic facts, the same or related parties, and the time elapsed.