LAW OFFICES OF

### VAN COTT, BAGLEY, CORNWALL & McCARTHY

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

50 SOUTH MAIN STREET

SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84144

TELEPHONE (801) 532-3333

FACSIMILE (801) 534-0058

TELEX 453149

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO

POST OFFICE BOX 45340

84145-0450

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

July 14, 1993

BENNETT, HARKNESS & KIRKPATRICK 1874-1890

BENNETT, MARSHALL & BRADLEY 1890-1896

BENNETT, HARKNESS, HOWAT SUTHERLAND & VAN COTT 1896-1902

SUTHERLAND, VAN COTT & ALLISON 1902-1907 VAN COTT, ALLISON & RITER 1907-1917

VAN COTT, RITER & FARNSWORTH 1917-1947

2404 WASHINGTON BOULEVARD OGDEN, UTAH 84401 (801) 394-5783

314 MAIN STREET PARK CITY, UTAH 84060 (801) 649-3889

3549 N. UNIVERSITY AVENUE PROVO, UTAH 84604 (801) 375-5666

IOO WEST LIBERTY RENO, NEVADA 8950I (702) 333-6800

OF COUNSEL
LEONARD J. LEWIS
CLIFFORD L. ASHTON
DAVID L. GILLETTE
RICHARD K. SAGER
JAMES P. COWLEY
JOHN CRAWFORD, JR.
WILLIAM L. FILLMORE
MARHIN K. JENSEN
GEORGE M. MCMILLAN

MEMBERS OF THE UTAH SUPREME COURT ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON CIVIL PROCEDURE

July Meeting

Dear Committee Members:

The next meeting of the committee will be held on Wednesday, July 21, beginning at 4:00 p.m. at the Administrative Office of the Courts. The primary purpose of the meeting will be to discuss and, if possible, finalize for publication our new version of Rule 45. As usual, Perrin Love has worked very hard in preparing a new draft, which reflects comments from our meeting in June. Please find enclosed a copy of that draft for your review.

In addition, I will be prepared to give you an update on recent discussions with members of the Utah Supreme Court concerning the legislative initiatives affecting the rules.

Please make a special effort to attend our July We can use your input on Rule 45. If you have any questions, please call.

Very truly yours,

Alan L. Sullivan

ALS/kr Enclosure

cc:

DAVID E. SALISBURY M SCOTT WOODLAND NORMAN S. JOHNSON STEPHEN D. SWINDLE

ROBERT D. MERRILL VILLIAM G FOWLER

LAN F MECHAM

REGORY P. WILLIAMS

LAN F MECHAM
ARNT J. GIAUQUE
E. SCOTT SAVAGE
KENNETH W YEATES
RAND L. COOK
JOHN A. SNOW
DAVID A. GREENWOOD
MAXILIAN A. FAPBMAN
ARTHUR B. RALPH
ALAN L. SULLIVAN

ARTHUR B. RALPH
ALAN L. SULLIVAN
ROBERT A. PETERSON
J. KEITH ADAMS
THOMAS T. BILLINGS
RICHARD C. SKEEN
JOHN T. NIELISEN
MICHAEL F. RICHMAN
DANNY C. KELLY
STEVEN D. WOODLAND
RICHARD H. JOHNSON, II
H. MICHAEL KELLER
BRENT D. CHRISTENSEN
ELIZABETH A. WHITSETT
JEFFREY E. NELSON
PATRICIA M. LEITH
S. STEPHEN MARSHALL

R. STEPHEN MARSHALL THOMAS G. BERGGREN

THOMAS G. BERGGREN ERVIN R. HOLMES RONALD G. MOFFITT ERIC C. OLSON PATRICK J. O'HARA MATTHEW F. MCNULTY, III S. ROBERT BRADLEY

JON C. CHRISTIANSEN GUY P. KROESCHE

GUY P. KROESCHE
JOHN A. ANDERSON
WAYNE D. SWAN
GREGORY N. BARRICK
SCOTT M. HADLEY
TIMOTHY W. BLACKBURN
DONALD L. DALTON
GERALD H. SUNIVILLE
MARILYN M. BRANCH
MARVIN D. BAGLEY
DAVID L. ARRINGTON
JOHN W. ANDREWS
CASEY K. McGARYEY
DOUGLAS A. TAGGART
KATHRYN H. SNEDAKER
PHYLLIS J. VETTER

PHYLLIS J. VETTER SUSAN G. LAWRENCE

SUSAN G. LAWRENCE
JEREMY M. HOFFMAN
CLARK K. TAYLOR
BRYON J. BENEVENTO
ROBERT W. PAYNE
JAMES D. GILSON
OUGLAS C. TINGEY
NATHAN W. JONES
ELIZABETH D. WINTER
JON E. WADDOUPS
OAVID E. SLOAN
SCOTT K. MAYEDA
BRADLEY R. CAHOON
DAVID E. ALLEN
MELYSSA D. DAVIDSON
CRAIG W. DALLON
MICHELE BALLANTYNE
THOMAS W. CLAWSON
DANIEL R. MCCARTHY
PRESTON C. REGEHR
MICHAEL T. ROBERTS

Colin Winchester, Esq. (w/encl.) Craig Jacobsen, Esq. (w/encl.)

I've enclosed yet another "discovery" anticle you may find helpful. Gam 027\10395.

### **MINUTES**

# **Utah Supreme Court Advisory Committee** on the Rules of Civil Procedure

# Wednesday, July 21, 1993, 4:00p.m. **Administrative Office of the Courts**

## Alan L. Sullivan, Presiding

PRESENT: M. Karlynn Hinman, Brad R. Baldwin, Thomas R. Karrenberg, Hon. Boyd Bunnell, James R. Soper, Mary Anne Q. Wood, Terrie T. McIntosh, Francis M. Wikstrom, Perrin R. Love, Elizabeth T. Dunning, David K. Isom, Terry S. Kogan, Glenn C. Hanni, Alan L. Sullivan, Allan L. Larsen.

**EXCUSED:** Colin R. Winchester, Jaryl L. Rencher, Honorable Ronald N. Boyce, John L. Young, Hon. Michael R. Murphy, Robert A. Echard and Hon. Samuel Alba.

STAFF:

Craig T. Jacobsen

**VISITORS:** Lisa Watts Baskin

#### I. WELCOME

Mr. Sullivan welcomed the members of the Committee to the meeting, thanking them for their willingness to meet in July. Mr. Sullivan indicated that the main purpose of the meeting was to complete the Committee work on the proposed changes to Rule 45.

#### П. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Sullivan asked the Committee for a motion to approve the minutes for the meeting in June. Professor Kogan so moved and Ms. Hinman seconded the motion. The Committee unanimously approved the minutes as presented.

#### III. RULE 64

Mr. Sullivan stated that the Judicial Rules Committee of the legislature had apprised him of an interest to revise Rule 64 to the extent it addresses continuous garnishment procedure and also to make changes to Rule 64B. The underlying basis for the desire to make changes to Rule 64 is a sentiment that the rule should be statutory rather than procedural. Mr. Sullivan advised the Committee that he had met with the legislative committee two weeks ago, and the committee had expressed concern about rules governing garnishment. The legislative committee requested this Committee to research whether there is an identifiable constitutional problem with continuous writs of garnishment. Mr. Sullivan further stated that the Supreme Court would like to meet with the legislative committee to further identify the concerns.

## IV. RULE 45

Mr. Sullivan suggested that the Committee go through the latest draft of Rule 45 paragraph by paragraph to complete any final revisions. Beginning with Rule 45(a)(1)(C), the Committee made a line-by-line analysis of the proposed language, which resulted in numerous edits, changes and stylistic improvements so that the subsections would conform with each other. In particular, the Committee focused on the language in 45(a)(1)(D) (which addresses whether there must be substantial or verbatim compliance with the language requirements of the rule). The Committee also focused on whether proof of service should be required in all subpoenaes. The Committee members spent a great deal of time conforming the language and style of each subsection. The Committee discussed Rule 45's sanction provisions. Finally, the Committee debated the notice requirements and whether the proposed 14 day requirement is proper.

Following the Committee's discussion and line-by-line edit, Mr. Sullivan stated that Mr. Love and he (mostly Mr. Love) would complete a redraft of Rule 45, incorporating all of the changes, deletions and additions discussed in this meeting. Following completion of the final draft, Mr. Sullivan would send out the proposed final version and give the Committee members 7 days for comments. Mr. Sullivan requested that the Committee authorize Mr. Love and him to make any changes that would come out of the 7 day comment period so that the draft could be finalized. Without further discussion, the Committee approved Mr. Sullivan's authorization request.

### V. CONCLUSION

There being no further business, Mr. Sullivan adjourned the Committee until the next meeting, scheduled for Wednesday, September 22, 1993.