## Agenda

### Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure

May 2, 2019 12:00 to 1:30 p.m.

### Scott M. Matheson Courthouse 450 South State Street, Salt Lake City, UT

Executive Dining Room (1st floor inside Café)

ACTION: Welcome and approval of April 2019		
minutes	Tab 1	Paul C. Burke, Chairman
<b>DISCUSSION AND ACTION:</b> Manner of		
service in the appellate courts under Rules 21		
and 26 and adding language to Rule 26		
regarding appellee not filing a brief	Tab 2	Mary Westby and Lisa Collins
<b>DISCUSSION AND ACTION:</b> Review updated		
language in intervention rules: URAP 25A,		
URCrP 12, and URCP 24	Tab 3	Nancy Sylvester
<b>DISCUSSION AND ACTION:</b> Continue		
discussion of Appellate Representation		
recommendation to amend URAP 1 to add		
parental termination to child welfare procedures	Tab 4	Nancy Sylvester and Margaret Lindsay
DISCUSSION AND ASSIGNMENTS:		
<ul> <li>Advisory committee notes project</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Unrepresented litigants and the</li> </ul>		
appellate rules		
Judicial efficiency		Paul C. Burke, Judge Gregory Orme
		. 5 ,
DISCUSSION: Other business		
		Paul C. Burke

Committee Webpage: https://www.utcourts.gov/utc/appellate-procedure/

### **Meeting schedule:**

June 6, 2019

December 5, 2019

July 11, 2019

August 1, 2019

September 5, 2019

October 3, 2019

November 7, 2019

# Tab 1

#### **MINUTES**

## SUPREME COURT'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE UTAH RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE

Administrative Office of the Courts 450 South State Street Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

> Judicial Council Room Thursday, April 4, 2019 12:00 p.m. to 1:30 p.m.

#### **PRESENT**

Christopher Ballard
Troy Booher
Paul Burke- Chair
R. Shawn Gunnarson
Alan Mouritsen
Judge Gregory Orme
Adam Pace – Recording Secretary
Judge Jill Pohlman
Lori Seppi
Nancy Sylvester- Staff
Mary Westby

#### **EXCUSED**

Lisa Collins Cathy Dupont- Staff Rodney Parker Bridget Romano Clark Sabey Ann Marie Taliaferro

### 1. Welcome and approval of March 2019 minutes

### **Paul Burke**

Mr. Burke welcomed the committee to the meeting and invited a motion to approve the minutes from the last meeting. *Ms. Westby moved to approve the minutes from the March 2019 meeting. Ms. Seppi seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.* The committee also expressed congratulations to Ms. Collins on her recent marriage.

## 2. Discussion and Action: Manner of service in the appellate courts under Rules 21 and 26

Mary Westby Lisa Collins

Ms. Westby introduced proposed amendments to appellate rules 21 and 26 to allow service by email. She explained that the changes to Rule 26 incorporate the court's standing order regarding service of briefs. Ms. Westby said that Ms. Collins has not had a chance to review these proposed amendments yet, and suggested that the committee should wait for her input because she will be the one that has to implement the changes.

Ms. Westby suggested amending lines 39-40 of rule 26 to read: "...paper copies must be served-delivered on counsel for each party separately represented unless service delivery of paper copies is waived." She said that service is accomplished by sending the email, so the paper copies are really just follow-up deliveries.

Mr. Ballard suggested amending line 5 of Rule 26 to read: "...will be considered timely if the email is received sent before midnight on the last day for..." He said that the party filing a brief by email has no control over when the email is received, and that this requirement seems inconsistent with the standard for briefs filed by mail, which are considered timely if they are mailed before midnight on the day they are due. Ms. Westby said that the standing order and case-law say "received," and that the risk that it is not timely received is on the filer. Mr. Ballard said that an email sent at 11:59 p.m. on the due date should be considered timely, even if the court's email server does not receive it until after 12:00 a.m. the next day. Judge Orme said that for non-jurisdictional issues, the court would overlook the fact that a brief was emailed late as long as it was there the next morning.

Mr. Burke seconded Mr. Ballard's motion to make the change to line 5 of Rule 26. Judge Pohlman said that it is difficult for the court to determine when an email is sent and that it is easier to see when an email is received. She doesn't want to be in a position of having to determine whether an email was timely sent. She also said that she wants to hear Ms. Collins' input on this issue before it is decided. Ms. Seppi asked if parties are going to have to litigate this issue every time an email is received after midnight on the due date. She also commented that Rule 21 says that service by email is complete on sending. Ms. Westby noted that when a party fails to file a brief on time the court's practice is to give that party an additional seven days to file it anyway. Mr. Burke said that Rules 21 and 26 need to be consistent on this issue.

Mr. Ballard also proposed amending lines 6-8 of Rule 26 to read: "Briefs filed in emailed to the Supreme Court may must be sent to: supremecourt@utcourts.gov. Briefs filed in emailed to the Court of Appeals may must be sent to: courtofappeals@utcourts.gov."

Mr. Ballard also proposed updating the cross-references to Rule 26 that are contained in Rules 22(b)(1) and 25. Ms. Sylvester suggested changing the cross-references to something more generic that does not have to be updated every time a rule is amended.

Mr. Mouritsen suggested changing Rule 26(c) to require delivery of paper copies to counsel only if requested—rather than requiring delivery unless the requirement is waived.

Judge Orme suggested changing "must" in line 17 of Rule 26 to "may." He said that appellees are not required to file briefs.

At Mr. Burke's suggestion, the committee agreed to table this discussion until next month to give Ms. Collins a chance to comment on the proposed amendments.

3. Discussion and Action: Writ of Certiorari amendments
Rules 45, 47, and 49

Christopher Ballard

Ms. Ballard introduced proposed amendments to clarify that appellate rules 45-51 also apply to cross-petitions for certiorari. Mr. Ballard proposed adding language to either rule 45 or 47 to address this. He thinks rule 45 is the best place for it. Ms. Westby agreed that the language fits better in rule 45. Mr. Gunnarson asked if it would be better to specifically reference cross-petitioners in each rule, rather than have a generic statement in Rule 45. Mr. Burke suggested using Mr. Ballard's proposed language in Rule 45, prefaced by the phrase "unless the rule otherwise requires."

Mr. Ballard moved to amend Rule 45 to create a subpart (b) that says: "Unless the rule requires otherwise, every reference in Rules 45 through 51 to a petition or petitioner includes a crosspetition or cross-petitioner, respectively." Mr. Mouritsen seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Mr. Ballard also moved to fix an incorrect cross-reference to Rule 47(c) in Rule 49(a)(6)(C). He said that the cross-reference should actually be to Rule 48(d)(1)(B). Judge Pohlman seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

## 4. Discussion and Action: Coordination of intervention rules: Nancy Sylvester URAP 25A, URCP 12, and URCP 24

Last year, a subcommittee consisting of representatives from the appellate, criminal, and civil rules committees studied how to better coordinate civil rule 24, appellate rule 25A, and criminal rule 12 regarding intervention when the constitutionality of a statute or ordinance is challenged. Ms. Sylvester asked the committee to review these three rules and discuss whether further changes should be made to appellate rule 25A.

Mr. Ballard pointed out that rule 25A does not specify how much time a governmental entity will be given at oral argument if it files an amicus brief. Mr. Booher said, and Judge Orme agreed, that this is something that needs to be decided on a case-by-case basis. Mr. Booher suggested amending Rule 25A(d) to require that a governmental entity who files an amicus brief provide notice that it wants to participate at oral argument, and then leave it up to the court to decide how much time the governmental entity will be given. Mr. Ballard said he agreed with that approach so long as it is clear that the governmental agency will be permitted to participate in oral argument if it wants to do so.

Mr. Ballard proposed amending Rule 25A(d) to say: "If the Attorney General or district, county, or municipal attorney files an amicus brief, the Attorney General or district, county, or municipal attorney will be permitted to participate at oral argument by providing notice to the court at least 28 days before oral argument." Mr. Burke proposed that the committee adopt that amendment by consensus and continue its discussion.

Mr. Burke asked if the addition of the term "district" in Rule 25A(d) is confusing. There are many different types of districts (school districts, water districts, etc.) that may be outside the scope of the intended purpose of this rule. The committee discussed changing the references to governmental attorneys throughout Rule 25A to say "an attorney representing a governmental entity."

Mr. Burke suggested that the committee table this issue for now and review a clean draft of these proposed changes at the next meeting.

## 5. Discussion and Action: Discussion of Appellate Representation recommendations to amend URAP 1 and 58 and CJA 11-401, and repeal URAP 38B

Ms. Sylvester summarized the committee's prior discussions about the proposal to amend Code of Judicial Administration Rule 11-401 and Appellate Rules 1 and 58, and repeal appellate rule 38B (see minutes from the March 2018 meeting). The committee discussed whether Rule 38B should be repealed in light of the fact that its contents have been moved to CJA Rule 11-401. Judge Orme said that he supports repealing Rule 38B now that CJA Rule 11-401 is in place, but rather than repealing it entirely, he suggested leaving something in its place that explains that the rule has been repealed and that the subject matter is now governed by CJA Rule 11-401. Mr. Gunnarson suggested that someone write an article in the bar journal about this change or take other steps to inform practitioners about it. Ms. Sylvester said that these changes will be sent out for public comment and the soonest they will likely be adopted is November 1, 2019.

Judge Orme moved to repeal Rule 38B and replace it with language stating that the rule has been repealed and that its subject matter is now governed by CJA Rule 11-401. Mr. Mouritsen seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Sylvester introduced an issue dealing with tension between appellate Rule 55 and the child welfare appellate roster, which is outlined in more detail in her memorandum attached as Tab 5 to the meeting materials. She proposed amending Rule 58 to create a new subpart (b) that says:

(b) If the Court of Appeals sets the case for briefing under rule 24 and the petitioner has appointed counsel, the Court of Appeals will remand for the limited purpose of the juvenile court appointing appellate counsel pursuant to Rule 11-401 of the Utah Code of Judicial Administration. If the issue to be briefed is ineffective assistance of counsel, the Court of Appeals may order the juvenile court to appoint conflict counsel pursuant to Rule 11-401 of the Utah Code of Judicial Administration within 15 days for briefing and argument.

Ms. Westby said that the reference to ineffective assistance and conflict counsel is no longer necessary because with the new appellate roster, there is going to be appellate counsel handling the appeal, and not trial counsel. The committee agreed that the second sentence of the new proposed subpart (b) should be deleted.

Mr. Booher commented on the practical difficulty of raising an ineffective assistance of counsel claim under this framework. If appellate counsel is routinely appointed in these cases, how do they raise the IAC claim if trial counsel failed to identify it in the Rule 55 petition? Does the Rule 55 petition operate to narrow the issues that may be considered on appeal? If so that is a real problem, because there is no opportunity to raise the IAC claim through a later petition for relief under the PCRA in these types of cases. Ms. Westby said this is a good question that will

likely be litigated at some point. Perhaps the committee should consider these rules further to see if there is a better solution.

Mr. Burke invited a motion. Mr. Gunnarson moved to amend Rule 58 by adding a subpart (b) that states: "(b) If the Court of Appeals sets the case for briefing under rule 24 and the petitioner has appointed counsel, the Court of Appeals will remand to the juvenile court to appoint appellate counsel pursuant to Rule 11-401 of the Utah Code of Judicial Administration." The motion was seconded and it passed unanimously.

Ms. Sylvester introduced a proposal to amend appellate Rule 1(f) to include a reference to district court orders related to the termination of parental rights. Mr. Burke suggested tabling this issue until next month's meeting so that the committee can devote more time to discussing it.

## 6. Discussion and Assignments: Advisory committee notes project; Paul Burke Unrepresented litigants and the appellate rules; Judicial efficiency Judge Orme

Mr. Burke asked for volunteers to serve on the three new subcommittees that are being formed to address: 1) making the rules more accessible to self-represented parties; 2) reviewing the advisory committee notes; and 3) considering ways to promote efficiency in the appellate process. Mr. Burke suggested that each sub-committee have four members, and meet a few times over the next few months before reporting to the full committee.

The committee discussed and agreed on the following subcommittee assignments:

Appellate efficiency: Ms. Seppi, Mr. Ballard, Ms. Westby, Judge Pohlman, Mr. Booher

Advisory committee note review: Judge Orme, Mr. Mouritsen, Mr. Parker

Self-representation: Ms. Collins, Ms. Westby

#### 7. Other business

The committee did not discuss other business.

### 8. Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned. The next meeting will be held on May 2, 2019.

# Tab 2

URAP021. Amend. Draft: April 26, 2019

### Rule 21. Filing and service.

(a) Filing. Papers required or permitted to be filed by these rules shall be filed with the clerk of the appropriate court. Filing may be accomplished by mail addressed to the clerk. Except as provided in subpart (f), filing is not considered timely unless the papers are received by the clerk within the time fixed for filing, except that briefs shall be deemed filed on the date of the postmark if first class mail is utilized. If a motion requests relief which may be granted by a single justice or judge, the justice or judge may accept the motion, note the date of filing, and transmit it to the clerk.

- (b) Service of all papers required. Copies of all papers filed with the appellate court shall, at or before the time of filing, be served on all other parties to the appeal or review. Service on a party represented by counsel shall be made on counsel of record, or, if the party is not represented by counsel, upon the party at the last known address or email address provided to the appellate court. A copy of any paper required by these rules to be served on a party shall be filed with the court and accompanied by proof of service.
- (c) Manner of service. Service may be personal, by mail, or by email. Personal service includes delivery of the copy to a clerk or other responsible person at the office of counsel. Service by mail or email is complete on mailing.
- (d) Proof of service. Papers presented for filing shall contain an acknowledgment of service by the person served or a certificate of service in the form of a statement of the date and manner of service, the names of the persons served, and the addresses at which they were served. The certificate of service may appear on or be affixed to the papers filed. If counsel of record is served, the certificate of service shall designate the name of the party represented by that counsel.
- (e) Signature. All papers filed in the appellate court shall be signed by counsel of record or by a party who is not represented by counsel.
  - (f) Filing by inmate.
- (f)(1) For purposes of this paragraph (f), an inmate is a person confined to an institution or committed to a place of legal confinement.
- (f)(2) Papers filed by an inmate are timely filed if they are deposited in the institution's internal mail system on or before the last day for filing. Timely filing may be shown by a contemporaneously filed notarized statement or written declaration setting forth the date of

URAP021. Amend. Draft: April 26, 2019

deposit and stating that first-class postage has been, or is being, prepaid, or that the inmate has complied with any applicable requirements for legal mail set by the institution. Response time will be calculated from the date the papers are received by the court.

(g) Filings containing other than public information and records. If a filing, including an addendum, contains non-public information, the filer must also file a version with all such information removed. Non-public information means information classified as private, controlled, protected, safeguarded, sealed, juvenile court legal, or juvenile court social, or any other information to which the right of public access is restricted by statute, rule, order, or case law.

URAP026. Amend. Draft: April 26, 2019

1

2

### Rule 26. Filing and service of briefs.

3

5

67

8

9 10

11

1213

14

15 16

1718

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

2829

30

teneight (8) paper copies of each brief, one of which shall contain an original signature, shall must be filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court. For matters pending in the Court of Appeals,

(a) Time for service and filing briefs. Briefs shall be deemed filed on the date of the postmark if first-class mail is utilized. The appellant shall (a) Filing of briefs. Briefs may be filed in person, by mail, or by email if the electronic document is a searchable PDF file of no more than 25MB. Briefs will be deemed filed on the date of the postmark if first-class mail is used. Briefs filed by email will be considered timely if the email is sent before midnight on the last day for filing. All risks associated with email are borne by the sender. Briefs emailed to the Supreme Court must be sent to: supremecourt@utcourts.gov. Briefs emailed to the Court of Appeals must be sent to: courtofappeals@utcourts.gov. The sending of an email constitutes an electronic signature and is within the scope of rule 40 of the Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure.

(b) Timing for service and filing of briefs. The appellant must serve and file a principal brief within 40 days after date of notice from the clerk of the appellate court pursuant to Rule 13. If a motion for summary disposition of the appeal or a motion to remand for determination of ineffective assistance of counsel is filed after the Rule 13 briefing notice is sent, service and filing of appellant's principal brief shallmust be within 30 days from the denial of such motion. The appellee, or in cases involving a cross-appeal, the cross-appellant, shallmay serve and file a principal brief within 30 days after service of the appellant's principal brief. In cases involving cross-appeals, the appellant shall may serve and file the appellant's reply brief described in Rule 24A(d) within 30 days after service of the cross-appellant's principal brief. A reply brief may be served and filed by the appellant or the cross-appellant in cases involving cross-appeals. If a reply brief is filed, it shallmust be served and filed within 30 days after the filing and service of the appellee's principal brief or the appellant's reply brief in cases involving cross-appeals. If oral argument is scheduled fewer than 35 days after the filing of appellee's principal brief, the reply brief must be filed at least 5 days prior to oral argument. By stipulation filed with the court in accordance with Rule 21(a), the parties may extend each of such periods for no more than 30 days. A motion for enlargement of time need not accompany the stipulation. No such stipulation shall will be effective unless it is filed prior to the expiration of the period sought to be extended.

(c) (b) Number of copies to be filed and served. For matters pending in the Supreme Court,

URAP026. Amend. Draft: April 26, 2019

eightsix (6) paper copies of each brief, one of which shall contain an original signature, shall must be filed-with the Clerk of the Court of Appeals. TwoIf a brief was filed by email, the required paper copies shall of the brief must be delivered no more than seven days after filing. If a brief is served-on by email, upon request two paper copies must be delivered to counsel for each party separately represented requesting paper copies.
 (d) (e) Consequence of failure to file principal briefs. If an appellant fails to file a principal brief within the time provided in this rule, or within the time as may be extended by order of the appellate court, an appellee may move for dismissal of the appeal. If an appellee fails to file a principal brief within the time provided by this rule, or within the time as may be extended by order of the appellate court, an appellant may move that the appellee not be heard at oral argument.
 (e) (d) Return of record to the clerk. Each party, upon the filing of its brief, shallmust return the record to the clerk of the court having custody pursuant to these rules.

# Tab 3



### Administrative Office of the Courts

Chief Justice Matthew B. Durrant Utah Supreme Court Chair, Utah Judicial Council

**MEMORANDUM** 

Hon. Mary T. Noonan Interim State Court Administrator Raymond H. Wahl Deputy Court Administrator

**To:** Advisory Committee on the Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure

From: Nancy Sylvester Tony & Sylvester

**Date:** April 26, 2019 **Re:** Intervention rules

At your last meeting, this committee discussed changing the references to governmental attorneys throughout Rule 25A to say "an attorney representing a governmental entity." I amended Rule 25A, Civil Rule 24, and Criminal Rule 12 along that line and presented all three rules to the Civil Rules Committee. The Civil Rules Committee declined to adopt this committee's suggested terminology in Civil Rule 24 (d)(2). The Committee instead referred to the county or municipality, rather than the attorney of those entities, and referenced  $\underline{\text{Civil Rule}}$   $\underline{\text{4(d)(1)}}$  regarding whom to notify.

Ideally, these rules would coordinate as much as possible, but there may be a good reason for them to differ. I have included two Rule 25A options for this committee to review.

Attn: Utah Solicitor General

350 North State Street, Suite 230

27

28

Rule 25A. Challenging the constitutionality of a statute or ordinance. 1 (a) Notice to the Attorney General or the county or municipal 2 attorney attorney representing the governmental entity; penalty for failure to 3 give notice. 4 (a)(1) When a party challenges the constitutionality of a statute in an appeal or 5 petition for review in which the Attorney General has not appeared, every party must serve its principal brief and any subsequent brief on the Attorney General on or before 7 the date the brief is filed. 8 (a)(2) When a party challenges the constitutionality of a county or municipal 9 ordinance in an appeal or petition for review in which the responsible county or 10 municipal attorney has not appeared, every party must serve its principal brief and any 11 subsequent brief on the attorney representing the governmental entity<del>county or</del> 12 13 municipal attorney on or before the date the brief is filed, and file proof of service 14 with the court. (a)(3) If an appellee or cross-appellant is the first party to challenge the 15 constitutionality of a statute or ordinance, the appellant must serve its principal brief 16 on the Attorney General or the county or municipal attorney the attorney representing 17 the governmental entity no more than 7 days after receiving the appellee's or the 18 cross-appellant's brief and must serve its reply brief on or before the date it is filed. 19 (a)(4) Every party must serve its brief on the Attorney General by email or, if 20 circumstances prevent service by email, by mail at the addresses below, or mail at the 21 following address and must-file proof of service with the court. 22 Email: 23 notices@agutah.gov 24 Mail: 25 Office of the Utah Attorney General 26

Draft: April 24, 2019

29	320	Htah	State	Capital
	520	Otan	Diane	Capitoi

P.O. Box 142320

- 31 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-2320
  - (a)(5) If a party does not serve a brief as required by this rule and supplemental briefing is ordered as a result of that failure, a court may order that party to pay the costs, expenses, and attorney fees of any other party resulting from that failure.

## (b) Notice by the Attorney General or the attorney representing the governmental entity county or municipal attorney; amicus brief.

(b)(1) Within 14 days after service of the brief that presents a constitutional challenge the Attorney General or other government-attorney representing the governmental entity will notify the appellate court whether it the entity intends to file an amicus brief. The Attorney General or other government attorney representing the governmental entity may seek up to an additional 7 days' extension of time from the court. Should the Attorney General or other government attorney representing the governmental entity decline to file an amicus brief, that entity should plainly state the reasons therefor.

(b)(2) If the Attorney General or other government attorney representing the governmental entity declines to file an amicus brief, the briefing schedule is not affected.

(b)(3) If the Attorney General or other government attorney representing the governmental entity intends to file an amicus brief, that brief will come due 30 days after the notice of intent is filed. Each governmental entity may file a motion to extend that time as provided under Rule 22. On a governmental entity filing a notice of intent, the briefing schedule established under Rule 13 is vacated, and the next brief of a party will come due 30 days after the amicus brief is filed.

(c) Call for the views of the Attorney General or attorney representing the governmental entity county or municipal attorney. Any time a party challenges the constitutionality of a statute or ordinance, the appellate court may call for the views of

Comment [NS1]: Isn't the court calling for the views of the entity? So for example, the municipality uses a contract attorney for its legal work, it wouldn't be that attorney's views the court wants. It's the entity's. The attorney is just representing that entity. It's a little different with the AG, which is an elected position and thus an entity itself. Sean Reyes is not personally responding but is instead using his staff to file a response. This has parallels to the municipality and contract attorney situation.

URAP025A. Option 1 (attorney representing)

57	the Attorney General or of the county or municipal attorney the attorney representing
58	the governmental entity and set a schedule for filing an amicus brief and supplemental
59	briefs by the parties if any

Draft: April 24, 2019

(d) <u>Participation in oral argument.</u> If the Attorney General or <u>other attorney</u> representing the governmental entity or municipal attorney files an amicus brief, the Attorney General or <u>other attorney representing the governmental</u> entity or municipal attorney will be permitted to participate at oral argument by providing notice to the court at least 28 days before oral argument.

350 North State Street, Suite 230

320 Utah State Capitol

P.O. Box 142320

26

27

28

### Rule 25A. Challenging the constitutionality of a statute or ordinance. 1 (a) Notice to the Attorney General or the county or municipality; penalty for 2 failure to give notice. 3 (a)(1) When a party challenges the constitutionality of a statute in an appeal or 4 petition for review in which the Attorney General has not appeared, every party must 5 serve its principal brief and any subsequent brief on the Attorney General on or before 6 7 the date the brief is filed. (a)(2) When a party challenges the constitutionality of a county or municipal 8 ordinance in an appeal or petition for review in which the responsible county or 9 municipality attorney has not appeared, every party must serve its principal brief and 10 any subsequent brief on the county or municipality attorney on or before the date the 11 brief is filed, and file proof of service with the court. 12 (a)(3) If an appellee or cross-appellant is the first party to challenge the 13 constitutionality of a statute or ordinance, the appellant must serve its principal brief 14 on the Attorney General or the county or municipality attorney no more than 7 days 15 after receiving the appellee's or the cross-appellant's brief and must serve its reply 16 brief on or before the date it is filed. 17 (a)(4) Every party must serve its brief on the Attorney General by email or, if 18 circumstances prevent service by email, by mail at the addresses below, or mail at the 19 following address and must file proof of service with the court. 20 Email: 21 notices@agutah.gov 22 23 Mail: Office of the Utah Attorney General 24 Attn: Utah Solicitor General 25

Draft: April 24, 2019

(a)(5) If a party does not serve a brief as required by this rule and supplemental briefing is ordered as a result of that failure, a court may order that party to pay the costs, expenses, and attorney fees of any other party resulting from that failure.

Draft: April 24, 2019

- (b) Notice by the Attorney General or county or municipal<u>ity</u> attorney; amicus brief.
- (b)(1) Within 14 days after service of the brief that presents a constitutional challenge the Attorney General or other government attorney county or municipality will notify the appellate court whether it the entity intends to file an amicus brief. The Attorney General or county or municipality other government attorney may seek up to an additional 7 days' extension of time from the court. Should the Attorney General or other government attorney or municipality decline to file an amicus brief, that entity should plainly state the reasons therefor.
- (b)(2) If the Attorney General or other government attorney declines to file an amicus brief, the briefing schedule is not affected.
- (b)(3) If the Attorney General or attorney representing the governmental entity county or municipality intends to file an amicus brief, that brief will come due 30 days after the notice of intent is filed. Each governmental entity may file a motion to extend that time as provided under Rule 22. On Upon a governmental entity filing a notice of intent, the briefing schedule established under Rule 13 is vacated, and the next brief of a party will come due 30 days after the amicus brief is filed.
- (c) Call for the views of the Attorney General or county or municipality attorney. Any time a party challenges the constitutionality of a statute or ordinance, the appellate court may call for the views of the Attorney General or of the county or municipality attorney and set a schedule for filing an amicus brief and supplemental briefs by the parties, if any.
- (d) <u>Participation in oral argument.</u> If the Attorney General or county or municipality <u>attorney</u> files an amicus brief, the Attorney General or <u>attorney</u>

57 representing the county or municipality attorney will be permitted to participate at oral

Draft: April 24, 2019

argument by providing notice to the court at least 28 days before oral argument.

URCP024. Amend. Draft: April 24, 2019

#### Rule 24. Intervention.

- (a)-Intervention of right. Upon. On timely application motion, the court must permit anyone shall be permitted to intervene in an action: who:
  - (1) when a statute confers is given an unconditional right to intervene by a statute; or
  - (2) when the applicant\_claims an interest relating to the property or transaction which that is the subject of the action, and the applicant-is so situated that the disposition disposing of the action may as a practical matter impair or impede the applicant's movant's ability to protect that interest, unless the applicant's interest is adequately represented by existing parties adequately represent that interest.
  - (b)-Permissive intervention. Upon.
  - (1) In General. On timely application-motion, the court may permit anyone may be permitted to intervene in an action: (1) when a statute conferswho:
    - (A) is given a conditional right to intervene by a statute; or (2) when an applicant's
    - (B) has a claim or defense and that shares with the main action have a common question of law or fact in common. When a party to an action bases.
  - (2) By a Government Officer or Agency. On timely motion, the court may permit a federal or state governmental officer or agency to intervene if a party's claim or defense upon anyis based on:
    - (A) a statute or executive order administered by a governmentalthe officer or agency; or upon
    - (B) any regulation, order, requirement, or agreement issued or made <del>pursuant to</del> under the statute or executive order, the officer or agency upon timely application may be permitted to intervene in the action...
  - (3) Delay or Prejudice. In exercising its discretion, the court shallmust consider whether the intervention will unduly delay or prejudice the adjudication of the rights of the original parties parties rights.
- (c) <u>Procedure.</u> <u>Notice and Pleading Required.</u> A <u>person desiringmotion</u> to intervene <u>shall serve a</u> <u>motion to intervene upon must be served on the parties as provided in <u>Rule-Rule 5</u>. The <u>motions shall motion must</u> state the grounds <u>therefor-for intervention</u> and <u>shall be accompanied by a pleading setting forththat</u> sets out the claim or defense for which intervention is sought.</u>
  - (d) Constitutionality of <u>Utah</u> statutes and ordinances.
- (d)(1) <u>Challenges to a statute.</u> If a party challenges the constitutionality of a <u>Utah</u> statute in an action in which the Attorney General has not appeared, the party raising the question of constitutionality <u>shall</u> <u>must\_notify</u> the Attorney General of such fact <u>as described in paragraphs (d)(1)(A), (d)(1)(B), and (d)(1)(C).</u> The court shall permit the state to be heard upon timely application.
  - (d)(1)(A) Form and Content. The notice must (i) be in writing, (ii) be titled "Notice of Constitutional Challenge Under URCP 24(d)," (iii) concisely describe the nature of the challenge, and (iv) include, as an attachment, the pleading, motion, or other paper challenging the constitutionality of the statute.

URCP024. Amend. Draft: April 24, 2019

38 (d)(1)(B) **Timing**. The party must serve the notice on the Attorney General on or before the date 39 the party files the paper challenging the constitutionality of the statute. 40 (d)(1)(C) Service. The party must serve the notice on the Attorney General by email or, if circumstances prevent service by email, by mail at the address below, and file proof of service with 41 42 the court. Email: notices@agutah.gov 43 44 Mail: 45 Office of the Utah Attorney General Attn: Utah Solicitor General 46 47 350 North State Street, Suite 230 48 P.O. Box 142320 49 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-2320 50 (d)(1)(D) Attorney General's response to notice. 51 (d)(1)(D)(i) Within 14 days after the deadline for the parties to file all papers in response to 52 the constitutional challenge, the Attorney General must file a notice of intent to respond unless the Attorney General determines that a response is unnecessary. The Attorney General may 53 54 seek up to an additional 7 days' extension of time to file a notice of intent to respond. 55 (d)(1)(D)(ii) If the Attorney General files a notice of intent to respond within the time permitted 56 by this rule, the court will allow the Attorney General to file a response to the constitutional 57 challenge and participate at oral argument when it is heard. 58 (d)(1)(D)(iii) Unless the parties stipulate to or the court grants additional time, the Attorney 59 General's response to the constitutional challenge must be filed within 14 days after filing the 60 notice of intent to respond. 61 (d)(1)(D)(iv) The Attorney General's right to respond to a constitutional challenge under Rule 62 25A of the Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure is unaffected by the Attorney General's decision 63 not to respond under this rule. 64 (d)(2) Challenges to an ordinance. If a party challenges the constitutionality of a county or municipal 65 ordinance in an action in which the county or municipality atterney has not appeared, the party raising the question of constitutionality shall-must notify the county or municipality by providing notice to the person 66 67 identified in Rule 4(d)(1). -attorney of such fact. The procedures for the party challenging the constitutionality of a county or municipal ordinance will be consistent with paragraphs (d)(1)(A), (d)(1)(B), 68 69 and (d)(1)(C), except that service must be on the individual governmental entity. The court shall permit 70 the county or municipality to be heard upon timely application. The procedures for the response by the 71 county or municipality must be consistent with paragraph (d)(1)(D). 72 (d)(3) Failure to provide notice. Failure of a party to provide notice as required by this rule is not a

waiver of any constitutional challenge otherwise timely asserted. If a party does not serve a notice as

73

URCP024. Amend. Draft: April 24, 2019

74 required under paragraphs (d)(1) or (d)(2), the court may postpone the hearing until the party serves the notice.

76

### Rule 12. Motions.

1

5

6

2 (a) **Motions**. An application to the court for an order shall be by motion, which, 3 unless made during a trial or hearing, shall be in writing and in accordance with this

4 rule. A motion shall state succinctly and with particularity the grounds upon which it

is made and the relief sought. A motion need not be accompanied by a memorandum

unless required by the court.

- 7 (b) **Request to Submit for Decision**. If neither party has advised the court of the
- 8 filing nor requested a hearing, when the time for filing a response to a motion and the
- 9 reply has passed, either party may file a request to submit the motion for decision. If a
- written Request to Submit is filed it shall be a separate pleading so captioned. The
- 11 Request to Submit for Decision shall state the date on which the motion was served,
- the date the opposing memorandum, if any, was served, the date the reply
- memorandum, if any, was served, and whether a hearing has been requested. The
- notification shall contain a certificate of mailing to all parties. If no party files a
- written Request to Submit, or the motion has not otherwise been brought to the
- attention of the court, the motion will not be considered submitted for decision.
- 17 (c) **Time for filing specified motions**. Any defense, objection or request,
- including request for rulings on the admissibility of evidence, which is capable of
- determination without the trial of the general issue may be raised prior to trial by
- 20 written motion.
- (c)(1) The following shall be raised at least 7 days prior to the trial:
- (c)(1)(A) defenses and objections based on defects in the indictment or
- information;
- (c)(1)(B) motions to suppress evidence;
- (c)(1)(C) requests for discovery where allowed;
- 26 (c)(1)(D) requests for severance of charges or defendants;
- (c)(1)(E) motions to dismiss on the ground of double jeopardy; or

(c)(1)(F) motions challenging jurisdiction, unless good cause is shown why the 28 issue could not have been raised at least 7 days prior to trial. 29 (c)(2) Motions for a reduction of criminal offense at sentencing pursuant to Utah 30 Code Section 76-3-402(1) shall be in writing and filed at least 14 days prior to the 31 date of sentencing unless the court sets the date for sentencing within ten days of the 32 entry of conviction. Motions for a reduction of criminal offense pursuant to Utah 33 Code Section 76-3-402(2) may be raised at any time after sentencing upon proper 34 service of the motion on the appropriate prosecuting entity. 35 (d) **Motions to Suppress**. A motion to suppress evidence shall: 36 (d)(1) describe the evidence sought to be suppressed; 37 (d)(2) set forth the standing of the movant to make the application; and 38 (d)(3) specify sufficient legal and factual grounds for the motion to give the 39 opposing party reasonable notice of the issues and to enable the court to determine 40 what proceedings are appropriate to address them. 41 If an evidentiary hearing is requested, no written response to the motion by the 42 non-moving party is required, unless the court orders otherwise. At the conclusion of 43 the evidentiary hearing, the court may provide a reasonable time for all parties to 44 respond to the issues of fact and law raised in the motion and at the hearing. 45 (e) Motions made before trial. A motion made before trial shall be determined 46 before trial unless the court for good cause orders that the ruling be deferred for later 47 determination. Where factual issues are involved in determining a motion, the court 48 shall state its findings on the record. 49 (f) Failure to timely raise defenses or objections. Failure of the defendant to 50 timely raise defenses or objections or to make requests which must be made prior to 51 trial or at the time set by the court shall constitute waiver thereof, but the court for 52 cause shown may grant relief from such waiver. 53

(g) A verbatim record shall be made of all proceedings at the hearing on motions,

including such findings of fact and conclusions of law as are made orally.

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

(h) Defects in the institution of the prosecution or indictment or information. If the court grants a motion based on a defect in the institution of the prosecution or in the indictment or information, it may also order that bail be continued for a reasonable and specified time pending the filing of a new indictment or information. Nothing in this rule shall be deemed to affect provisions of law relating to a statute of limitations. (i) Motions challenging the constitutionality of Utah statutes and ordinances. (i)(1) Challenges to a statute. If a party in a court of record challenges the constitutionality of a statute in an action in which the Attorney General has not appeared, the party raising the question of constitutionality shall notify the Attorney General of such fact as described in paragraphs (i)(1)(A), (i)(1)(B), and (i)(1)(C). The court shall permit the state to be heard upon timely application. (i)(1)(A) Form and Content. The notice shall (i) be in writing, (ii) be titled "Notice of Constitutional Challenge Under URCrP 12(i)," (iii) concisely describe the nature of the challenge, and (iv) include, as an attachment, the pleading, motion, or other paper challenging the constitutionality of the statute. (i)(1)(B) **Timing**. The party shall serve the notice on the Attorney General on or before the date the party files the paper challenging the constitutionality of the statute. (i)(1)(C) **Service**. The party shall serve the notice on the Attorney General by email or, if circumstances prevent service by email, by mail at the address below, and file proof of service with the court. Email: notices@agutah.gov Mail: Office of the Utah Attorney General Attn: Utah Solicitor General 350 North State Street, Suite 230 P.O. Box 142320 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-2320

(i)(1)(D) Attorney General's response to notice.

(i)(1)(D)(i) Within 14 days after the deadline for the parties to file all papers in response to the constitutional challenge, the Attorney General must file a notice of intent to respond unless the Attorney General determines that a response is unnecessary. The Attorney General may seek up to an additional 7 days' extension of time to file a notice of intent to respond.

(i)(1)(D)(ii) If the Attorney General files a notice of intent to respond within the time permitted by this rule, the court will allow the Attorney General to file a response to the constitutional challenge and participate at oral argument when it is heard.

(i)(1)(D)(iii) Unless the parties stipulate to or the court grants additional time, the Attorney General's response to the constitutional challenge will be filed within 14 days after filing the notice of intent to respond.

(i)(1)(D)(iv) The Attorney General's right to respond to a constitutional challenge under Rule 25A of the Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure is unaffected by the Attorney General's decision not to respond under this rule.

(i)(2) Challenges to an ordinance. If a party challenges the constitutionality of a county or municipal ordinance in an action in which the attorney representing the governmental entity has not appeared, the party raising the question of constitutionality shall notify the attorney representing the governmental entity of such fact. The procedures shall be as provided in paragraphs (i)(1)(A), (i)(1)(B), and (i)(1)(C) except that service will be on the individual governmental entity. The procedures for the response by the attorney representing the governmental entity will be consistent with paragraph (i)(1)(D). It is the party's responsibility to find and use the correct email address for the relevant attorney representing the governmental entity, or if circumstances prevent service by email, it is the party's responsibility to find and use the correct mailing address.

(i)(3) **Failure to provide notice.** Failure of a party to provide notice as required by this rule is not a waiver of any constitutional challenge otherwise timely asserted. If a party does not serve a notice as required under paragraphs (i)(1) or (i)(2), the court may postpone the hearing until the party serves the notice.

# Tab 4



## Administrative Office of the Courts

Chief Justice Matthew B. Durrant Utah Supreme Court Chair, Utah Judicial Council

### MEMORANDUM

Hon. Mary T. Noonan Interim State Court Administrator Raymond H. Wahl Deputy Court Administrator

**To:** Advisory Committee on the Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure

From: Nancy Sylvester

**Date:** April 26, 2019

**Re:** Appellate Representation Rules

At the last meeting, this committee took up several amendments suggested by the Appellate Representation Committee. This committee took the following actions:

- Regarding the repeal of Rule 38B, the committee asked that the following language be added to the website: "This rule has been repealed. The subject matter is now governed by CJA 11-401." The committee approved repealing the rule.
- The committee took out this sentence from Rule 58 because it was redundant: "If the issue to be briefed is ineffective assistance of counsel, the Court of Appeals may order the juvenile court to appoint conflict counsel pursuant to Rule 11-401 of the Utah Code of Judicial administration within 15 days for briefing and argument."
- The committee approved taking out the limiting language of Rule 58 regarding remand.
- And the committee asked that we revisit Rule 1 this month. Concerns were
  expressed about adding parental termination cases to the child welfare
  definition of Rule 1 without knowing their full ramifications. The
  committee also discussed that if parental termination is part of a divorce
  case, it was preferable not to impose Rule 59's procedures.

I have redrafted Rule 1 to add a limiting statutory reference. I look forward to the discussion of this rule.

URAP001 Draft: April 9, 2019

### Rule 1. Scope of rules.

(a) **Applicability of rules**. These rules govern the procedure before the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals of Utah in all cases. Applicability of these rules to the review of decisions or orders of administrative agencies is governed by Rule 18. When these rules provide for a motion or application to be made in a trial court or an administrative agency, commission, or board, the procedure for making such motion or application shall be governed by the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, Utah Rules of Criminal Procedure, and the rules of practice of the trial court, administrative agency, commission, or board.

- (b) Reference to "court." Except as provided in Rule 43, when these rules refer to a decision or action by the court, the reference shall include a panel of the court. The term "trial court" means the court or administrative agency, commission, or board from which the appeal is taken or whose ruling is under review. The term "appellate court" means the court to which the appeal is taken.
- (c) **Procedure established by statute.** If a procedure is provided by state statute as to the appeal or review of an order of an administrative agency, commission, board, or officer of the state which is inconsistent with one or more of these rules, the statute shall govern. In other respects, these rules shall apply to such appeals or reviews.
- (d) Rules not to affect jurisdiction. These rules shall not be construed to extend or limit the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court or Court of Appeals as established by law.
- (e) **Title.** These rules shall be known as the Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure and abbreviated Utah R. App. P.
- (f) Rules for appeals in child welfare proceedings. Appeals taken from juvenile court orders related to abuse, neglect, dependency, termination of parental rights, and adoption proceedings, and district court orders related to termination of parental rights under Section 78B-6-112, are governed by Rules 52 through 59, except for orders related to substantiation proceedings under Section 78-3a-320. Rules 9 and 23B do not apply. Due to the summary nature of child welfare appeals, Rule 10(a)(2)(A) does not apply. Other appellate rules apply if not inconsistent with Rules 52 through 59.

# Tab 5