

Agenda

Utah Supreme Court Advisory Committee Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure

Nathalie Skibine, Chair Stanford Purser, Vice Chair

Location: Meeting held through Webex and in person at:

Matheson Courthouse, Council Room, N. 301

450 S. State St.

Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

https://utcourts.webex.com/utcourts/j.php?MTID=m538581f9082cdad50ff1e74adef124f9

Date: September 5, 2024

Time: 12:00 to 1:30 p.m.

Action : Welcome and approval of June 6, 2024 Minutes	Tab 1	Nathalie Skibine, Chair
Action: Final Approval of Rules 19, 21, 23C, and 29	Tab 2	Nathalie Skibine
Action: Rule 8	Tab 3	Stan Purser
Action: Rule 42	Tab 4	Clark Sabey, Michelle Quist, Judge Christiansen Forster
Action: Review Manner of Appearance Rules and Consider a Rule for the Appellate Courts	Tab 5	Nick Stiles
Action: Appellate Disqualification	Tab 6	Nick Stiles
Discussion: Old/new business		Nathalie Skibine, Chair

Committee Webpage: https://legacy.utcourts.gov/utc/appellate-procedure/

2024/2025 Meeting schedule:

October 3, 2024	January 2, 2025	April 3, 2025
November 7, 2024	February 6, 2025	May 1, 2025
December 5, 2024	March 6, 2025	June 5, 2025

TAB 1



Minutes

Supreme Court's Advisory Committee on the **Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure**

Administrative Office of the Courts 450 South State Street Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

In Person and by WebEx Videoconference Thursday, June 6, 2024 12:00 pm to 1:30 pm

PRESENT

Emily Adams Orme Christopher Ballard—Chair Troy Booher— **Emeritus Member** Judge Michele Christiansen Forster Lisa Collins Carol Funk Nick Stiles—Staff Amber Griffith—Staff Michael Judd—Recording Secretary

Judge Gregory

Tera Peterson

Stanford Purser

Clark Sabey

Nathalie Skibine — Vice Chair

Scarlet Smith

Mary Westby

EXCUSED

Debra Nelson Michelle Quist

GUESTS

Heath Haacke Debra Kurzban Alexa Mareschal Adam Trupp

1. Action:

Chris Ballard

Approval of May 2024 Minutes

The committee reviewed the May 2024 minutes and identified a needed correction to the attendee list.

With that correction made, Mary Westby moved to approve the May 2024 minutes as they appeared in the committee's materials. Judge Michele Christiansen Forster seconded that motion, and it passed without objection by unanimous consent.

2. Action: Rule 21

Chris Ballard Nathalie Skibine

The committee discussed a concern raised by Nicole Gray about a potential contradiction within Rule 21 regarding proof-of-service requirements. The committee considered a pair of potential approaches to resolving that issue. The committee also changed a reference to "contact information" to refer instead to "user accounts."

Following that discussion, Emily Adams moved to approve the proposed changes to Rule 21, as modified and as they appeared on the screen at the committee's meeting. Ms. Westby seconded that motion, and it passed without objection by unanimous consent.

3. Action: Rule 23C and Rule 19

Chris Ballard Nathalie Skibine

The committee received feedback from the Utah Supreme Court designed to help clarify language contained in Rules 23C and 19. The committee reviewed and agreed on changes intended to incorporate that feedback.

Following that discussion, Judge Gregory Orme moved to approve the proposed changes to Rules 23C and Rule 19, as modified and as they appeared on the screen at the committee's meeting. Clark Sabey seconded that motion, and it passed without objection by unanimous consent.

4. Action: Stan Purser Rule 8

The committee returned to its discussion of the standard an appellate court should apply in granting a stay. Mr. Purser explained the basis for the proposed changes and alerted the committee to the fact that the two parallel federal rules (governing injunctive relief and stays) track one another and that existing Utah caselaw—including *Jensen v. Schwendimann*, 744 P.2d 1026 (Utah Ct. App. 1987)—may already call for the showings required by the proposed amended rule.

Mr. Sabey and Ms. Westby raised concerns about the burden on appellants and on the court in evaluating likelihood-of-success-on-the-merits arguments at an early appellate stage.

Following that discussion, Ms. Adams moved that the committee refer the proposed changes to Rule 8 to a subcommittee comprising Troy Booher, Clark Sabey, and Stan Purser. That motion was seconded, and it passed without objection by unanimous consent.

5. Action: Rules Governing Child-Welfare Appeals

Mary Westby, Emily Adams, Martha Pierce, John Peterson, Alexa Mareschal

The subcommittee reported broad agreement on a number of formerly contested issues, reserving for discussion only a matter related to options regarding supplemental briefing. The committee discussed what would become of Rule 58, reaffirming that the committee's intent was to repeal that rule as unnecessary after the proposed changes are made.

Following that discussion, Judge Orme moved to approve the proposed changes to the rules governing child-welfare appeals, as modified, as included in Tab 7 of the committee's monthly materials, and as they appeared on the screen at the committee's meeting. Ms. Adams seconded that motion, and it passed without objection by unanimous consent.

6. Action: Rule 42

Clark Sabey, Judge Christiansen Forster, Michelle Quist, Carol Funk

Given the lack of adequate time to discuss Rule 42, the committee tabled that discussion until its next meeting.

7. Action: Rule 29

Clark Sabey

The proposed changes to Rule 29 are intended to address a potential practice of parties designating too much time for rebuttal at oral argument in an attempt to circumvent expectations about the content and order of arguments.

Following that discussion, the committee received a motion to approve the changes to Rule 29, as modified and as they appeared on the screen at the committee's meeting. That motion was seconded, and it passed without objection by unanimous consent.

8. Discussion: Old/New Business

Chris Ballard

None.

9. Adjourn

Chris Ballard

Following the business and discussions described above, Ms. Quist moved to adjourn, and Mr. Purser seconded. The committee adjourned. The committee's next meeting will take place on September 5, 2024.

TAB 2

1 Rule 19. Extraordinary relief.

2 (a) **Petition for extraordinary relief.** When no other plain, speedy, or adequate remedy

Draft: May 30, 2024

- 3 is available, a person may petition an appellate court for extraordinary relief referred to
- 4 in Rule 65B of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 5 (b) **Respondents.** The person or entity against whom relief is sought and all parties in
- 6 any related district court or agency action other than the petitioner are deemed
- 7 respondents for all purposes.
- 8 (c) **Filing and service.** The petition must be filed with the appellate court clerk and served
- 9 on the respondent(s). In the event of an original petition in the appellate court where no
- 10 action is pending in the district court or agency, the petition also must be served on all
- 11 persons or entities whose interests might be substantially affected.
- 12 (d) **Filing fee.** The petitioner must, pursuant to Rule 21, pay the prescribed filing fee to
- 13 the appellate court clerk, unless waived by the court.
- 14 (e) **Contents of petition.** A petition for extraordinary relief must contain the following:
- 15 (1) a list of all respondents against whom relief is sought, and all others persons or
- entities, by name or by class, whose interests might be substantially affected;
- 17 (2) a statement of the issues presented and of the relief sought;
- 18 (3) a statement of the facts necessary to understand the issues presented by the
- 19 petition;
- 20 (4) a statement of the reasons why no other plain, speedy, or adequate remedy exists
- and why the relief should be granted;
- 22 (5) when the subject of the petition is an interlocutory order, a statement explaining
- 23 whether a petition for interlocutory appeal has been filed and, if so, summarize its
- status or, if not, why interlocutory appeal is not a plain, speedy, or adequate remedy;

explaining why it is impractical or inappropriate to file the petition in the district

Draft: May 30, 2024

27 court;

26

- 28 (7) a discussion of points and authorities in support of the petition; and
- 29 (8) copies of any order or opinion or parts of the record that may be essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition.
- 31 (f) Emergency Expedited relief review. When emergency expedited relief review is
- 32 sought, the petitioner must file a separate motion pursuant to Rule 23C explaining why
- 33 <u>emergency expedited reliefreview</u> is requested. Any response to a motion filed under
- 34 Rule 23C is governed by that rule and is separate from any response to a petition filed
- 35 under Rule 19.
- 36 (g) **Response.** No petition will be granted in the absence of a request by the court for a
- 37 response. No response to a petition will be received unless requested by the court.
- 38 (1) **Timing.** If requested, a respondent may file a response within 30 days of the court's
- request or within such other time as the court orders.
- 40 (2) **Joint Response.** Two or more respondents may respond jointly.
- 41 (3) **Contents.** The response must include, or respond to, as appropriate, the items in
- 42 paragraph (e).
- 43 (4) **Notice of non-participation.** If any respondent does not desire to appear in the
- 44 proceedings or file a response, that respondent may advise the appellate court clerk
- and all parties by letter, but the allegations of the petition will not thereby be deemed
- 46 admitted.
- 47 (h) **Reply.** The petitioner may file a reply within 14 days after service of the response. A
- 48 reply must be limited to responding to the facts and arguments raised in the response.

49 (i) Page and word limits. A petition or response may not exceed 20 pages or 7,000 words.

Draft: May 30, 2024

- A reply may not exceed 10 pages or 3,500 words. Headings, footnotes, and quotations
- 51 count toward the page or word limit, but the cover page or caption, any table of contents
- or authorities, signature block, certificates, and any attachments do not.
- 53 (j) Certificate of compliance. A petition, response, and reply must include the filer's
- 54 certification that the document complies with:
- (1) paragraph (i), governing the number of pages or words (the filer may rely on the
- word count of the word processing system used to prepare the document); and
- 57 (2) <u>Rule 21</u>, governing filings containing non-public information.
- 58 (k) Review and disposition of petition.
- 59 (1) The court may deny a petition without a response. Where a response has been
- 60 called for, the court will render a decision based on the petition and any timely
- response and reply, or it may require briefing or request further information, and may
- 62 hold oral argument at its discretion.
- 63 (2) If the court determines that the petition was not appropriately filed in the appellate
- 64 court, the court will refer the petition to the appropriate district court. Any review of
- 65 the district court's decision on the petition must be pursued by appeal rather than a
- 66 refiling of the petition.
- 67 (3) A single judge or justice may deny the petition if it is frivolous on its face or fails
- to materially comply with the requirements of this rule or <u>Rule 65B</u> of the Utah Rules
- of Civil Procedure. A petition's denial by a single judge or justice may be reviewed by
- 70 the appellate court upon specific request filed within seven days of notice of
- 71 disposition, but such request may not include any additional argument or briefing.
- 72 (l) **Transmission of record.** In reviewing a petition for extraordinary relief, the appellate
- 73 court may order transmission of the record, or any relevant portion thereof.
- 74 (m) Issuing an extraordinary writ on the court's motion.

75 (1) The appellate court, in aid of its own jurisdiction in extraordinary cases, may on 76 its own motion issue a writ directed to a judge, agency, person, or entity.

Draft: May 30, 2024

- 77 (2) A copy of the writ will be served on the named respondents in the manner and by 78 an individual authorized to accomplish personal service under <u>Rule 4</u> of the Utah 79 Rules of Civil Procedure. In addition, copies of the writ must be transmitted by the 80 appellate court clerk, by the most direct means available, to all persons or associations 81 whose interests might be substantially affected by the writ.
 - (3) The respondent and the persons or entities whose interests are substantially affected may, within four days of the writ's issuance, petition the court to dissolve or amend the writ. The petition must be accompanied by a concise statement of the reasons for dissolving or amending the writ.
- 86 *Effective* May 1, 2024

82

83

84

85

87

Advisory Committee Note

- 88 The Utah Constitution enshrines the right to a writ of habeas corpus. Utah Const., art. I, 89 sec. 5; art. VIII, sec. 3; art. VIII, sec. 5. The Appellate Rules Committee recommended 90 repealing Rule 20 (Habeas Corpus Proceedings) because it was duplicative of Rule 19 91 (Extraordinary Relief) and potentially caused incarcerated individuals to forgo filing a 92 petition under the Post-Conviction Remedies Act (Utah Code Title 78B, Chapter 9). The 93 repeal is not intended to substantively affect a defendant's right to a writ of habeas 94 corpus. Rule 19 of the Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure and Rules 65B and 65C of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure govern habeas corpus proceedings. 95
- 96 *Adopted May 1, 2023*

1 Rule 21. Filing and service.

- 2 (a) **Filing**. Documents required or permitted to be filed by these rules must be filed with
- 3 the appellate court clerk.
- 4 (1) **How to file.** The appellate courts are transitioning to an e-filing system. Before
- 5 August 1, 2024, a document may be filed by submitting it through the appellate e-
- 6 filing system, by email, mail, or in person. Effective August 1, 2024, all licensed
- attorneys must file through the appellate e-filing system. Unrepresented parties may
- 8 continue to file by email, mail, or in person. If e-filed or emailed, a document must be
- 9 in a searchable PDF format of no more than seven megabytes. Large PDF documents
- must be divided into multiple files of no more than seven megabytes each. Documents
- filed by email in the Supreme Court must be sent to supremecourt@utcourts.gov.
- Documents filed by email in the Court of Appeals must be sent
- to <u>courtofappeals@utcourts.gov</u>.
- 14 (2) **Timing.** Except as provided in paragraph (g):
- 15 (A) Documents other than briefs are timely:
- (i) if received by e-filing or email to the appropriate court by 11:59 p.m. on the
- 17 due date; or
- 18 (ii) if received by mail or hand delivery to the Appellate Clerks' Office before 5
- p.m. on the due date.
- 20 (B) Briefs are timely:
- 21 (i) if received by e-filing or email to the appropriate court by 11:59 p.m. on the
- 22 due date;
- 23 (ii) if postmarked by the due date; or
- 24 (iii) if received by hand delivery to the Appellate Clerks' Office before 5 p.m.
- on the due date.

- 26 (b) Filing Fees. If a statute or rule establishes a fee for the filing, the party must pay the
- 27 fee to the appellate court clerk no more than seven days after the filing, or the filing may
- be stricken. If a party elects to e-file, the party must pay the filing fee at the time of e-
- 29 filing. Failure to pay the filing fee may result in dismissal.
- 30 (c) **Service of all documents required**. All documents filed with the appellate court must,
- at or before the time of filing, be served on all other parties to the appeal or review. Service
- on a party represented by counsel must be made on counsel of record, or, if the party is
- 33 not represented by counsel, on the party at the last known address or email address
- 34 provided to the appellate court. Any document required by these rules to be served on a
- 35 party must be filed with the court and accompanied by proof of service.
- 36 (d) **Manner of service**. Service may be personal, by e-filing, by mail, or by email. Personal
- 37 service includes delivery of the copy to a clerk or other responsible person at the office of
- 38 counsel. Service by mail or email is complete on mailing or emailing. Service by e-filing
- is complete on acceptance by the e-filing system.
- 40 (e) Proof of service. Documents filed through the e-filing system need not include a
- 41 certificate or acknowledgement of service if all parties have contact informationuser
- 42 <u>accounts</u> in the e-filing system. All other documents presented for filing must contain an
- acknowledgment of service by the person served or a certificate of service in the form of
- a statement of the date and manner of service, the names of the persons served, and the
- 45 addresses at which they were served. The certificate of service may appear on or be
- 46 affixed to the documents filed. If counsel of record is served, the certificate of service must
- designate the name of the party represented by that counsel.
- 48 (f) Signature. All documents filed in the appellate court must be signed by counsel of
- 49 record or by a party who is not represented by counsel. For documents e-filed, or filed by
- 50 email, the documents may be electronically signed as follows: /s/ name of unrepresented
- 51 party or name of counsel of record.
- 52 (g) **Filing by inmate**.

- 53 (1) For purposes of this paragraph (g), an inmate is a person confined to an institution 54 or committed to a place of legal confinement.
 - (2) Documents filed by an inmate are timely filed if they are deposited in the institution's internal mail system on or before the due date. Timely filing may be shown by a contemporaneously filed notarized statement or written declaration setting forth the date of deposit and stating that first-class postage has been, or is being, prepaid, or that the inmate has complied with any applicable requirements for legal mail set by the institution. Response time will be calculated from the date the documents are received by the court.
 - (h) Filings containing other than public information and records. If a filing, including an addendum, contains non-public information, the filer must also file a version with all such information removed. Non-public information means information classified as private, controlled, protected, safeguarded, sealed, juvenile court legal, or juvenile court social, or any other information to which the right of public access is restricted by statute, rule, order, or case law.
- 68 *Effective May* 1, 2024

69

70

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

Advisory Committee Note

- Court records are public unless otherwise classified as private, controlled, protected, safeguarded, sealed, juvenile court legal, or juvenile court social by the Utah Code of
- 73 Judicial Administration. The right of public access may be restricted by statute (including
- the Government Records Access and Management Act), rule, case law, or court order. If
- 75 a filing contains information or records that are not public, the filer must file an
- or the court and a version for the public that does not contain the
- 77 nonpublic information.
- 78 Note adopted April 2, 2020

- 1 Rule 23C. Motion for emergency relief expedited review.
- 2 (a) Emergency relief; exception. Emergency relief is any relief sought within a time period

Draft: July 10, 2024

- 3 shorter than specified by otherwise applicable rules. A motion for emergency relief filed
- 4 under this Rule is not sufficient to invoke the jurisdiction of the appellate court. No
- 5 emergency relief will be granted in the absence of a separately filed petition or notice that
- 6 invokes the appellate jurisdiction of the court.
- 7 (b) Content of motion. A party seeking emergency relief shall file with the appellate court
- 8 a motion for emergency relief containing(a) Expedited review. A party may request
- 9 expedited review on any pending motion, petition, or appeal by filing a motion with the
- 10 <u>appellate court.</u>
- 11 (b) Content of motion. A motion for expedited review must contain under appropriate
- 12 headings and in the order indicated:
- 13 (1) a specification of the order from which relief is sought;
- 14 (2) a copy of any written order at issue;
- 15 (3) a specific and clear statement of the relief sought;
- 16 (4) a statement of the factual and legal grounds entitling the party to relief;
- 17 (5) a (2) a statement of the facts and any applicable legal grounds justifying emergency
- 18 <u>action</u><u>expedited review</u>; and
- 19 (63) a certificate that all papers filed with the court have been served upon all parties
- by overnight mail, hand delivery, facsimile, or electronic transmission.
- 21 The motion shallmay not exceed 155 pages, exclusive of any addendum containing
- 22 statutes, rules, regulations, or portions of the record necessary to decide the matter. It also
- 23 shall not seek relief beyond that necessitated by the emergency circumstances justifying
- 24 the motion.addenda.

- 25 (c) Service in criminal and juvenile delinquency cases. Any motion filed by a defendant
- 26 in a criminal case originally charged as a felony or by a juvenile in a delinquency
- 27 proceeding shall be served on the Appeals Division of the Office of the Utah Attorney
- 28 General.
- 29 (d(c) **Response**; **no reply**. Any party may file a response to the motion within three days
- after service of the motion or whatever shorter time the appellate court may fix. The
- 31 response shallmay not exceed 155 pages, exclusive of any addendum containing statutes,
- 32 rules, regulations, or portions of the record necessary to decide the matter.addenda. No
- 33 reply shallwill be permitted. Unless unless the appellate court is persuaded that an
- 34 emergency circumstance justifies and requires a temporary stay of a lower tribunal's
- 35 proceedings prior to the opportunity to receive or review a response, no motion shall be
- 36 granted before the response period expires.calls for one.
- 37 (ed) Form of papers. Papers filed pursuant to this rule shallmust comply with the
- 38 requirements of Rule 27.
- 39 (fe) **Hearing.** A hearing on the motion will be granted only in exceptional circumstances.
- 40 No motion for emergency relief will be heard without the presence of an Any adverse
- 41 party <u>must be present for any hearing</u> except on a showing that the party (1) was served
- with reasonable notice of the hearing, and (2) cannot be reached by telephone.
- 43 (f) **Decision**. The court will not grant a motion before the response time expires unless
- 44 <u>the court is persuaded that the circumstances justify immediate relief.</u>
- 45 (g) **Power of a single justice or judge to entertain motions**. A single justice or
- 46 judge may act upon a motion for emergency relief expedited review as provided in Rule
- 47 <u>23(e).</u>

48

49 Advisory Committee Note

50 This rule does not confer jurisdiction on the court and may not be used to the extent

Draft: July 10, 2024

- 51 permitted by Rule 19 where extraordinaryseek substantive relief is sought, and by Rule
- 52 23(e) in all that might be available under other rules, including Rules 8 and 19.

1 Rule 29. Oral argument. Argument.

- 2 (a) Holding oral argument.
- 3 (1) **Supreme Court**. Oral argument will be held in cases before the Supreme Court
- 4 unless the court determines that oral argument will not aid the decisional process.
- 5 (2) Court of Appeals. Oral argument will be allowed in all cases in which the Court
- of Appeals determines that oral argument will significantly aid the decisional process.
- 7 (3) Argument formatlternative means. The court may hold oral argument in person,
- 8 by phone, or by videoconference.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

(b) Notice; waiver; cancellation; continuance.

a showing of exceptional circumstances.

- (1) **Supreme Court.** Not later than 28 days before the date on which a case is calendared, the clerk will give notice of the time and place of oral argument, and the time to be allowed each side. If all parties to a case believe oral argument will not benefit the court, they may file a joint motion to cancel oral argument not later than 14 days from the date of the clerk's notice. The court will grant the motion only if it determines that oral argument will not aid the decisional process. A motion to continue oral argument must be supported by (1) a stipulation of all parties or a statement that the movant was unable to obtain such a stipulation, and (2) an affidavit or declaration of counsel specifying the grounds for the motion. A motion to continue filed not later than 14 days from the date of the clerk's notice may be granted on a showing of good cause. A motion to continue filed thereafter will be granted only on
 - (2) **Court of Appeals**. Not later than 28 days before the date on which a case is calendared, the clerk shallwill give notice to all parties that oral argument is to be permitted, the time and place of oral argument, and the time to be allowed each side. Any party may waive oral argument by filing a written waiver with the clerk not later than 14 days from the date of the clerk's notice. If one party waives oral argument and any other party does not, the party waiving oral argument may nevertheless present

oral argument. A request to continue oral argument or for additional argument time must be made by motion. A motion to continue oral argument must be supported by (1) a stipulation of all parties or a statement that the movant was unable to obtain such a stipulation, and (2) an affidavit or declaration of counsel specifying the grounds for the motion. A motion to continue filed not later than 14 days from the date of the clerk's notice may be granted on a showing of good cause. A motion to continue filed thereafter will be granted only on a showing of exceptional circumstances.

- (c) **Argument order**. The appellant argues first and the appellee responds. The appellant may reply to the appellee's argument if appellant reserved part of appellant's time for this purpose. Such The time reserved may not exceed five minutes,; and such argument in reply is limited to responding to points made by appellee in appellee's oral argument and answering any questions from the court.
- (d) Cross and separate appeals. A cross or separate appeal is argued with the initial appeal at a single argument, unless the court otherwise directs. If a case involves a separate appeal, the plaintiff in the action below is deemed the appellant for the purpose of this rule unless the parties otherwise agree or the court otherwise directs. If separate appellants support the same argument, care must be taken to avoid duplicative arguments. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, in cases involving a cross-appeal the appellant, as determined pursuant to Rule 24A, opens the argument and presents only the issues raised in the appellant's opening brief. The cross-appellant then presents an argument that answers the appellant's issues and addresses original issues raised by the cross-appeal. The appellant then presents an argument that replies to the cross-appeal. The cross-appellant may then present an argument that is confined to a reply to the appellant's answer to the issues raised by the cross-appeal. The court will grant reasonable requests, for good cause shown, for extended argument time.
- (e) **Nonappearance of parties**. If the appellee fails to appear to present argument, the court will hear argument on behalf of the appellant, if present. If the appellant fails to

- appear, the court may hear argument on behalf of the appellee, if present. If neither party
- 57 appears, the case may be decided on the briefs, or the court may direct that the case be
- rescheduled for argument.
- 59 (f) **Submission on the briefs**. By agreement of the parties, a case may be submitted for
- decision on the briefs, but the court may direct that the case be argued.
- 61 (g) Use of physical exhibits at argument; removal. If physical exhibits other than
- documents are to be used at the argument, counsel must arrange to have them placed in
- 63 the courtroom before the court convenes on the date of the argument. After the argument,
- counsel must remove the exhibits from the courtroom unless the court otherwise directs.
- 65 If exhibits are not reclaimed by counsel within a reasonable time after notice is given by
- 66 the clerk, they will be destroyed or otherwise disposed of.
- 67 *Effective* November 1, 2022
- 68 Advisory Committee Note
- 69 "Declaration" refers to an unsworn declaration as described in Title 78B, Chapter 18a,
- 70 Uniform Unsworn Declarations Act.
- **71** *Adopted* 2022

TAB 3

23

24

1	Rule 8. Stay or injunction pending appeal.
2	(a) Motion for stay or injunctive relief.
3	(1) Initial motion in the trial court. A Unless a party can demonstrate extraordinary
4	circumstances or that the trial court has already rejected the basis for the relief
5	requested under this rule, the party must ordinarily move first in the trial court for
6	the following relief pending appeal or pending disposition of a petition under Rule 5,
7	Rule 14, Rule 15, or Rule 19:
8	(A) a stay of the judgment or order without security pending appeal or disposition
9	of a petition under Rule <u>5</u> ;
10	(B) approval of a bond or other security provided to obtain a stay of the judgment
11	or order; or
12	(C) an order suspending, modifying, restoring, or granting injunctive reliefan
13	injunction while an appeal is pending, unless the trial court has already rejected
14	the basis for the requested relief.
15	(2) Motion in the appellate court.
16	(A) The motion for a stay or injunctive relief must include:
17	(i) the reasons the trial court denied the request;
18	(ii) the reasons for granting the relief requested and the facts relied on;
19	(iii) copies of affidavits or declarations, supporting facts subject to dispute; and
20	(iv) relevant parts of the record, including a copy of the trial court's order.
21	(B) Any The motion must comply with Rule 23.
22	(C) A motion for injunctive relief must also satisfy Rule 62(c) or Rule 65A(e).

(C) Except in extraordinary circumstances, an appellate court will not act on a

motion to stay a judgment or order or to suspend, modify, restore, or grant an

Draft: August 28, 2024

45

46

47

48

49

50

other party;

	URAP008. Amend. Redline Draft: August 28, 2024
25	injunction, unless the movant first requested a stay or opposed the injunction in
26	the trial court.
27	(3) Stays in criminal cases. Stays pending appeal in criminal cases in which the
28	defendant has been sentenced are governed by Utah Code section 77-20-302 and Rule
29	27 of the Utah Rules of Criminal Procedure. Stays in other criminal cases are governed
30	by this rule.
31	(b) Bond Security requirement.
32	(1) Stay ordinarily conditioned upon giving a bondadequate security. For requests to A
33	stay or injunctive relief under this rule ordinarily -stay enforcement of a judgment or
34	order to pay money to which Rule 62 of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure applied in the
35	trial court, relief available pending appeal will be conditioned upon giving a bond or
36	other appropriate security in the trial court, unless there is no reasonable means of
37	quantifying the security in monetary or other terms and the conditions of paragraphs
38	$(b)(2)c)$ or (d) are $met_{satisfied}$.
39	(c) (2) Stay in cases not conditioned on giving a bondsecurity. Ordinarily a stay or
40	injunctive relief without a bond or other security will not be granted unless the
41	movant demonstrates that the following factors weigh in favor of the stay-or
42	injunctive relief:
43	(A) a likelihood of success on the merits: or the case presents serious issues on the
44	merits warranting appellate review and the appellant demonstrates:

(BA) a likelihood of irreparable harm to the movant outweighing the harm to any

(C) and the stay would not be adverse to the public interest; and or

(DB) any extraordinary circumstances that justifyies issuing a staythe relief.

(d) Injunction in cases not conditioned on security. (c) Injunctions. For requests for

injunctive relief to which Rules <u>65A</u> or <u>62</u> of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure applied in

URAP008. Amend. Redline

51	the trial court, any relief available pending appeal is governed by those rules. An
52	injunction without security will not be granted unless the movant demonstrates:
53	(A) a substantial likelihood of prevailing on the merits on appeal;
54	(B) the movant will suffer irreparable harm unless the injunction is granted;
55	(C) the irreparable harm to the movant outweighs whatever harm the proposed
56	injunction may cause the party enjoined; and
57	(D) the injunction would not be adverse to the public interest.
58	Effective May 1, 2023
59	Advisory Committee Note
60	"Declaration" refers to an unsworn declaration as described in Title 78B, Chapter 18a,
61	Uniform Unsworn Declarations Act.
62	Adopted 2022
63	

Draft: August 28, 2024

TAB 4

URAP042. Amend. Justice Pohlman's Suggested Edits

Note: Rule 42 was tabled due to time constraints at the June 6, 2024 meeting. In error the rule was submitted to the Supreme Court. Before this mistake was discovered, Justice Pohlman reviewed the draft and provided the below suggestions.

Draft: July 10, 2024

1 Rule 42. Transfer of case from Supreme Court to Court of Appeals

- 2 (a) Discretion of Supreme Court to transfer. At any time before a case is set for oral
- 3 argument before the Supreme Court, the Court may conditionally transfer to the Court
- 4 of Appeals any case except those cases within the Supreme Court's exclusive jurisdiction.
- 5 The order of transfer will be issued without opinion, written or oral, as to the merits of
- 6 the appeal or the reasons for the transfer.
- 7 (b) Conditional transfer order. The court will issue the conditional order of transfer will
- 8 <u>be issued</u> without opinion, written or oral, as to the merits of the appeal or the reasons
- 9 for the transfer.

15

- 10 (c) Request for recall; response. A party may request that When the Supreme Court recall
- 11 <u>a case that has been issues an order conditionally transferreding a case</u> to the Court of
- 12 Appeals by, any party to the case may submitting a letter to the Supreme Court
- 13 requesting that the case be retained.
- 14 (1) A letter <u>requesting</u> re<u>call</u>tention must contain:
 - (A) The name of the case and the appellate case number,
- 16 (B) The names of all parties involved in the case and the attorneys and firms
- 17 representing the parties,
- 18 (C) A concise statement of the issues to be presented on appeal,
- 19 (D) A brief explanation of the reasons supporting re<u>call</u>tention or transfer, and
- 20 (E) A completed checklist for appellate jurisdiction.
- (2) The letter must not exceed five pages and must be filed within seven business days
 after fellowing issuance of the conditional transfer order.

Commented [JJMP1]: I'm curious to ask why the rule doesn't describe this as the court providing notice of an intent to transfer (and allowing for requests for retention)?

I do think if we use the conditional transfer language, we should refer to the case being recalled rather than retained. If it's been transferred, even if only conditionally, I think recall is the right verb.

Commented [JJMP2]: If we've already had a conditional transfer, does it make sense to have someone file in support of transfer? I'd suggest deleting.

Commented [JJMP3]: Rule 22(a) provides how 7 days will be computed.

URAP042. Amend.	Justice Pohlma	n's Suggested Edits
010/11 042. / Illicita.	justice i ornina	n s ouggested Edits

23	(3) Any response to a timely letter concerning requesting recall tention must be filed
24	within five $\frac{\text{business}}{\text{days}}$ days after service of the letter. The response may not exceed five
25	pages.

Draft: July 10, 2024

- (4) If the Supreme Court elects to re<u>call</u>tain the case, it will issue an order rescinding
 the conditional transfer order of transfer.
- 28 (5) If no timely request for re<u>call</u>tention is received or <u>if</u> the Supreme Court declines a 29 request to re<u>call</u>tain, the Supreme Court clerk will issue a notice to the parties and the 30 Court of Appeals informing them that the <u>conditional transfer</u> order of transfer will 31 stand.
- 32 (46) The Supreme Court will not consider any request for recall that does not comply
 33 with Any letter submitted outside of the provisions of the requirements of this
 34 paragraph (b) will not be considered.
- 35 (de) Notice of order of transfer. Upon entry of an order of transfer or conditional transfer 36 the Supreme Court clerk -will provide notice of the order to each party to the proceeding

and to the trial court clerk.

- 38 (d) Receipt of order of transfer by Court of Appeals. Upon receipt from the Supreme
- 39 Court clerk of an unconditional order of transfer or a notice that a conditional order of
- 40 transfer will stand, the Court of Appeals clerk will enter the appeal upon the Court of
- 41 Appeals docket. The Court of Appeals clerk will immediately give notice to each party to
- 42 the proceeding and to the clerk of the trial court clerk that the appeal has been docketed
- and that all further filings will be made with the Court of Appeals clerk.
- 44 (e) Transfer of appeal record. If the record on appeal has already been filed with the
- 45 Supreme Court clerk the record on appeal will be transmitted to the Court of Appeals
- 46 clerk within five days of the date of the entry of the order of transfer.
- 47 (f) Subsequent proceedings before Court of Appeals. Upon receipt by the Court of
- 48 Appeals clerk of an order of transfer or notice that a conditional order of transfer will

Commented [JJMP4]: This probably needs to be in the next subparagraph. I didn't cut and paste it so as to avoid losing the suggested edits, but I don't think it fits under this heading.

Commented [JJMP5]: I've changed to (4) in anticipation of moving (4) and (5) to the next paragraph.

Commented [JJMP6]: Are we sure? Occasionally, a party will realize late in the game that recall might be appropriate. Although I don't want to encourage belated requests, I don't know that we want to say that we won't consider them. Just something to think about.

Commented [JJMP7]: This overlaps a bit with what we have in (5) above. We'll want to merge the two together.

Commented [JJMP8]: This is the first mention of an unconditional order of transfer. We should either delete or explain what it is.

Commented [JJMP9]: Conditional? Unconditional?

URAP042. Amend. Justice Pohlman's Suggested Edits

Draft: July 10, 2024

 $49 \hspace{0.5cm} \text{stand, the case will proceed before the Court of Appeals to final decision and disposition} \\$

50 as in other appellate cases pursuant to these rules.

Commented [JJMP10]: This might suggest that the COA lacks discretion to ask the Supreme Court for recall. Assuming we want that discretion to remain (and I think we do), this may need revision.

We could also build into this a process by which a party could ask the COA to request recall by the supreme court. That would resolve the issue noted above where a party might realize late in the game that recall makes sense. Just an idea.

1 Rule 42. Transfer of case from Supreme Court to Court of Appeals

2 (a) Discretion of Supreme Court to transfer. At any time before a case is set for oral

Draft: April 25, 2024

- 3 argument before the Supreme Court, the Court may transfer to the Court of Appeals any
- 4 case except those cases within the Supreme Court's exclusive jurisdiction. The order of
- 5 transfer shall will be issued without opinion, written or oral, as to the merits of the appeal
- 6 or the reasons for the transfer.
- 7 (b) Conditional transfer order. The Supreme Court may conditionally transfer a case,
- 8 subject to a request that it be retained. If so, the A-When the Supreme Court issues an
- 9 order conditionally transferring a case to the Court of Appeals conditional transfer order,
- any party to the case may submit a letter requesting that the case be retained. will inform
- 11 permit the parties to that any of them may submit a letter of five pages or less within
- 12 seven days to the Supreme Court concerning the appropriateness of retaining the case on
- 13 its own docket or transferring the case to the Court of Appeals. The order may be
- 14 superseded by another order directing an immediate unconditional transfer if the
- 15 Supreme Court deems such a transfer to be appropriate.
- 16 (1) A letter concerning retention must contain:
- 17 (A) The name of the case and the appellate case number,
- 18 (B) The names of all parties involved in the case and the attorneys and firms
- representing the parties,
- 20 (C) A concise statement of the issues presented on appeal,
- 21 (D) A brief explanation of the reasons supporting retention or transfer, and
- 22 (E) A completed checklist for appellate jurisdiction.
- 23 The order will specify the timing and contents of the letter and the timing of any
- 24 response.
- 25 (2) The letter must not exceed five pages and must be filed within seven business days
- following issuance of the conditional transfer order.

27 (3) Any response to a timely timely letter concerning retention must be filed within five business days after service of the letter. The response may not exceed five pages. 28 (34) If the Supreme Court elects to retain the case, it will issue an order rescinding the 29 conditional order of transfer. 30 31 (45) If no timely request for retention is received or the Supreme Court declines a 32 request to retain, the Clerk of the Supreme Court clerk will issue a notice to the parties 33 and the Court of Appeals informing them that the order of transfer will stand. (56) Any letter submitted outside of the provisions of paragraph (b) will not be 34 considered. 35 (bc) Notice of order of transfer. Upon entry of the an order of transfer or conditional 36 transfer the Clerk of the Supreme Court clerk shall will provide give notice of entry of the 37 order of transfer by mail to each party to the proceeding and to the clerk of the trial court 38 clerk. Upon entry of the order of transfer, the Clerk of the Supreme Court shall transfer 39 40 the original of the order and the case, including the record and file of the case from the trial court, all papers filed in the Supreme Court, and a written statement of all docket 41 42 entries in the case up to and including the order of transfer, to the Clerk of the Court of 43 Appeals. (ed) Receipt of order of transfer by Court of Appeals. Upon receipt from the Clerk of the 44 Supreme Court clerk of the original an unconditional order of transfer or a notice that a 45 conditional order of transfer will stand from the Clerk of the Supreme Court, the Clerk of 46 the Court of Appeals clerk willshall enter the appeal upon the Court of Appeals docket. 47 The Clerk of the Court of Appeals clerk willshall immediately give notice to each party 48 to the proceeding and to the clerk of the trial court that the appeal has been docketed and 49 that all further filings will be made with the Clerk of the Court of Appeals clerk. The 50 notice shall state the docket number assigned to the case in the Court of Appeals. 51 (de) Filing or Ttransfer of appeal record. If the record on appeal has not been filed with 52 the Clerk of the Supreme Court as of the date of the order of transfer, the Clerk of the 53

Draft: April 25, 2024

appellate cases pursuant to these rules.

64

65

willshall proceed before the Court of Appeals to final decision and disposition as in other

Draft: April 25, 2024

TAB 5

URCP 87. New. Effective: 9/1/2024

Rule 87. In-person, remote, and hybrid hearings; request for different format.

(a) **Definitions**.

- (1) "Participant" means a party, an intervenor, a person who has objected to a subpoena, or an attorney for any such persons.
- (2) "In-person" means a participant will be physically present in the courtroom.
- (3) "In-person hearing" means a hearing where all participants appear in person.
- (4) "Remote" or "remotely" means a participant will appear by video conference or other electronic means approved by the court.
- (5) "Remote hearing" means no participants will be physically present in the courtroom and all participants will appear remotely.
- (6) "Hybrid hearing" means a hearing at which some participants appear in person and others appear remotely.
- (b) **Setting hearing format; factors to consider.** The court has discretion to set a hearing as an in-person hearing, a remote hearing, or a hybrid hearing. In determining which format to use for a hearing, the court will consider:
 - (1) the preference of the participants, if known;
 - (2) the anticipated hearing length;
 - (3) the number of participants;
 - (4) the burden on a participant of appearing in person compared to appearing remotely, including time and economic impacts;
 - (5) the complexity of issues to be addressed;
 - (6) whether and to what extent documentary or testimonial evidence is likely to be presented;
 - (7) the availability of adequate technology to accomplish the hearing's purpose;

- (8) the availability of language interpretation or accommodations for communication with individuals with disabilities;
- (9) the possibility that the court may order a party, who is not already in custody, into custody;
- (10) the preference of the incarcerating custodian where a party is incarcerated, if the hearing does not implicate significant constitutional rights; and
- (11) any other factor, based on the specific facts and circumstances of the case or the court's calendar, that the court deems relevant.

(c) Request to appear by a different format.

(1) **Manner of request.** A participant may request that the court allow the participant or a witness to appear at a hearing by a different format than that set by the court. Any request must be made verbally during a hearing, by email, by letter, or by written motion, and the participant must state the reason for the request. If a participant is represented by an attorney, all requests must be made by the attorney.

(A) Email and letter requests.

- (i) An email or letter request must be copied on all parties on the request;
- (ii) An email or letter request must include in the subject line, "REQUEST TO APPEAR IN PERSON, Case ________" or "REQUEST TO APPEAR REMOTELY, Case _________" and
- (iii) An email request must be sent to the court's email address, which may be obtained from the court clerk.
- (B) **Request by written motion.** If making a request by written motion, the motion must succinctly state the grounds for the request and be

- accompanied by a request to submit for decision and a proposed order. The motion need not be accompanied by a supporting memorandum.
- (2) **Timing**. All requests, except those made verbally during a hearing, must be sent to the court at least seven days before the hearing unless there are exigent circumstances or the hearing was set less than seven days before the hearing date, in which case the request must be made as soon as reasonably possible.

(d) Resolution of the request.

- (1) **Timing and manner of resolution.** The court may rule on a request under paragraph (c) without awaiting a response. The court may rule on the request in open court, by email, by minute entry, or by written order. If the request is made by email, the court will make a record if the request is denied.
- (2) Court's accommodation of participant's preference; factors to consider. The court will accommodate a timely request unless the court makes, on the record, a finding of good cause to order the participant to appear in the format originally noticed. The court may find good cause to deny a request based on:
 - (A) a constitutional or statutory right that requires a particular manner of appearance or a significant possibility that such a right would be impermissibly diminished or infringed by appearing remotely;
 - (B) a concern for a participant's or witness's safety, well-being, or specific situational needs;
 - (C) a prior technological challenge in the case that unreasonably contributed to delay or a compromised record;
 - (D) a prior failure to demonstrate appropriate court decorum, including attempting to participate from a location that is not conducive to accomplishing the purpose of the hearing;

- (E) a prior failure to appear for a hearing of which the participant had notice;
- (F) the possibility that the court may order a party, who is not already in custody, into custody;
- (G) the preference of the incarcerating custodian where a party is incarcerated, if the hearing does not implicate significant constitutional rights;
- (H) an agreement or any objection of the parties;
- (I) the court's determination that the consequential nature of a specific hearing requires all participants to appear in person; or
- (J) the capacity of the court, including but not limited to the required technology equipment, staff, or security, to accommodate the request.
- (3) **Effect on other participants**. The preference of one participant, and the court's accommodation of that preference, does not:
 - (A) change the format of the hearing for any other participant unless otherwise ordered by the court; or
 - (B) affect any other participant's opportunity to make a timely request to appear by a different format or the court's consideration of that request.

URCrP 17.5 New. *Effective*: 9/1/2024

Rule 17.5. In-person, remote, and hybrid hearings; request for different format.

(a) **Definitions**.

- (1) "Participant" means a party, a participating victim, or an attorney for a party or participating victim.
- (2) "In-person" means a participant will be physically present in the courtroom.
- (3) "In-person hearing" means a hearing where all participants appear in person.
- (4) "Remote" or "remotely" means a participant will appear by video conference or other electronic means approved by the court.
- (5) "Remote hearing" means no participants will be physically present in the courtroom and all participants will appear remotely.
- (6) "Hybrid hearing" means a hearing at which some participants appear in person and others appear remotely.
- (b) **Setting hearing format; factors to consider.** The court has discretion to set a hearing as an in-person hearing, a remote hearing, or a hybrid hearing. In determining which format to use for a hearing, the court will consider:
 - (1) the preference of the participants, if known;
 - (2) the anticipated hearing length;
 - (3) the number of participants;
 - (4) the burden on a participant of appearing in person compared to appearing remotely, including time and economic impacts;
 - (5) the complexity of issues to be addressed;
 - (6) whether and to what extent documentary or testimonial evidence is likely to be presented;
 - (7) the availability of adequate technology to accomplish the hearing's purpose;

- (8) the availability of language interpretation or accommodations for communication with individuals with disabilities;
- (9) the possibility that the court may order a party, who is not already in custody, into custody;
- (10) the preference of the incarcerating custodian where a party is incarcerated, if the hearing does not implicate significant constitutional rights; and
- (11) any other factor, based on the specific facts and circumstances of the case or the court's calendar, that the court deems relevant.

(c) Request to appear by a different format.

(1) **Manner of request.** A participant may request that the court allow the participant or a witness to appear at a hearing by a different format than that set by the court. Any request must be made verbally during a hearing, by email, by letter, or by written motion, and the participant must state the reason for the request. If a participant is represented by an attorney, all requests must be made by the attorney.

(A) Email and letter requests.

- (i) An email or letter request must be copied on all parties;
- (ii) An email or letter request must include in the subject line, "REQUEST TO APPEAR IN PERSON, Case _______" or "REQUEST TO APPEAR REMOTELY, Case _______;" and
- (iii) An email request must be sent to the court's email address, which may be obtained from the court clerk.
- (B) **Request by written motion.** If making a request by written motion, the motion must succinctly state the grounds for the request and be accompanied by a request to submit for decision and a proposed order. The motion need not be accompanied by a supporting memorandum.

(2) **Timing.** All requests, except those made verbally during a hearing, must be sent to the court at least seven days before the hearing unless there are exigent circumstances or the hearing was set less than seven days before the hearing date, in which cases the request must be made as soon as reasonably possible.

(d) Resolution of the request.

- (1) **Timing and manner of resolution.** The court may rule on a request under paragraph (c) without awaiting a response. The court may rule on the request in open court, by email, by minute entry, or by written order. If the request is made by email, the court will make a record of the request if the request is denied.
- (2) Court's accommodation of participant's preference; factors to consider. The court will accommodate a timely request unless the court makes, on the record, a finding of good cause to order the participant to appear in the format originally noticed. The court may find good cause to deny a request based on:
 - (A) a constitutional or statutory right that requires a particular manner of appearance or a significant possibility that such a right would be impermissibly diminished or infringed by appearing remotely;
 - (B) a concern for a participant's or witness's safety, well-being, or specific situational needs;
 - (C) a prior technological challenge in the case that unreasonably contributed to delay or a compromised record;
 - (D) a prior failure to demonstrate appropriate court decorum, including attempting to participate from a location that is not conducive to accomplishing the purpose of the hearing;
 - (E) a prior failure to appear for a hearing of which the participant had notice;

- (F) the possibility that the court may order a party, who is not already in custody, into custody;
- (G) the preference of the incarcerating custodian where a party is incarcerated, if the hearing does not implicate significant constitutional rights;
- (H) a participant's involvement in a problem-solving court;
- (I) an agreement or any objection of the parties;
- (J) the court's determination that the consequential nature of a specific hearing requires all participants to appear in person; or
- (K) the capacity of the court, including but not limited to the required technology equipment, staff, or security, to accommodate the request.
- (3) **Effect on other participants**. The preference of one participant, and the court's accommodation of that preference, does not:
 - (A) change the format of the hearing for any other participant unless otherwise ordered by the court; or
 - (B) affect any other participant's opportunity to make a timely request to appear by a different format or the court's consideration of that request.

URJP 61. New. *Effective 9/1/2024*

Rule 61. In-person, remote, and hybrid hearings; request for different format.

(a) **Definitions**.

- (1) "Participant" means a party, an intervenor, an attorney for a party or an intervenor, a parent of a minor in a delinquency matter, a juvenile probation officer in a delinquency matter, a worker for Juvenile Justice and Youth Services in a delinquency matter, or a victim in a delinquency matter.
- (2) "In-person" means a participant will be physically present in the courtroom.
- (3) "In-person hearing" means a hearing where all participants appear in person.
- (4) "Remote" or "remotely" means a participant will appear by video conference or other electronic means approved by the court.
- (5) "Remote hearing" means no participants will be physically present in the courtroom and all participants will appear remotely.
- (6) "Hybrid hearing" means a hearing at which some participants appear in person and others appear remotely.
- (b) **Setting hearing format; factors to consider**. The court has discretion to set a hearing as an in-person hearing, a remote hearing, or a hybrid hearing. In determining which format to use for a hearing, the court will consider:
 - (1) the preference of the participants, if known;
 - (2) the anticipated hearing length;
 - (3) the number of participants;
 - (4) the burden on a participant of appearing in person compared to appearing remotely, including time and economic impacts;
 - (5) the complexity of issues to be addressed;
 - (6) whether and to what extent documentary or testimonial evidence is likely to be presented;

- (7) the availability of adequate technology to accomplish the hearing's purpose;
- (8) the availability of language interpretation or accommodations for communication with individuals with disabilities;
- (9) the possibility that the court may order a party, who is not already in custody, into custody;
- (10) the preference of the incarcerating custodian where a party is incarcerated, if the hearing does not implicate significant constitutional rights; and
- (11) any other factor, based on the specific facts and circumstances of the case or the court's calendar, that the court deems relevant.

(c) Request to appear by a different format.

(1) **Manner of request.** A participant may request that the court allow the participant or a witness to appear at a hearing by a different format than that set by the court. Any request must be made verbally during a hearing, by email, by letter, or by written motion, and the participant must state the reason for the request. If a participant is represented by an attorney, all requests must be made by the attorney.

(A) Email and letter requests.

(i)	An email	or	letter	request	must	be copied	on all	parties;
-----	----------	----	--------	---------	------	-----------	--------	----------

- (ii) An email or letter request must include in the subject line, "REQUEST TO APPEAR IN PERSON, Case______" or "REQUEST TO APPEAR REMOTELY, Case______;" and
- (iii) An email request must be sent to the court's email address, which may be obtained from the court clerk.
- (B) **Request by written motion**. If making a request by written motion, the motion must succinctly state the grounds for the request and be accompanied by a request to submit for decision and a proposed order. The

motion need not be accompanied by a supporting memorandum.

(2) **Timing**. All requests, except those made verbally during a hearing, must be sent to the court at least seven days before the hearing unless there are exigent circumstances or the hearing was set less than seven days before the hearing date, in which cases the request must be made as soon as reasonably possible.

(d) Resolution of the request.

- (1) **Timing and manner of resolution**. The court may rule on a request under paragraph (c) without waiting for a response. The court may rule on the request in open court, by email, by minute entry, or by written order. If the request is made by email, the court will make a record of the request if the request is denied.
- (2) Court's accommodation of participant's preference; factors to consider. The court will accommodate a timely request unless the court makes, on the record, a finding of good cause to order the participant to appear in the format originally noticed. The court may find good cause to deny a request based on:
 - (A) a constitutional or statutory right that requires a particular manner of appearance or a significant possibility that such a right would be impermissibly diminished or infringed by appearing remotely;
 - (B) a concern for a participant's or witness's safety, well-being, or specific situational needs;
 - (C) a prior technological challenge in the case that unreasonably contributed to delay or a compromised record;
 - (D) a prior failure to demonstrate appropriate court decorum, including attempting to participate from a location that is not conducive to accomplishing the purpose of the hearing;
 - (E) a prior failure to appear for a hearing of which the participant had notice;

- (F) the possibility that the court may order a party, who is not already in custody, into custody;
- (G) the preference of the incarcerating custodian where a party is incarcerated, if the hearing does not implicate significant constitutional rights;
- (H) an agreement or any objection of the parties;
- (I) the court's determination that the consequential nature of a specific hearing requires all participants to appear in person; or
- (J) the capacity of the court, including but not limited to the required technology equipment, staff, or security, to accommodate the request.
- (3) **Effect on other participants**. The preference of one participant, and the court's accommodation of that preference, does not:
 - (A) change the format of the hearing for any other participant unless otherwise ordered by the court; or
 - (B) affect any other participant's opportunity to make a timely request to appear by a different format or the court's consideration of that request.

TAB 6

URAP XX. New Draft: August 29, 2024

1 Rule XX. Disqualification or recusal of a court of appeals judge.

2 ((a)	Motion	to o	disqua	lify	or recuse	
-----	-----	--------	------	--------	------	-----------	--

8

9

- 3 (1) A party to an action or the party's attorney may file a motion to disqualify or recuse
- a judge. The motion must be accompanied by a certificate that the motion is filed in
- 5 good faith and must be supported by an affidavit or unsworn declaration as described
- 6 in Title 78B, Chapter 18a, Uniform Unsworn Declarations Act stating facts sufficient
- 7 to show bias, prejudice, or conflict of interest.
 - (2) The motion must be filed within seven days of:
 - (A) assignment of the judge to the panel; or
- 10 (B) appearance of the party or the party's attorney.
- 11 (3) Every motion to disqualify or recuse a judge must be signed by at least one
- attorney of record who is an active member in good standing of the Bar of this state
- or by a party who is self-represented. A person may sign a document using any form
- of signature recognized by law as binding.
- 15 (4) No party may file more than one motion to disqualify in an action, unless the
- second or subsequent motion is based on grounds that the party did not know of and
- 17 could not have known of at the time of the earlier motion. Motions to disqualify or
- recuse more than one judge must be submitted as one motion.
- 19 (5) The affidavit or declaration supporting the motion must state when and how the
- 20 party came to know of the reason for disqualification or recusal.

21 (b) Reviewing judge.

- 22 (1) The judge who is the subject of the motion must, without taking any further action
- on the matter, certify the motion and affidavit or declaration to the presiding judge of
- the court of appeals. If the presiding judge is the subject of the motion, the associate
- 25 presiding judge will review the motion.

URAP XX. New Draft: August 29, 2024

(2) If the reviewing judge finds that the motion and affidavit or declaration are timely filed, filed in good faith, and legally sufficient, the reviewing judge shall issue an order assigning another judge to the panel.

- (3) In determining issues of fact or of law, the reviewing judge may consider any part of the record of the action and may request of the judge who is the subject of the motion, an affidavit or declaration responding to questions posed by the reviewing judge.
- (4) The reviewing judge may deny a motion not filed in a timely manner.

29

30

31

32

33

URAP XX New Draft: August 29, 2024

1 Rule XX. Disqualification or recusal of a justice.

2 (a) Motion to disqualify or recuse.

- 3 (1) A party to an action or the party's attorney may file a motion to disqualify a judge.
- 4 The motion must be accompanied by a certificate that the motion is filed in good faith
- 5 and must be supported by an affidavit or unsworn declaration as described in Title
- 6 78B, Chapter 18a, Uniform Unsworn Declarations Act stating facts sufficient to show
- 7 bias, prejudice or conflict of interest.
- 8 (2) The motion must be filed no later than 21 days after the notice of oral argument
- 9 scheduling. If oral argument is scheduled on an expedited basis, the motion is due
- when practicable, not less than seven days before oral argument.
- 11 (3) Every motion to disqualify or recuse a judge must be signed by at least one
- attorney of record who is an active member in good standing of the Bar of this state
- or by a party who is self-represented. A person may sign a document using any form
- of signature recognized by law as binding.
- 15 (4) No party may file more than one motion to disqualify in an action, unless the
- second or subsequent motion is based on grounds that the party did not know of and
- 17 could not have known of at the time of the earlier motion. Motions to disqualify or
- recuse more than one justice must be submitted as one motion.
- 19 (5) The affidavit or declaration supporting the motion must state when and how the
- 20 party came to know of the reason for disqualification.

21 (b) Reviewing justice or justices.

- 22 (1) The Chief Justice will review all motions to disqualify or recuse. If the Chief Justice
- is the subject of the motion, the remaining justices will review the motion.
- 24 (2) If the reviewing justice or justices find that the motion and affidavit or declaration
- are timely filed, filed in good faith, and legally sufficient, the reviewing justice or

URAP XX New Draft: August 29, 2024

justices will call an active judge from an appellate court or the district court to participate in the cause in place of the disqualified or recused justice.

- 28 (3) In determining issues of fact or of law, the reviewing justice or justices may consider
- 29 any part of the record of the action and may request of the justice who is the subject of
- 30 the motion, an affidavit or declaration responding to questions posed by the reviewing
- 31 justice or justices.
- 32 (4) The reviewing justice may deny a motion not filed in a timely manner.