1 Rule 4-409. Council Approval of Problem Solving Courts.

2 Intent:

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- 3 To establish criteria for the creation and operation of problem solving courts, and to create a
- 4 process for ongoing reporting from and evaluation of problem solving courts.

5 Applicability:

6 This rule applies to all trial courts.

Statement of the Rule:

- (1) Definitions.
- 9 Applicant. As used in this rule, an applicant is the problem solving court judge, (1)(A)10 court executive, or other representative of the problem solving court as designated by the problem solving court judge.
 - Problem solving court. As used in these this rules, a problem solving court is a (1)(B)targeted calendar of similar type cases that uses a collaborative approach involving the court, treatment providers, case management, frequent testing or monitoring and ongoing judicial supervision. Examples include drug courts, mental health courts and domestic violence courts.
 - (2) **Initial application.** Prior to beginning operations, each proposed problem solving court must be approved by the Judicial Council and must agree to comply with any published standards the requirements of this rule. An application packet, approved by the Judicial Council, shall be made available by the Administrative Office of the Courts. This packet must be submitted to the Council for approval by the applicant at least 90 days in advance of the proposed operation of a new court.
 - (3) Annual Report. Existing problem solving courts must annually submit a completed annual report on a form provided by the Administrative Office of the Courts.
 - (3)(A) Each problem solving court shall annually report at least the following: The number of participants admitted in the most recent year; (3)(A)(i)
 - The number of participants removed in the most recent year: (3)(A)(ii)
 - The number of participants that graduated or completed the program (3)(A)(iii) in the most recent year; and
 - (3)(A)(iv) Recidivism and relapse statistics for as long a period of time as is available, but at least for one year. If the court has been in existence

32		for less than one year, then for the amount of time the court has been
33		in existence.
34	(4)	Grants. In addition to complying with the requirements of CJA Rule 3-411, an applicant
35		shall notify the Judicial Council of any application for funds to operate a problem solving
36		court, whether or not the court would be the direct recipient of the grant. This notification
37		should be made before any application for funding is initiated.
38	<u>(5)</u>	Requirements to Operate a Problem Solving Court. Operation of the problem
39		solving court. All problem solving courts must shall be required to adhere to the following
40		requirements, unless specifically waived by the Judicial Council:
41		(5)(A) Each problem solving court must adhere to the "Required Certification Criteria"
42		outlined in the respective Certification Checklist applicable to that problem
43		solving court, as promulgated and amended and approved by the Judicial
44		Council.
45		(5)(B) Each problem solving court must adhere to the "Presumed Certification Criteria"
46		outlined in the respective Certification Checklist applicable to that problem
47		solving court, as promulgated and amended and approved by the Judicial
48		Council, unless:
49		(5)(B)(i) the program can show sufficient compensating measures; or
50		(5)(B)(ii) the Judicial Council specifically waives that requirement.
51		(4)(A)(5)(C) To commence participation in a problem solving court:
52		(4)(A)(i)(5)(C)(i) In a criminal proceeding, a plea must be entered before a
53	l	person may participate in the court. Testing and orientation processes
54		may be initiated prior to the plea, but no sanctions may be imposed
55		until the plea is entered other than those which may be imposed in a
56		criminal proceeding in which a person is released before trial. Prior to
57		the acceptance of the plea, each participant must sign an agreement
58		that outlines the expectations of the court and the responsibilities of
59		the participant.
60		(4)(A)(ii)(5)(C)(ii) In juvenile dependency drug court, sanctions may not be
61	ļ	imposed until the parent has signed an agreement that outlines the
62		expectations of the court and the responsibilities of the participant.
63		(4)(B) Eligibility criteria must be written, and must include an assessment process that
64		measures levels of addiction, criminality, and/or other appropriate criteria as a
65		part of determining eligibility.

66	(4)(C)	The frequency of participation in judicial reviews will be based on the findings of
67		the assessments. In rural areas, some allowance may be made for other
68		appearances or administrative reviews when the judge is unavailable. Otherwise
69		judicial reviews should be conducted by the same judge each time.
70	(4)(D)	Compliance testing must be conducted pursuant to a written testing protocol that
71		ensures reliability of the test results.
72	(4)(E)	Treatment must be provided by appropriately licensed or certified providers, as
73		required by the Department of Human Services or other relevant licensure or
74		certification entity.
75	(4)(F)	Each problem solving court must have written policies and procedures that
76		ensure confidentiality and security of participant information. These policies and
77		procedures must conform to applicable state and federal laws, including the
78		Government Records and Access Management Act, HIPAA, and 42 CFR 2.
79	(4)(G)	Any fees assessed by the court must be pursuant to a fee schedule, must be
80		disclosed to each participant and must be reasonably related to the costs of
81		testing or other services.
82	(4)(H)	Courts must conduct a staffing before each court session. At a minimum, the
83		judge, a representative from treatment, prosecutor, defense attorney, and in
84		dependency drug court a guardian ad litem, must be present at each court
85		staffing.
86	(4)(I)	At a minimum, the judge, a representative from treatment, prosecutor, defense
87		attorney, and in dependency drug court a guardian ad litem, must be present at
88		each court session.
89	(4)(J)	Each court must be certified by the Judicial Council every two years. Certification
90		requires all courts to meet the minimum requirements stated in this rule.
91	(6) Certific	ation. Each problem solving court must be considered for certification by the
92	<u>Judicial</u>	Council every two years. Each problem solving court shall cooperate with the
93	<u>Judicial</u>	Council's certification review process.
94	<u>(6)(A)</u>	Upon review, the Judicial Council may:
95		(6)(A)(i) certify a problem solving court that adheres to all requirements as
96		outlined in subsection (5) of this rule;
97		(6)(A)(ii) de-certify a problem solving court that fails to adhere to one or more
98		requirements as outlined in subsection (5) of this rule; or

99		(6)(A)(iii) conditionally certify a problem solving court that fails to adhere to one
100		or more requirements as outlined in subsection (5) of this rule.
101	<u>(6)(B)</u>	To de-certify or conditionally certify a problem solving court, the Judicial Council
102		shall:
103		(6)(B)(i) inform the problem solving court of the requirement(s) that are not
104		being adequately met; and
105		(6)(B)(ii) provide to the problem solving court an opportunity to respond
106		regarding the requirement(s) that are not being adequately met.
107	(6)(C)	In the event that the Judicial Council determines that the problem solving court
108		should be conditionally certified, the Judicial Council shall:
109		(6)(C)(i) outline specific conditions necessary for the problem solving court to
110		meet in order to be certified; and
111		(6)(C)(ii) provide the problem solving court with a specific period of time in
112		which to remedy any such deficiency.
113	<u>(6)(D)</u>	In the event that a conditionally certified problem solving court fails to meet the
114		conditions outlined by the Judicial Council within the time allotted, the Judicial
115		Council:
116		(6)(D)(i) shall de-certify the problem solving court; or
117		(6)(D)(ii) may extend the period of time to remedy any deficiency, for good
118		cause shown.
119	` ,	on and Reporting Requirements. Each problem solving court shall annually repor-
120	at least	the following:
121	()()	The number of participants admitted in the most recent year;
122	(5)(B)	The number of participants removed in the most recent year;
123	(5)(C)	The number of participants that graduated or completed the program in the most
124		recent year; and
125	(5)(D)	Recidivism and relapse statistics for as long a period of time as is available, but
126		at least for one year. If the court has been in existence for less than one year,
127	4-14-1	then for the amount of time the court has been in existence.
128	· · ·	urts. The following courts are approved as DUI Courts: Riverdale Justice Court
129		er courts as may be approved by the Judicial Council in the future.
130		unications. A judge may initiate, permit, or consider communications, including ex
131	•	ommunications, made as part of a case assigned to the judge in a problem-solving
132	court, co	onsistent with the signed agreement.

133 Effective January 28, 2019