UTAH JUDICIAL COUNCIL POLICY, PLANNING, & TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

December 5, 2025 – 12:00 p.m. to 1:30 p.m.

Webex

12:00	Welcome and approval of minutes		Tab 1	Judge Gardner
12:00	CJA 4-102 (NEW). Case and calendar assignments Local supplemental rules: (assignments & reassignments) Article 1. District Court Rules: 1st district: 10-1-101 2nd district: 10-1-201 3rd district: 10-1-306 4th district: 10-1-406 5th district: 10-1-501 6th district & juvenile: 10-1-602 7th district & juvenile: 10-1-701 8th district & juvenile: 10-1-802 Article 2. Juvenile Court Rules: 1st juvenile: 10-2-101 2nd juvenile: 10-2-201 3rd juvenile: 10-2-301	Action	Tab 1	Judge Gardner
	 4th juvenile: 10-2-401 5th juvenile: 10-2-501 Article 3 (new). Business and Chancery Court Rules: 10-3-101 			
1:00	Technology report/proposals	Discussion		Brody Arishita
1:50	Old Business/New Business	Discussion		Judge Gardner
2:00	Adjourn			

2026 Meetings:

January 9, 2026	July 10, 2026
February 6, 2026	August 7, 2026
March 6, 2026	September 4, 2026
April 10, 2026	October 2, 2026
May 1, 2026	November 6, 2026
June 5, 2026	December 4, 2026

TAB 1

Minutes

November 3, 2025

UTAH JUDICIAL COUNCIL POLICY, PLANNING and TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

DRAFT

Webex video conferencing November 3, 2025 – 12 p.m.

MEMBERS:	PRESENT	EXCUSED	GUESTS:
Judge James Gardner, Chair	✓		Nick Stiles Shane Bahr Michael Drechsel Stacy Haacke
Judge Jon Carpenter	✓		
Judge Angela Fonnesbeck		✓	STAFF:
Judge Christine Johnson	✓		Keisa Williams Brody Arishita
			Cindy Schut

(1) Welcome and approval of minutes:

Judge Gardner welcomed the committee members, including new member, Judge Christine Johnson, to the Policy, Planning, and Technology Committee (PP&T) meeting. PP&T considered the minutes from the October 3, 2025 meeting. With no changes, Judge Gardner moved to approve the minutes as presented. Judge Johnson seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

(2) CJA 3-101. Judicial performance standards:

Nick Stiles reviewed proposed amendments to rule 3-101 received from the Board of Appellate Court Judges. In summary, the proposed amendments revise the appellate courts' case under advisement standards. Currently, appellate judges may only circulate 3 principal opinions per calendar year more than 6 months after submission. The proposed amendments change the performance standards to a percentage of opinions, rather than a set number, and reduce the timeframes for circulation. The shift is intended to be responsive to legislative partners who expressed concern following a decrease in opinions issued in 2023, resulting from delayed jury trials during the COVID-19 pandemic. Percentages help account for varying caseloads.

Shane Bahr provided an update on the Board of District Court Judges' contemplation of amending rule 3-101. The District Court Board discussed extending the 60-day under advisement limit to 90 days for trial court judges, allowing three cases per calendar year over 60 days. However, a statutory requirement mandates that trial courts finalize cases within 60 days, suggesting this proposal might require a legislative change. Mr. Bahr noted he has spoken to the data team and discovered that tracking metrics based on percentages would be nearly impossible for trial courts due to the volume of cases and other variables.

The committee discussed concerns that shifting from a concrete number to a percentage might be perceived as loosening standards rather than tightening them, and that percentages change frequently

as opinions are issued, making calendar-year tracking potentially difficult. Judge Gardner asked for clarification on which cases are tracked for metrics. Mr. Stiles explained that the metrics apply to an individual judge after a case is submitted and authorship is assigned. It applies to forms of adjudicative decisions required of a judicial officer, provided it is not a group decision decided on a panel.

After further discussion, Judge Carpenter moved to send rule 3-101 to the Judicial Council with a recommendation that it be posted for a 45-day public comment period. Judge Johnson seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

(3) CJA 3-111. Performance Evaluation of Court Commissioners:

Shane Bahr presented proposed amendments to rule 3-111. The proposed amendments remove (3)(A)(iv)(b) which allows court commissioners to exclude an attorney from the certification performance survey with approval from the Management Committee. The language is a carryover from a past rule that applied to judges and commissioners several years ago. The provision no longer applies. Mr. Bahr forwarded the proposed amendments to the commissioners and did not receive any objections.

With no further discussion, Judge Gardner moved to send rule 3-111 to the Judicial Council with a recommendation that it be posted for a 45-day public comment period. Judge Carpenter seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

(3) CJA 4-410. Courthouse closure:

Stacy Haacke presented proposed amendments to rule 4-410. Recently, a justice court without a sitting judge had a cybersecurity incident. A senior judge was presiding over all cases until a new judge could be appointed. Ms. Haacke met with the boards of justice, juvenile, and district court judges (boards) to get feedback on whether and how the rule should be amended. Discussions with the boards included addressing situations where no judge is physically present, but available virtually, in smaller areas. The boards recommended the following amendments:

- add paragraphs (11)(A) and (11)(B);
- add cybersecurity and court operations throughout the rule; and
- modify the language to include a provision for physical building closure, as well as operational closure to cover any technology issues.

With no further discussion, Judge Carpenter moved to send rule 4-410 to the Judicial Council with a recommendation that it be posted for a 45-day public comment period. Judge Johnson seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

(4) CJA 1-205. Standing and ad hoc committees

Michael Drechsel reviewed proposed amendments to rule 1-205. In summary, the chair of the Uniform Fine Committee believes the committee's work can be accomplished more efficiently with one district court judge and two justice court judges. This three-member group would bring enough experience to address the committee's responsibilities (outlined in rule 4-302), without needlessly consuming the time of additional judicial officers. Because the Uniform Fine Schedule must ultimately be approved by the full Council, additional district and justice court judges have an opportunity to provide feedback and oversight.

The committee questioned removing the requirement for misdemeanor docket experience, suggesting that experience is invaluable. Mr. Drechsel explained that the pool of judges with specific misdemeanor-only experience is narrowing and misdemeanor docket experience could include work as a prosecutor or defense counsel. Judge Johnson suggested requiring a district court judge who has experience with a criminal docket that encompasses both felonies and misdemeanors. Mr. Drechsel noted that while fines are the prime focus for justice court judges, criminal docket experience would capture judges who regularly see the fine schedule in operation. The committee changed "misdemeanor" to "criminal" in line 55 and removed "preferably" in line 54.

After further discussion, Judge Carpenter moved to have Michael Drechsel present the proposed amendments to rule 1-205 to the Management Committee for review, with a recommendation from PP&T that it be posted for a 45-day public comment period. Judge Gardner seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Technology report/proposals:

Brody Arishita reported that the judicial AI framework was approved by the Judicial Council last week. A preview of the updated internal website was shared, listing the Utah judicial AI framework, vision statement, guiding principles, new policy on generative AI use, and the AI resource hub. Mr. Arishita has been working with the education department on training. Two training courses were recently conducted for law clerks to address concerns related to judges asking them to utilize AI.

Old Business/New Business: None.

Adjourn: With no further items for discussion, the meeting adjourned at 12:38 p.m. The next meeting will be held on December 5, 2025, at noon, via Webex video conferencing.

TAB 2

CJA 4-102. Case assignments and reassignments (NEW)

Notes: I made a few edits based on conversations with the boards of judges

CJA 4-102 (NEW) DRAFT: 12-1-25

1 Rule 4-102. Case and calendar assignments

- 2 Intent:
- 3 The intent of this rule is to establish a-uniform administrative procedures for the initial
- 4 assignment and subsequent-reassignment of cases in a manner that ensures athe fair,
- 5 impartial, and equitable distribution of judicial workloads and the efficient administration of
- 6 justice.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 7 Applicability:
- 8 This rule applies to district, juvenile, and business and chancery courts.
- 9 Statement of the Rule:
- 10 (1) Initial assignments.
 - (1)(A) **District Business and Chancery courts.** All newly filed cases in district and business and chancery courts will be assigned to judges on a random basis using an automated <u>court management</u> system approved by the Council, unless a case type exemption is granted. The system should, to the greatest extent possible, ensure that case assignments cannot be predicted by court staff or judges.
 - (1)(B) Juvenile courts. All newly filed cases in juvenile courts will be assigned using a fair and impartial procedure outlined in a local supplemental rule approved by the Council.
- (2) Reassignments. All district courts, juvenile courts, and the business and chancery court
 Each district must establish a fair and impartial reassignment procedure. that is fair and impartial. Procedures must be which must be outlined in in a local supplemental rule approved
- by the Council. Reassignments must be made in accordance with that procedure.
- (3) Exceptions. Assignments and reassignments for district court water cases and district court
 tax cases are governed by Rules 6-103 and 6-104.
- ${\bf 25} \qquad {\bf (4) \ Compliance}. \ {\bf All \ case \ assignments \ and \ reassignments \ must \ comply \ with \ this \ Code, \ the}$
- 26 Utah Rules of Procedure, and the Utah Code of Judicial Conduct.
- 27 Effective: May 1, 2025

Commented [KW1]: District board concerned that rural counties may not be able to comply with this requirement. Does "to the greatest extent possible" address that concern or should this sentence be removed or amended?

TAB 3

Local supplemental rules

Notes. Rules included in the packet in the following order:

District court rules:

- 1st district
- 2nd district
- 3rd district
- 4th district
- 5th district

Juvenile court rules:

- 1st juvenile
- 2nd juvenile
- 3rd juvenile
- 4th juvenile
- 5th juvenile

Combined district & juvenile court rules:

- 6th district & juvenile
- 7th district & juvenile
- 8th district & juvenile

Business and Chancery Court rule

CJA 10-1-101 (1st District)

1 Rule 10-1-101. District court case and calendar assignments

23 Intent:

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28

> 29 30 31

32

- The intent of this rule is to establishes the administrative procedures for assigning and reassigning cases to judges inwithin the First District Court to ensure the fair, impartial, and
- 6 equitable distribution of judicial workloads and the for efficient administration of justice.

7 Applicability:

- 8 This rule applies to all cases filed in the First Judicial District Court.
- 9 Statement of the Rule Policy:
 - (1) New filings Initial assignments. All newly filed cases in First Delistrict Ceourt will be assigned to judges in accordance with Rule 4-102(1).
 - (2) Specialized dockets and programs. Cases that qualify for specific specialized dockets or programs (e.g., drug court, mental health court) will be assigned to the judge presiding over that specialized docket or program, regardless of the rotational assignment.

DRAFT: November 14, 2025

- (3) Reassignments.
 - (3)(A) Reassignment of a case from one judge to another may occur only under extraordinary circumstances and with the approval of the presiding judge or their designee. In Legan, CORIS will randomly select a new judge assignment. For Brigham and Randolph counties, cases requiring reassignment will be assigned to the conflict Judge assigned to each court location by the presiding judge.
 - (3)(B) Requests for reassignment must be submitted in writing, stating the reasons for the request.
 - (3)(C) Examples of extraordinary circumstances include judicial disqualification, conflicts of interest, or significant changes in judicial availability.

Effective: May 1, 2026

Commented [KW1]: Should we say "special dockets or problem-solving courts"? Try to keep it consistent throughout?

Commented [KW2]: If they are talking about initial assignments in Logan, I don't think this is needed.

CJA 10-1-201 (2nd District)

45

46 47

1 Rule 10-1-201. District court case and calendar assignments 2 Intent: 3 The intent of this rule is to establish administrative procedures for assigning and reassigning 4 cases to judges in the Second District Court to ensure the fair, impartial, and equitable 5 distribution of judicial workloads and the efficient administration of justice. As used in this rule, 6 the term "judge" also means a judge's successor in the same judicial division. 7 As required by Rule 4-102, Utah Code of Judicial Administration, this Local Supplemental Rule 8 25-01 is promulgated to set forth the procedures to be followed in the Second District Court, 9 State of Utah, for assigning and reassigning cases to judges. 10 11 Applicability: 12 This rule applies to all cases filed in the Second District Court. 13 **PolicyStatement of the Rule:** 14 15 (1) Initial assignments of cases. 16 17 (1)(A) Initial assignment of cases in Davis County. Except as provided elsewhere in this Section I.A. each All newly filed cases in Davis County (Bountiful, Farmington or 18 19 Layton) will be assigned to a-judges on a random basis using an automated court management system approved of by the Council. 20 21 (1)(B) Initial assignment of cases in Morgan County. All newly filed cases filed in 22 23 Morgan County will be assigned to the single judge serving who serves in that county. 24 (1)(C) Initial assignment of cases in Weber County. 25 26 27 (1)(C)(i) Except as provided elsewhere in (1)(C), each newly filed cases in Weber County will be assigned to a judge on a random basis using an automated court 28 management system approved by the Council. 29 30 31 (1)(C)(ii) Criminal cases. Except as provided in paragraph (1)(C)(iv), newly-filed criminal cases will be assigned to the judge who is assigned to all other open 32 criminal cases for the same defendant; provided, however, that if a charging 33 document in a newly-filed criminal case charges more than one defendant, all 34 35 cases arising from that charging document will be assigned to one judge, who will be a judge assigned to any other open criminal cases of any of the charged 36 37 defendants, if such cases exist, 38 (1)(C)(iii) Postconviction Remedies Act. Cases filed under the Postconviction 39 40 Remedies Act will be assigned to the judge who handled the related criminal 41 case. 42 (1)(C)(iv) Protective Orders. 43 44

(1)(C)(i).

(1)(C)(iv)(a) Initial assignments. Newly filed protective order cases will

be assigned initially to judges on a random basis pursuant to paragraph

Commented [KW1]: District?

DRAFT: November 14, 2025

This is not a case type. It's all cases.

Commented [KW2]: Does this comply with 4-102(1)(A): "... unless a **case type** exemption is granted ..."

(1)(C)(iv)(b) Previous cases involving same parties.; provided, however, that Court employees muststaff will review each newly filed case to determine if there is a previously filed domestic case or protective order case involving the same parties. If so, the newly filed protective order case will be reassigned to the judge and commissioner assigned to the previously filed domestic case or protective order case involving the same parties.

DRAFT: November 14, 2025

(1)(C)(iv)(c) Rotating protective order calendar. Furthermore, for all newly filed protective order cases, the initial decision to grant or deny a petition for a protective order will be made by the judge handling the rotating weekly protective order calendar for the week the petition is filed, rather than by the judge permanently assigned to the case. After the initial decision to grant or deny a petition, any subsequent hearings or rulings in the case will be handled by the judge and commissioner permanently assigned to the case.

(1)(C)(v) <u>Civil commitments</u>. Mental health civil commitment cases will all be assigned to, and heard by, a single judge who has been assigned by the presiding judge to handle the civil commitment calendar.

(1)(C)(vi) Probate cases.

(1)(C)(vi)(a) Initial assignments. Probate cases will be initially assigned to judges on a random basis pursuant to paragraph (1)(C)(i).; provided, however, that

(1)(C)(vi)(b) Non-contested. Probate cases that are not contested will be heard and decided by a single judge who has been assigned by the presiding judge to handle the recurring probate calendar, rather than by the judge permanently assigned to the case.

(1)(C)(vi)(c) Contested. If at any point a case becomes contested, the probate judge will refer the case to the judge permanently assigned to the case for all further proceedings.

(2) Reassignment of cases.

(2)(A) Basis for reassignment.

(2)(A)(i) Exceptpect as provided elsewhere in paragraph (2), a case will be reassigned from one judge to another judge only when the currently assigned judge for the case determines there is a valid basis for reassignment under applicable statutes and court rules.

(2)(A)(ii) When a judge assigned to a case determines that the case should be reassigned to a different judge, the judge will instruct court employeesstaff to follow the procedures outlinedscontained in this rule to reassign the case.

Commented [KW3]: Closed cases as well or only existing/pending cases?

(2)(B) **Standard procedure for case-reassignments**. Except as provided in paragraph (2)(C), court employeesstaff will follow the procedures set forth in this paragraph to reassign a case: Court staff_will use the "Reassign Case" function in the court's case management software court management system to reassign the cases randomly to a different judge and:employeesstaff will then inform the newly assigned judge's team eases reassignment_; Staff will then take over processing and scheduling forestaff the reassigned case.

(2)(C) Procedure for reassigning a case in a county regularly served by only Counties with one judge.

(2)(C)(i) The Second District Clerk of Court will maintain and make available to all employees a Cross-County Judge Reassignment List ("List"). The Cross-County Judge Reassignment List ("List"). The Cross-County Judge Reassignment List will contain the names of all district court judges who regularly serve Weber and Davis Counties, listed alphabetically by last name.

(2)(C)(ii) If a judge assigned to a case in a county which is regularly served by enly one judge determines that a case should be reassigned to a different judge, the judge will notify court employees staff;

(2)(C)(iii) Court employees.staff in the county employees.staff in the county enly one judge <a href="mailto:who-will then refer to the Cross-County Judge Reassignment List_ist_-and employees.staff in the county <a href="mailto:are-regularly served by-enly one judge Reassignment List_ist_-and who will then refer to the Cross-County Judge Reassignment List_ist_-and who will then refer to the Cross-County Judge Reassignment_List_ist_-and who will reassign the case to the judge next in line to receive a cross-county case assignment_:

(2)(C)(iv) Each judge who regularly serves Weber and Davis Counties will take turns receiving cross-county case reassignments, in alphabetical order by the judge's last name.

(2)(C)(v) When a judge who regularly serves Weber and Davis Counties receives a cross-county case reassignment, the case will not be transferred to Weber or Davis County, but will instead remain in, and be adjudicated in, the county in which the case was originally filed.

(2)(D) Reassignment of a case by a reviewing judge based on a mMotions to disqualify. If a reviewing judge determines that a case will be reassigned pursuant to Rule 63 of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, or Rule 29 of the Utah Rules of Criminal Procedure, the reviewing judge will notify court employeesetaff. Ceourt employeesetaff will-then reassign the case pursuant to the procedures set forthin accordance with in paragraph (2)(B) or (2)(C) of this rule, as applicable.

(2)(E) Reassignment of cases based on Cconsolidation or similarity.

(2)(E)(i) If a judge assigned to a case determines that the another case or cases should be consolidated with other cases with the case pursuant to Rule 42(a) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, the judge may, after consulting with the other judge(s) or judges assigned to to the other the other case(s) or cases, reassign the case(s) or cases to himself or herself by written order filed in all of the cases;

(2)(E)(ii) If a judge assigned to a case determines that, in the interests of judicial efficiency, another case or cases should be heard by himself or herself due to the

CJA 10-1-201 (2nd District)

related nature of the cases, the judge may, after consulting with the other judge(s) or judges assigned to the other case(s) or cases, reassign the other case(s) or cases to himself or herself by written order filed in all of the cases.

DRAFT: November 14, 2025

(2)(F) Reassignment of a case based on nNotice of a change of judge. If one or more parties to a case files a Notice of Change of Judge under Rule 63A(a) or 63A(b) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, the presiding judge (or the associate presiding judge if the presiding judge is the judge assigned to the case) will promptly determine whether the notice is proper, and, if so, will direct court employees staff to reassign the case to another judge pursuant to the procedures set forth in accordance with paragraphs (2)(B) or (2)(C) of this rule, as applicable.

(2)(G) Reassignment upon judicial vacanciesy. In case of a judicial vacancy, the vacating judge's cases will be reassigned to the judge appointed to fill the vacancy.

(2)(H) Reassignment for nNewly created judge positions. When a new judge position is created by statute, the case calendar for that new position will be populated by the clerk of court_ taking cases from all other judges' existing calendars so that the new judge's calendar is comparable to the existing calendars.

(2)(I) Other instances of reassignment. A judge may reassign a case to himself or herself if the judge has substantially interacted with the case, if the parties stipulate, or if, in the judge's discretion, reassignment is in the interests of judicial efficiency. A judge may not reassign a case to himself or herself because of a personal interest in presiding over the case.

(2)(J) Further reassignment of a case.

(2)(J)(i) If a judge who receives a case by reassignment determines that the case needs to be reassigned again to a different judge, the judge will notify court employeesetaff.

(2)(J)(ii) Upon receiving notification from a judge that a reassigned case needs to be reassigned again, court <a href="mailto:employeesstaff will reassign the case again pursuant to the procedures set forth in paragraphs (2)(B) or (2)(C) of this rule, as applicable.

Commented [KW4]: The 4th district court's rule requires the presiding judge to make these reassignments, as opposed to individual judges. I would probably make that change. I'm also not sure about the last sentence.

4th district language (2)(I)(ii): "The presiding judge may reassign a case to a judge if that judge has substantially interacted with the case, if the parties stipulate, or if, in the presiding judge's discretion, reassignment is in the interests of judicial efficiency."

Effective: May 1, 2026

10 This rule applies to all cases filed in the Third District Court.

Statement of the Rule:

(1) Initial Assignments:

(1)(A) All newly filed cases in the Third District will be assigned in accordance with follow Rule 4-102, unless the Council grants a case type exception.

DRAFT: November 26, 2025

(1)(B) West Valley City. The Third District is ceasing special criminal calendars for West Valley City misdemeanor cases. To assist West Valley City, this transition is being made in three phases over approximately twelve months. By July 1, 2026, all judges in Salt Lake County who preside over criminal cases will randomly be assigned new West Valley City cases. In the meantime, only judges in each phase will be randomly assigned new West Valley City cases.

(1)(C) <u>Case type exceptions</u>. The following case types are exceptions to the automated case assignment process outlined in Rule 4-102(1):

(1)(C)(i) Homicide cases filed in Salt Lake County. The Third District will seek from the Utah Judicial Council a case type exception for initial assignment of homicide cases filed in Salt Lake County. Homicide cases are time and resource intensive. Historically, the clerk of court has manually assigned homicide cases filed in Salt Lake County by assigning a newly-filed case to the next judge on the homicide rotation list. This allows for an even distribution of new homicide cases among judges presiding over criminal cases.

Manual assignment promotes judicial efficiency so that homicide cases do not overburden a judge and judicial team. Manual assignment is also done at the request of the Salt Lake County District Attorney's Office, as they benefit from knowing—prior to filing— to which of its homicide teams the case should be assigned.

(1)(C)(ii) <u>Asbestos cases. The Third District will seek from the Utah Judicial Council a case type exception for initial assignment of asbestos cases.</u> Asbestos cases are assigned to one judge who volunteers to handle all <u>asbestosthese</u> cases. These cases <u>involvehave</u> the same or similar legal issues, the same attorneys, and <u>have</u>-one <u>assigned</u> law clerk-<u>assigned</u>, so assignment to one judge promotes judicial efficiency.

(1)(C)(iii) Protective order cases. The Third District will seek from the Utah Judicial Council a case type exception for initial assignment of protective order cases. The district court conducts a party search on newly filed domestic protective order cases to determine if there are any previously filed domestic cases involving the same parties. If there are any such cases, the new protective order case is assigned to the same judge and commissioner as the previously filed domestic case. Otherwise, the new protective order case is randomly assigned.

DRAFT: November 26, 2025

(1)(C)(iv) Criminal cases. The Third District will seek from the Utah Judicial Council a case type exception for initial assignment of certain criminal cases. The district court conducts a party search on newly filed criminal cases to determine if there are any active previously filed criminal cases involving the defendant. If there are any such cases, the new criminal case is assigned to the same judge as the active previously filed case. If cases have co-defendants and there are active previously filed criminal cases involving the co-defendants before different judges, the new cases are assigned randomly. Otherwise, the new criminal case is randomly assigned.

(1)(C)(v) Post-Conviction Remedies Act. The Third District will seek from the Utah Judicial Council a case type exception for Petitions under the Post-Convictions Remedies Act. Petitions under the Post-Convictions Remedies Act. are assigned to the same calendar (which may or may not be the same judge) on which the defendant was sentenced.

(2) Reassignments.

(2)(A) Disqualification

(2)(A)(i) The presiding judge maintains a disqualification/reassignment log divided into these categories: Civil (non-domestic) - all Salt Lake County; Domestic - all Salt Lake County; Tooele County; Summit County; Criminal -Matheson courthouse; Criminal - West Jordan courthouse; Domestic Commissioners.

(2)(A)(ii) When a judicial officer sends the presiding judge notice of that judicial officer's disqualification from a case, the presiding judge records the case number and name of the disqualified judicial officer under the applicable category. The presiding judge reassigns the case to the judicial officer who most recently disqualified from a case in that same category. The newly disqualified judicial officer then becomes the presumptive next judicial officer to which a case of that category will be reassigned when another judicial officer disqualifies themselves from a case of that category.

(2)(A)(iii) If the newly disqualified judicial officer is also the judicial officer who most recently disqualified from a case, the presiding judge reassigns the case to another judicial officer. Either the presiding judge selects a different judicial officer from that same category who has not received a commensurate number of reassigned cases—i.e. due to many disqualifications in a short time—or selects a different judicial officer from a separate list of "substitutes"—i.e. a

rotating list of all judicial officers who have not yet received a reassigned case when there is no clear judicial officer for reassignment.

DRAFT: November 26, 2025

(2)(A)(iv) When a judicial officer in Summit County or Tooele County sends the presiding judge notice of that judicial officer's disqualification from a case, the presumptive next judicial officer is another judicial officer assigned to handle cases in that county. If there are no other such judicial officers, the case is reassigned to another judicial officer in the district, with consideration given to the geographical proximity to the courthouse in which the case was originally filed.

(2)(B) Reassignment - Changing Calendars

(2)(B)(i) When a calendar becomes vacant or is soon to become vacant, such as with an announced retirement of a judicial officer, the calendar is put out to the bench for bid. Generally, the most senior judge who submits a bid receives the vacant calendar and all the cases on that vacant calendar.

(2)(B)(ii) In the discretion of that most senior judge, that judge may also keep and transfer with them to the vacant calendar any cases from their existing calendar they chose to keep. The vacant calendar is thus filled, and another vacant calendar is opened. This process continues until all calendars have been filled.

(2)(C) Reassignment - Blending Calendars

(2)(C)(i) Historically, judges in tThe Third District historically had judges withhad either a "civil" calendar or a "criminal" calendar. Many of the calendars in the district have since been "blended," where a "civil" calendar and a "criminal" calendar are equally split between two judges so that there is a roughly equal share of civil and criminal cases on each judge's calendar.

(2)(C)(ii) The policy of the district is to blend every calendar when possible, such as when judges voluntarily agree to blend their calendars or when a calendar becomes vacant. When calendars are blended, judges indicate to the clerk of court the cases on which they've hadve substantial interaction. The judge retains those cases that judge retains on the blended calendar.

(2)(C)(iii) For all All other cases are assigned by the clerk of court assigns them to one of the newly blended calendars in an effort to approximately balance the caseloads between the blending judges.

(2)(D) Reassignment - Rule 63A

(2)(D)(i) If a notice of change of judge is filed per Rule 63A(a) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, the clerk of court randomly reassigns the case to another judge in the county.

(2)(D)(ii) If a notice of change of judge is filed per Rule 63A(b) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, the presiding judge reassigns the case using the same procedure described above for Reassignment - Disqualification in (2)(A).

98

CJA 10-1-306 (3rd District)

(2)(E) Reassignment — New Calendars. When a new judge position is created by statute, the calendar for that new position is populated by the clerk of court taking cases from the existing calendars so that the new calendar is comparable to the existing calendars.

DRAFT: November 26, 2025

(2)(F) Reassignment — Consolidation. When cases are ordered to be consolidated under Rule 42 of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, or when a judge determines that cases that cannot be consolidated—e.g., different case types or criminal cases— should be heard by the same judge in the interests of judicial efficiency, that judge may order that the cases be reassigned to themselves.

(2)(G) Reassignment - Other

(2)(G)(i) A judge may reassign a case to themselves if that judge has substantially interacted with the case, if the parties stipulate, or if, in the judge's discretion, reassignment is in the interests of judicial efficiency. A judge may not reassign a case to themselves solely because of a personal interest in presiding over the case.

(2)(G)(ii) To comply with Utah Code section 77-20-206(2), the judicial officer presiding at a criminal defendant's first appearance may, in that judicial officer's discretion, reassign the case to a judicial officer other than the judicial officer to which the case was initially randomly assigned.

Effective: May 1, 2026

Commented [KW1]: Should these be made by the PJ?

Commented [KW2]: Should these be made by the PJ?

1 Rule 10-1-406. District court case and calendar assignments 2 3 Intent: 4 The intent of this rule is to establish administrative procedures for assigning and reassigning 5 cases to judges in the Fourth District Court to ensure the fair, impartial, and equitable 6

- distribution of judicial workloads and the efficient administration of justice As required by Rule 4-
- 7 102. Utah Code of Judicial Administration, this rule is promulgated to set forth the procedures to
- 8 be followed in Fourth District Court, State of Utah, for assigning and reassigning cases to
- judges. As used in this rule, the term "judge" also means a judge's successor in the same 9
- judicial seat, unless otherwise stated. 10

11 Applicability:

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

29 30

31

32 33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

12 This rule applies to all cases filed in the Fourth District Court.

Statement of the Rule:

(1) Initial aAssignments of Cases:

(1)(A) Initial Assignment of Cases in-Wasatch County. All newly filed cases filed in Wasatch County will be assigned to the single judge who serves Wasatch County.

(1)(B) Initial Assignment of Cases in Juab and Millard Counties. All newly filed cases filed in Juab County and Millard County will be assigned to the single judge who serves those two counties.

(1)(C) Initial Assignment of Cases in Utah County.

(1)(C)(i) Except as Unless otherwise provided elsewhere in this Section I.C(1)(C), each newly filed case in Utah County will be assigned to a judge on a random basis using the automated courtease management system approved by the Council.

(1)(C)(ii) Criminal cases. Except as provided in paragraphs (1)(C)(iv) and (1)(C)(v), newly-filed criminal cases will be assigned to the judge who is assigned to all other open criminal cases for the same defendant, if any such cases exist; provided, however, that if a charging document in a newly-filed criminal case charges more than one defendant, all cases arising from that charging document will be assigned to one judge, who will be a judge assigned to any other open criminal cases of any of the charged defendants, if any such cases exist.

(1)(C)(iii) Postconviction Remedies Act. Cases filed under the Postconviction Remedies Act will be assigned to the judge who handled the related criminal case, or his or her successor in the same judicial seat.

(1)(C)(iv) Spanish Fork. Criminal case filings of any classification by the cities of Goshen, Payson, Salem, Santaguin, Spanish Fork, and Springville will be assigned to the judge who sits in the Spanish Fork District Court location, as will justice court appeals from these cities.

(1)(C)(v) <u>Aggravated murder cases</u>. Aggravated murder cases filed in Utah County are an exception to the automated case assignment requirement in Rule <u>4-102(1)</u>. The Fourth District will seek from the Utah Judicial Council a case type exception for initial assignment of aggravated murder cases filed in Utah County.

(1)(C)(v)(a) Aggravated murder cases are time- and resource-intensive. They require a threshold level of judicial experience in criminal law and procedure. Historically, aggravated murder cases have been randomly assigned to the next judge in rotation. This process risks overburdening a newly appointed judge and overwhelming both judges and judicial teams who happen to be assigned too many aggravated murder cases.

(1)(C)(v)(b) Accordingly, the Fourth District requests a case type exception for aggravated murder cases. Specifically, a Aggravated murder cases will be assigned manually only to judges who meet the following criteria:

(1)(C)(v)(b)(1) the judge has been on the district court bench for two years;

(1)(C)(v)(b)(2) the judge has been assigned to a felony calendar for at least two years; and

(1)(C)(v)(b) 3) the judge, whether on the bench or as a lawyer, has taken two murder cases to verdict or resolution.

(1)(C)(v)(c)The Clerk of Court shall-will maintain a list of judges who meet these qualification assignment criteria for assignment. Each newly filed aggravated murder case will be assigned to the next judge in rotation on that list.

(1)(C)(vi) Protective orders. Newly filed protective order cases will not be assigned to a specific judge but will be assigned on a random basis to a domestic relations commissioner and will be listed in the docket as assigned to "CA Judge" (Commissioner Assigned Judge).

(1)(C)(vi)(a) The initial decision to grant or deny a petition for a protective order will be made by the judge handling the rotating weekly protective order duties for the week the petition is filed.

(1)(C)(vi)(b) Thereafter, the commissioner will act in the case as provided by applicable court rules and any further matters in the case for which a judge is needed will be handled by the judge handling the rotating weekly protective order duties for the week the need arises.

(1)(C)(vi)(c) provided, however, that a judge acting in a protective order case at any time may, in his or her discretion, permanently reassign the case to a judge who is assigned to any previously filed domestic case or protective order case involving the same parties.

(1)(C)(vii) <u>Debt collection cases</u>. Debt collection cases will be assigned to judges on a random basis as provided in paragraph (1)(C)(i), except that, to equalize workloads, judges who currently fulfill a specialty role will not be

assigned debt collection cases. Specialty Roles include the following: treatment court judges, mental health civil commitment judges, members of the Board of District Court Judges, and members of the Council.

(1)(C)(viii) <u>Civil commitments.</u> Mental health civil commitment cases will all be assigned to, and heard by, a single judge who has been designated by the presiding judge to handle the civil commitment calendar.

(1)(C)(ix) <u>Probate cases.</u> Probate cases will be assigned to, and heard by, a single judge who has been designated by the presiding judge to handle the recurring probate calendar. If at any point a case becomes contested, however, the probate judge will assign the case to a judge on a random basis pursuant to paragraph (1)(C)(i).

(2) Reassignments. of Cases:

(2)(A) Basis for reassignment.

(2)(A)(i) Except as provided in elsewhere in this Section 2paragraph (2), a case will be reassigned from one judge to another judge only when the currently-assigned judge for the case determines there is a valid basis for reassignment under applicable statutes and court rules.

(2)(A)(ii) When a judge assigned to a case determines that the case should be reassigned to a different judge, the judge will instruct court staff_employees to follow the procedures outlined contained in this rule to reassign the case.

(2)(B) **Standard procedure for Case Rreassignments**. Except as provided elsewhere in this Section 2 paragraph (2), court employees staff will use the following the procedures set forth in this Section (2)(b) to reassign a cases.

(2)(B)(i) Court <u>employees staff</u> will use the "Reassign Case" function in the court 's case management <u>software system</u> to reassign <u>the cases</u> randomly to a different judge.

(2)(B)(ii) If for any reason the "Reassign Case" function in the court's case management software is not functioning for a case which needs to be reassigned, court employeesstaff will manually reassign the case to the next judge in line for reassignment on a Manual Reassignment List that will be kept and made available to court employeesstaff by the Clerk of Court.

(2)(B)(iii) Staff will then inform the newly assigned judge's teamstaff of the case reassignment.

(2)(B)(iv) Staff of the The newly assigned judge's team will then take over processing and scheduling for of the reassigned case.

(2)(C) Procedure for Reassigning an Aggravated murder cases. If for any reason an aggravated murder case needs to be reassigned, the Clerk of Court will follow the procedures set forth in paragraph (1)(C)(v) to reassign the case to a judge who meets the qualifications set forth in that paragraph.

(2)(D) Procedure for Reassigning a Case in a County Regularly Served by Only Ocounties with one judge.

(2)(D)(i) The Fourth District Clerk of Court will maintain and make available to all employees a Cross-County Judge Reassignment List ("List"). The Cross-County Judge Reassignment List will contain the names of all district judges who regularly serve Utah County, listed alphabetically by last name.

(2)(D)(ii) If a judge assigned to a case in a county which is regularly served by enly one judge determines that a case should be reassigned to a different judge, the judge will notify court employees staff.

(2)(D)(iii) Court employeesstaff in the county regularly served by only one judge will then refer to the Cross-County Judge Reassignment-List and will reassign the case to the judge next in line to receive a cross-county case assignment.

(2)(D)(iv) Each judge who regularly serves Utah County will take turns receiving cross-county case reassignments, in alphabetical order by the judge's last name.

(2)(D)(v) When a judge who regularly serves Utah County receives a cross-county case reassignment, the case will not be transferred to Utah County, but will instead remain in, and be adjudicated in, the county in which the case was originally filed.

(2)(E) Reassignment of a Case by a Reviewing Judge Based on a Motions to Disqualify. If a reviewing judge determines that a case will be reassigned pursuant to Rule 63 of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, or Rule 29 of the Utah Rules of Criminal Procedure, the reviewing judge will notify court employeesstaff.

(2)(F) <u>Consolidation or similarity</u>. If a judge assigned to a case determines that, in the interests of judicial efficiency, another case or cases should be heard by himself or herself due to the related nature of the cases, the judge may, after consulting with the other judge(s) or judges assigned to the other case(s) or cases, and with the approval of the presiding judge, reassign the other case(s) or cases to himself or herself by written order filed in all of the cases.

(2)(G) Reassignment of a Case Based on Notice of a change of judge. If one or more parties to a case files a Notice of Change of Judge under Rule 63A(a) or Rule 63A(b) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, the presiding judge (or the associate presiding judge if the presiding judge is the judge assigned to the case) will promptly determine whether the notice is proper, and, if so, will direct court employees staff to reassign the case to another judge pursuant to the procedures set forth in paragraphs (2)(B) or (2)(D) of this rule, as applicable.

(2)(H) Reassignment Upon Judicial vacanciesy. In case of a judicial vacancy, the vacating judge's cases will be reassigned to the judge appointed to fill the vacancy.

(2)(I) Reassignment for Newly created judge positions. When a new judge position is created by statute, the caseload for that new position will be populated by the Clerk of

198 Court taking cases from all other judges' existing caseloads so that the new judge's caseload is comparable to the existing caseloads. 199 200 201 (2)(J) Other instances of reassignment. 202 203 (2)(J)(i) If a judge or the Clerk of Court becomes aware of a case pending in the 204 Fourth District in which a Fourth District court employee or a family member of a 205 Fourth District court employee is a party, the Clerk of Court will notify the 206 presiding judge, who will then determine, in his or her discretion, whether or not to reassign the case to a different court location or to-another judicial district. 207 208 209 (2)(J)(ii) The presiding judge may reassign a case to a judge if that judge has substantially interacted with the case, if the parties stipulate, or if, in the presiding 210 judge's discretion, reassignment is in the interests of judicial efficiency. 211 212 (2)(K) Further Reassignment of a Case. 213 214 215 (2)(K)(i) If a judge who receives a case by reassignment determines that the case needs to be reassigned again to a different judge, the judge will notify court 216 217 employeesstaff. 218 (2)(K)(ii) Upon receiving notification from a judge that a reassigned case needs to 219 220 be reassigned again, court employees staff-will reassign the case again pursuant to the procedures set forth in paragraphs (2)(B) or (2)(D) of this rule, as 221 applicable. 222 223

Effective: May 1, 2026

224225

1 Rule 10-1-501. District court case and calendar assignments

23 Intent:

- 4 The intent of this rule is to establish administrative procedures for assigning and reassigning
- 5 cases to judges in the Third District Juvenile Court to ensure the fair, impartial, and equitable
- 6 distribution of judicial workloads and the efficient administration of justice. To provide for the
- 7 assignment of cases and judges for the equitable distribution of workload and prompt
- 8 disposition of cases.

9 Applicability:

10 This rule applies to the Fifth District Court.

11 Statement of the Rule:

(1) <u>Initial assignments</u>. All newly filed cases in the Fifth District Court will be assigned in accordance with Rule 4-102(1).

(2) Reassignments.

(2)(A) Assignment upon recusals. The presiding judge will track all recusals and reassignments in order to ensure fairness across the bench. When a judge recuses themselves from hearing a case, the presiding judge will reassign the case as follows:

(2)(A)(i) Counties with one judge. Where only one judge sits in a county, the case will be reassigned to a judge in another county who does not have a conflict. Assignments to Each judges outside the county will take turns being assigned these cases be made on a rotating basis.

(2)(A)(ii) <u>Counties with multiple judges</u>. Where multiple judges sit in a county, the case will be reassigned to one of the other remaining judges in the county.

(2)(A)(ii)(1) If there are only two <u>judges in the county</u>, the case it will be reassigned to the other judge, <u>provided</u> as long as they that judge does not have a conflict.

(2)(A)(ii)(2) If there are more than two judges in a county, each judge will take turns being assigned these case reassignments will be made on a rotating basis. Where each

(2)(A)(ii)(3) <u>If all of the judges</u> in the county ha<u>ves</u> a conflict, the case will be <u>re</u>assigned to a judge in another county<u>and reassignments will be made on a rotating basis</u>. <u>where those judges will take turns being assigned such cases</u>;

(2)(A)(iii) <u>Juvenile court judges</u>. The presiding judge may <u>also</u> request that the presiding judge of the <u>Fifth District Ji</u>uvenile <u>Ceourt</u> assign the case to a juvenile court judge <u>in the district</u> who has been properly appointed as a district court judge pro tempore. However, the presiding judge of the juvenile court is not required to make such an assignment.;

(2)(A)(iv) <u>Transfers out of district.</u> If all of the judges in the district have a conflicton a case, the presiding judge will ask the trial court executive to <u>transfer</u> the case to another district. find a district court judge outside the district to hear the matter.

(2)(B) Motions to Disqualify. If a reviewing judge determines that a case will be reassigned pursuant to Rule 63 of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, or Rule 29 of the Utah Rules of Criminal Procedure, the reviewing judge will notify court employees. Court employees will reassign the case in accordance with paragraph (2)(A).

(2)(C) **Consolidation or similarity.** If a judge assigned to a case determines that, in the interests of judicial efficiency, another case or cases should be heard by himself or herself due to the related nature of the cases, the judge may, after consulting with the other judge(s) assigned to the other case(s), and with the approval of the presiding judge, reassign the other case(s) to himself or herself by written order filed in all of the cases.

(2)(D) **Notice of a change of judge.** If one or more parties to a case files a Notice of Change of Judge under Rule 63A(a) or Rule 63A(b) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, the presiding judge (or the associate presiding judge if the presiding judge is the judge assigned to the case) will promptly determine whether the notice is proper, and, if so, will direct court employees to reassign the case to another judge in accordance with paragraph (2)(A).

(2)(E) **Judicial vacancies.** In case of a judicial vacancy, the vacating judge's cases will be reassigned to the judge appointed to fill the vacancy.

(2)(F) **Newly created judge positions.** When a new judge position is created by statute, the caseload for that new position will be populated by the Clerk of Court taking cases from all other judges' existing caseloads so that the new judge's caseload is comparable to the existing caseloads.

(2)(G) **Court employee conflicts**. If a judge or the Clerk of Court becomes aware of a case pending in the Fifth District in which a Fifth District court employee or a family member of a Fifth District court employee is a party, the Clerk of Court will notify the presiding judge, who will then determine, in his or her discretion, whether to reassign the case to a different court location or another judicial district.

Effective: May 1, 2026

47

(4)(A) Reassignment of a case from one judge to another may occur only under extraordinary circumstances and with the approval of the presiding judge or their

DRAFT: November 14, 2025

Commented [KW1]: Should we say "special dockets or

problem-solving courts"? Try to keep it consistent throughout?

CJA 10-2-101 (1st District Juvenile)

designee. Th designee. Th judge. If both outside distri

52

53

54

55

designee. The presiding judge will then either keep the case or assign it to the other judge. If both judges have a conflict, the case will be assigned to a judge in an<u>other</u> outside district.

DRAFT: November 14, 2025

(4)(B) Requests for reassignment must be submitted in writing, stating the reasons for the request.

(4)(C) Examples of extraordinary circumstances include judicial disqualification, conflicts of interest, or significant changes in judicial availability.

Effective: May 1, 2026

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26 27

28

29 30

35 36 37

38 39

40

34

(3)(B) If the case can be reassigned to a juvenile court judge in the district, reassignment will be to the next judge due for random reassignment based on county of residence, reassignment occurs within the district, it will be reassigned based upon county of residence to the next judicial officer due for random assignment, taking into consideration ongoing caseload numbers and judicial workload.

41 42 43

(3)(C) If the case is a problem-solving court case, it will be reassigned to a judicial officer presiding over a problem-solving court.

45 46

47

44

Effective: May 1, 2026

46

DRAFT: December 1, 2025 1 Rule 10-2-301. Juvenile court case and calendar assignments 2 3 Intent: 4 The intent of this rule is to establish administrative procedures for assigning and reassigning 5 cases to judges in the Third District Juvenile Court to ensure the fair, impartial, and equitable 6 distribution of judicial workloads and the efficient administration of justice. 7 To assign and re-assign cases in the Third District Juvenile Court using a fair and impartial 8 procedure approved by the Judicial Council. 9 10 Applicability: 11 This rule applies to all cases filed in the Third District Juvenile Court. 12 13 Statement of the Rule: 14 15 (1) Cases with prior history in the Third District Juvenile Court. 16 (1)(A) "One judge, one family" policy. Initial assignments. If the case is active or 17 was active within the last three years for delinquency matters and five years for child 18 welfare matters, the newly filed cases will be assigned to the previously assigned 19 judge. If that judge has retired or is no longer on the bench, the case will be assigned 20 to the judge who has the prior judge's caseload. 21 22 (1)(B) "One judge, one family" policy. If the judge has or had a case (of any kind) 23 within the timeframes set forth above involving the same child, siblings of the child, 24 or half-siblings of the child, the case will remain with the same judge. This way all 25 children in a family are set before the same judge. 26 27 (1)(C) Minor becomes parent. If a minor under the jurisdiction of a judge becomes a 28 parent, and a subsequent case is filed with that minor named as a parent, that case would also remain under the same judge. 29 30 31 (1)(D) Best interest or judicial economy. The presiding judge has discretion to transfer a case under the "one judge one family" policy in other cases where there 32 33 are children in the same household through guardianship, formal or informal 34 custodial situations, or where it is in a child's best interest or would promote judicial 35 economy. 36 37 (1)(E) Inactive cases. Cases that have not been active for more than three years 38 (delinquency) or more than five years (child welfare) will be assigned in the same 39 way as cases with no prior history. 40 41 (2) Non-delinquency cases with no prior history, assigned on one-to-one rotation. 42 (2)(A) "One-to-one rotation" means the assigning clerk consults the case assignment 43 spreadsheet and assigns the case to the next judge on the spreadsheet. 44 (2)(B) The following types of cases will be assigned on a "one-to-one rotation":

(2)(B)(i) private termination petitions;

(2)(B)(ii) private petitions alleging abuse, neglect, and dependency;

DRAFT: December 1, 2025

DRAFT: December 1, 2025

DRAFT: December 1, 2025

<u>to</u> each judge <u>has</u>, in order to equalize the number of transfer cases <u>that assigned</u> <u>each judge has</u> at any given time.

DRAFT: December 1, 2025

- (6) Petitions to expunge prior juvenile court cases.
 - (6)(A) A p Petitions to expunge prior juvenile court cases will be assigned to the prior judge who was assigned to the case at the time of case closure.
 - (6)(B) If thate prior judge is no longer on the bench, then the case will be assigned to the judge whothat assumed that judge's caseload, or to the presiding judge for assignment.
- (7) Order for recusal or disqualification in delinquency cases.
 - (7)(A) When a judge is recused or disqualified, or if there is a change of judge as a matter of right, the delinquency case will be returned to the assigning clerk to assign the case on the one-to-one rotation within the court where the case resides (either north court or south court);
 - (7)(B) In rare cases, an entire district needs to must recuse. In that case, the presiding judge will reach out to the presiding judge of Second or Fourth District Juvenile Courts to re-assign the case for reassignment.
- (8) Cases assigned to Tooele and Summit County.
 - (8)(A) One judge is typically assigned to both Tooele and Summit counties and handles all of the cases in both of those counties.
 - (8)(B) When the assigned judge is recused or disqualified, or if there is a change of judge as a matter of right, the case will be returned to the assigning clerk to assign the case on the one-to-one rotation to another judge in the Third District Juvenile Court.
- (9) **New case types created by the Legislature**. If new case types are added <u>under to</u> the juvenile court's jurisdiction, the principles set forth above will apply to those cases. If the <u>casesy</u> are <u>non-emergent</u>, <u>then</u> they will be assigned on a one-to-one rotation; if they are <u>urgentemergent</u>, <u>then</u> the on-call/shelter rotation (child welfare) or the north/south court distinction (delinquency) will be used to determine case assignment.

Effective May 1, 2026

Effective: May 1, 2026

DRAFT: November 14, 2025

44

45 46

1 Rule 10-2-501. Juvenile court case and calendar assignments 2 3 Intent: 4 The intent of this rule is to establish administrative procedures for assigning and reassigning 5 cases to judges in the Third District Juvenile Court to ensure the fair, impartial, and equitable distribution of judicial workloads and the efficient administration of justice To provide for the 6 assignment of cases and judges for the equitable distribution of workload and prompt 7 8 disposition of cases. Applicability: 9 This rule applies to the Fifth District Juvenile Court. 10 11 Statement of the Rule: (1) **Initial assignments.** When a petition is filed in the juvenile court, the presiding judge will 12 13 assign cases to each judge in the district based on a non-biased process designed to ensure an equal caseload for each judge where possible. The presiding judge may consider the following 14 special circumstances in making those assignments: 15 16 (1)(A) geographic location and travel; 17 18 19 (1)(B) the juvenile court "one judge-one family" policy; 20 21 (1)(C) a judge's other commitments within the judiciary; 22 (1)(D) temporary disability; 23 24 (1)(E) the make-up of teams assigned to each judge; and 25 26 27 (1)(F) other factors which may result in non-equal distribution of cases. 28 29 (2) Code of Judicial Conduct. In no event may a presiding judge assign a case to a judge based on that judge's personal interest in the subject matter or outcome of the case, or for any 30 31 other reasons that may lead to a violation of the Utah Code of Judicial Conduct. 32 (3) Assignment upon rRecusals. When a judge recuses themselves from hearing a case, the 33 34 presiding judge will reassign the case as follows: 35 (3)(A) Counties with one judge. Where only one judge sits in a county, the case will be 36 reassigned to a judge in another county who does not have a conflict. Assignments 37 to Each judges outside the county will take turns being assigned these cases be made on 38 39 a rotating basis.; 40 41

(3)(B) <u>Counties with multiple judges.</u> Where multiple judges sit in a county, the case will be <u>re</u>assigned to one of the other remaining judges in the county.

(3)(B)(i) If there are only two judges in the county, the case it will be assigned to the other judge, provided as long as they that judge does not have a conflict.

 (3)(B)(ii) If there are more than two judges in a county, each judge will take turns being assigned these case assignments will be made on a rotating basis. Where each

(3)(B)(iii) <u>If all of the juvenile court judges</u> in the county ha<u>ves</u> a conflict, the case will be assigned to a judge in another county <u>and assignments will be made on a rotating basis</u>. where those judges will take turns being assigned such cases;

(3)(C) <u>District court judges</u>. The presiding judge may <u>also</u> request that the presiding judge of the <u>Fifth District C</u>eourt assign the case to a district court judge <u>in the district</u> who has been properly appointed as a juvenile court judge pro tempore. However, the presiding judge of the district court is not required to make such an assignment.

(3)(D) <u>Transfer out of district.</u> If all of the judges in the district have a conflicton a case, the presiding judge will ask the trial court executive to <u>transfer the case to another district</u>. find a district court judge outside the district to hear the matter.

(4) **Assignment of caseload upon judge's retirement.** When a judge retires or resigns, their whole entire caseload will be assigned to the judge who replaces them. For those cases where the new judge has a conflict, paragraph (3) governs.

Effective: May 1, 2026

1 Rule 10-1-602. District and juvenile court case and calendar assignments

23 Intent:

- 4 The intent of this rule is to establishes administrative the procedures for assigning and
- 5 <u>reassigning</u> cases to <u>j</u>Judges <u>with</u>in the <u>Sixth</u>6th District and Juvenile Courts to ensure <u>the</u> fair,
- 6 impartial, and equitable distribution of <u>judicial</u> workloads and the for efficient administration of
- 7 justice.

8 Applicability:

- 9 This rule applies to all cases filed in the 6th-Sixth District Court and 6th-Sixth District Juvenile
- 10 Court.

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

PolicyStatement of the Rule:

- (1) <u>Initial assignments.</u> In counties where a <u>case</u> type <u>of case</u> is divided evenly between two judges, <u>newly filed the district court cases are is</u> assigned randomly <u>using the automated court management system approved by the Council by CORIS in District Court cases and <u>newly filed juvenile court cases</u> are assigned manually <u>assigned</u> on a rotation in <u>Juvenile Court Cases</u>.</u>
 - (2) <u>Caseloads.</u> The division of caseload<u>s</u> in the Sixth District is based on geography and case type, with new cases assigned <u>as follows</u>on the following basis by county:

Location:	Judge Van Dyke	Judge Larsen	Judge Kiesel	Judge Goble
Sanpete	50% Domestic 50% Criminal 50% Civil	50% Criminal 50% Civil	100% Treatment Courts (Dist/Family) Criminal Prelims 50% Juvenile	50% Domestic 50% Juvenile
Sevier	50% Criminal 50% Treatment Court 50% Civil	50% Treatment 100% Mental Health Court 50% Criminal 50% Civil 50% Domestic	50% Domestic 50% Juvenile	50% Juvenile
Wayne	50% Civil 50% Criminal	50% Civil 50% Criminal	100% Juvenile 100% Domestic	
Piute	50% Criminal	50% Criminal	100% Juvenile 100% Civil/Domestic	
Garfield	50% Civil 50% Domestic 50% Criminal	50% Civil 50% Criminal	100% Juvenile 50% Domestic	
Kane	50% Domestic 100% Civil	50% Domestic 50% Criminal		100% District Treatment Court 50% Criminal 50% Juvenile

23

necessary, the presiding judge reassigns the case in accordance with Rule 63 of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 29 of the Utah Rules of Criminal Procedure.

26 27

28 Effective: May 1, 2026

CJA 10-1-701 DRAFT: October 23, 2025

Rule 10-1-701. District and juvenile court case and calendar assignments 1

- 2 Intent:
- 3 The intent of this rule is to establish administrative procedures for assigning and reassigning
- cases to judges in the Seventh District Court and Seventh District Juvenile Court to ensure the 4
- fair, impartial, and equitable distribution of judicial workloads and the efficient administration of 5
- justice. To provide for the assignment and reassignment of cases and judges for the equitable 6
- 7 distribution of workload and prompt disposition of cases.
- 8 Applicability:
- 9 This rule shall applyapplies to the Seventh District Court and Seventh District Juvenile Court.
- 10 Statement of the Rule:
- 11 (1) Authority of dDistrict and jJuvenile court jJudges. It is the intention of this court to seek
- 12 and maintain Judicial assignments made in accordance with Rule 3-108 from the presiding
- 13 efficer of the Judicial Council authorize active judges of a court of record to serve temporarily as
- 14 a judge of a court with different jurisdiction in the same judicial district.ing each juvenile judge of
- the district to act as a district judge of the district, and for each district judge of the district to act 15
- as a juvenile judge of the district. Each district judge and juvenile judge of this district has 16
- 17 agreed to such an consent to such assignments approved by the Presiding Officer. The
- 18 assignment provides, he owever, that any judge acts in the other court only by assignment of the
- 19 presiding judge of that court.

26

27

28

29

- 20 (2) Consent of the aAssigned judge. The presiding judge of the district court shall will assign
- a judge of the juvenile court to a district court case only with the consent of the assigned judge. 21
- 22 The presiding judge of the juvenile court shall-will assign a judge of the district court to a juvenile
- 23 court case only with the consent of the assigned judge.
- 24 (3) Criteria for assigning judges Initial case assignments. The following criteria should
- 25 govern assignment of judges to cases in the seventh district:
 - (3)(A) Grand and San Juan counties. Newly filed depistrict court cases in Grand erand San Juan ccounties should will be assigned initially to the district court judge assigned to sit in preside over cases filed in Grand and San Juan County Counties. JNewly filed
 - juvenile court cases in those same counties should will be assigned initially to the
- 30 juvenile court judge assigned to sit in Grand County.
- 31 (3)(B) Carbon and Emery counties. DNewly filed district court cases in Carbon or
- 32 Emery <u>c</u>Gounties <u>should will</u> be <u>initially</u> assigned <u>on a randomly basis</u> between the two
- 33 district court judges assigned to sit in preside over cases filed in Carbon and Emery
- 34 Countycounties using an automated court management system approved by the
- 35 Council. JNewly filed juvenile court cases in those same counties should will be
- 36 assigned initially to the juvenile court judge assigned to sit in Carbon County, except as it
- 37 may be necessary to assign cases to the other Seventh District juvenile court judge to 38 equalize the case load.

CJA 10-1-701 DRAFT: October 23, 2025

39 (C4) Reassignments. 40 (4)(A) In order to resolve ethical or legal conflicts or balance caseloads, cases may be 41 42 reassigned to another judge in the district or transferred out of the district as needed. 43 Reassignments will be made in a fair and impartial manner. 44 (4)(DBA) Juvenile court cases. 45 (4)(B)(i) Best practices. The Seventh District Juvenile Court has adopted the 46 National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ) best practice 47 which promotes the "one family, one judge" concept as the judicial model where 48 a single judge handles all legal matters related to a family to ensure consistency. 49 This practice has been adopted by the Board of Juvenile Court Judges. 50 (4)(B)(ii) Criteria. Juvenile court case assignments and reassignments will be 51 consistent with best practices, and the following criteria will be considered: 52 (4)(B)(ii)(1) prior judicial assignments; 53 (4)(B)(ii)(2) geographic location and travel; 54 (4)(B)(ii)(3) best interests of the child(ren); 55 (4)(B)(ii)(4) related cases; and 56 (4)(B)(ii)(5) judicial caseloads. 57 Because of the long distances between the northern and southern portions of the district, consideration should be given to using judges who are assigned to sit in the same 58 59 portion of the district when conflicts arise, even if this means assigning a district judge to 60 a juvenile case or a juvenile judge to a district case. 61 (4)(B) Cases may be assigned differently than set forth in the forgoing paragraph, for 62 any of the reasons set forth in Rule 3-108 and Rule 4-102. 63 Effective: May 1, 2026

Commented [KW1]: This is my language.

Proposed language from district: "In order to resolve ethical or legal conflicts that may arise from particular cases, or possibly a large number of cases, another judge inside or outside the district may be assigned, or caseloads reassigned, as needed. Reassignments will be fair and impartial so as to equalize the caseload."

Commented [KW2]: The district's proposed language for the entire juvenile court section was: "Juvenile Court strives to adhere to the statewide best practice policy of "one family, one judge", and to accommodate the needs and available local resources of the respective parties, court-appointed attorneys, agencies, and service providers involved in the majority of the cases."

I added quite a bit from other districts, but it may be overkill.

Commented [KW3]: This language was proposed by the district, but rule 4-102 doesn't exist.

1 Rule 10-1-802. District and juvenile court case and calendar assignments

23 Intent:

- 4 The intent of this rule is to establish administrative procedures for assigning and reassigning
- 5 cases to judges in the Eighth District Court and Eighth District Juvenile Court to ensure the fair,
- 6 impartial, and equitable distribution of judicial workloads and the efficient administration of
- 7 justice. To provide for the assignment of cases and judges for the equitable distribution of
- 8 workload and prompt disposition of cases.
- **Applicability:**

- 10 This rule applies to the Eighth District Court and Eighth District Juvenile Court.
- 11 Statement of the Rule:
 - (1) Authority of district and juvenile court judges. Judicial assignments made in accordance with Rule 3-108 authorize active judges of a court of record to serve temporarily as a judge of a court with different jurisdiction in the same judicial district. It is the intention of this court to seek and maintain assignments from the presiding officer of the Judicial Council authorizing each juvenile judge of the district to act as a district judge of the district, and for each district judge of the district to act as a juvenile judge of the district. Each district judge and juvenile judge of this district has agreed to consent to such an assignments approved by the Presiding Officer. The assignment provides, hHowever, that any judge acts in the other court only by assignment of the presiding judge of that court.
 - (2) **Consent of the assigned judge**. The presiding judge of the district court will assign a judge of the juvenile court to a district court case only with the consent of the assigned judge. The presiding judge of the juvenile court will assign a judge of the district court to a juvenile court case only with the consent of the assigned judge.
 - (3) Criteria for assigning judges Initial assignments. The following criteria should govern assignment of judges to cases in the Eighth Judicial District:
 - (3)(A) <u>Uintah and Daggett counties</u>. District court cases in Uintah or Daggett Counties should be initially assigned randomly to the two district court judges assigned to sit in Uintah County <u>using an automated court management system approved by the Council</u>. Juvenile court cases in those same counties should be assigned initially to the juvenile court judge assigned to sit in Uintah County.
 - (3)(B) <u>Duchesne county.</u> District court cases in Duchesne County, including Roosevelt District Court and Duchesne District Court, should be initially assigned to the district court judge assigned to sit in Duchesne County. Juvenile court cases in those same counties should be assigned initially to the juvenile court judge assigned to sit in Duchesne County, except as it may be necessary to assign cases to the other Eighth District juvenile court judge to equalize the case load.
 - (4) Reassignments.
 - (4)(A) <u>Geographic locations</u>. Because of distances between counties in the Eighth Judicial District, consideration should be given to using judges who are assigned to sit in

48 49

50

51

52 53

54 55

56

57

58 59

60

61 62

63

64

65 66

67

68 69

70

71 72

73 74

75

76 77

78 79

80

81 82

83

84

85

86

87 88

89

90 91

92 93

94 95

96

(4)(D) **Transfers out of district.** If all of the judges in the district have a conflict, the presiding judge will ask the trial court executive to transfer the case to another district.

(4)(E) **Motions to Disqualify.** If a reviewing judge determines that a case will be reassigned pursuant to Rule 63 of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, or Rule 29 of the Utah Rules of Criminal Procedure, the reviewing judge will notify court employees. Court employees will reassign the case in accordance with paragraph (4).

(4)(F) Consolidation or similarity. If a judge assigned to a case determines that, in the interests of judicial efficiency, another case or cases should be heard by himself or herself due to the related nature of the cases, the judge may, after consulting with the other judge(s) assigned to the other case(s), and with the approval of the presiding judge, reassign the other case(s) to himself or herself by written order filed in all of the cases.

(4)(G) Notice of a change of judge. If one or more parties to a case files a Notice of Change of Judge under Rule 63A(a) or Rule 63A(b) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, the presiding judge (or the associate presiding judge if the presiding judge is the judge assigned to the case) will promptly determine whether the notice is proper. and, if so, will direct court employees to reassign the case to another judge in accordance with paragraph (4).

(4)(H) **Judicial vacancies.** In case of a judicial vacancy, the vacating judge's cases will be reassigned to the judge appointed to fill the vacancy.

(4)(I) **Newly created judge positions.** When a new judge position is created by statute, the caseload for that new position will be populated by the Clerk of Court taking cases from all other judges' existing caseloads so that the new judge's caseload is comparable to the existing caseloads.

97 98 99 100 101	(4)(J) Court employee conflicts. If a judge or the Clerk of Court becomes aware of a case pending in the Eighth District in which an Eighth District employee or a family member of an Eighth District employee is a party, the Clerk of Court will notify the presiding judge, who will then determine, in his or her discretion, whether to reassign the case to a different court location or another judicial district.
102	(A)/I/) Innocedia a contract of the contract o
103	(4)(K) Juvenile court cases.
104 105	(4)/P)/i) Post prestices. The Fighth District Juvenile Court has edented the
105	(4)(B)(i) Best practices. The Eighth District Juvenile Court has adopted the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ) best practice
107	which promotes the "one family, one judge" concept as the judicial model where
108	a single judge handles all legal matters related to a family to ensure consistency.
109	This practice has been adopted by the Board of Juvenile Court Judges.
110	
111	(4)(B)(ii) Criteria. Juvenile court case assignments and reassignments will be
112	consistent with best practices, and the following criteria will be considered:
113	(4)(B)(ii)(1) prior judicial assignments;
114	(4)(B)(ii)(2) geographic location and travel;
115	(4)(B)(ii)(3) best interests of the child(ren);
116	(4)(B)(ii)(4) related cases; and
117	(4)(B)(ii)(5) judicial caseloads.
118 119 120 121	(5) Cases may be assigned differently than set forth in the foregoing paragraph, for any of the reasons set forth in Rule 3-108.
122	Effective: May 1, 2026

DRAFT: November 14, 2025

2 3 Intent:

1

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32

33 34

35

36 37

- 4 The intent of this rule is to establish administrative procedures for assigning and reassigning
- 5 cases to judges in the Business and Chancery Court to ensure the fair, impartial, and equitable

DRAFT: November 17, 2025

- distribution of judicial workloads and the efficient administration of justice. This rule establishes 6
- the procedures for assigning cases to judges within the Business and Chancery Court to ensure 7
- fair, impartial, and equitable distribution of workload for efficient administration of justice. 8
- 9 Applicability:
- This rule applies to all cases filed in the Business and Chancery Court. 10
- 11 Statement of the Rule:
- (1) **New filings.** All new cases filed in the Business and Chancery Court will be assigned to 12 13 judges on a random basis using an automated court management system approved by the
- Council, which system may consider aggregate workload factors. 14
 - (2) Reassignment generally. Reassignment of a case from one judge to another will occur only under extraordinary circumstances and with the approval of the presiding judge or their designee. Extraordinary circumstances include the retirement, resignation, or removal of a judge; recusal or disqualification of a judge; and persistent imbalances in workload among judges.
 - (3) Reassignment when there are fewer than three Business and Chancery Court judges. Pursuant to Utah Code section 78A-1-103.5, so long as there are fewer than three Business and Chancery Court judges, the Council will designate a pool of two district court judges to preside over actions in the Business and Chancery Court when all Business and Chancery Court judges are unable to preside over an action due to recusal or disqualification. Under such circumstances, the presiding judge or their designee will contact both district court judges to inquire if they have current capacity to be assigned a Business and Chancery Court case. The case will be assigned to the first district court judge to respond in the affirmative. If after two business days neither district court judge has responded in the affirmative, the case will be randomly reassigned to one of them.
 - (4) Reassignment when there are two or more Business and Chancery Court judges. So long as there are two or more Business and Chancery Court judges, cases will be randomly reassigned by the same method used for new filings, except that the formerly assigned judge may not be reassigned the case.
- 38 Effective: May 1, 2026